

Lecture 22. Survey Sampling: an Overview

March 25, 2013

Survey Sampling: What and Why

In **surveys sampling** we try to obtain **information** about a large population based on a relatively **small sample** of that population.

The main goal of **survey sampling** is to reduce the **cost** and the **amount of work** that it would take to explore the entire population.

First examples: **Graunt** (1662) and **Laplace** (1812) used survey sampling to estimate the population of **London** and **France**, respectively.

Mathematical Framework

Suppose that the target **population** is of size N (N is **large**) and a **numerical value of interest** x_i (age, weight, income, etc) is associated with i^{th} **member** of the population, $i = 1, \dots, N$. **Population parameters** (quantities we are interested in):

- **Population mean**

$$\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$$

- **Population variance**

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2$$

There are several ways to sample from a population. We discussed two:

① Simple Random Sampling

Definition

In Simple Random Sampling, each member is chosen entirely by chance and, therefore, each member has an equal chance of being included in the sample; each particular sample of size n has the same probability of occurrence.

If X_1, \dots, X_n is the sample drawn from the population, then the **sample mean** is a natural **estimate** of the **population mean** μ :

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \approx \mu$$

② Stratified Random Sampling

Definition

In Stratified Random Sampling, the population is partitioned into subpopulations, or **strata**, which are then independently sampled using simple random sampling.

If $X_1^{(k)}, \dots, X_{n_k}^{(k)}$ is the sample drawn from the k^{th} stratum, then the natural estimate of μ is

$$\bar{X}_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k \bar{X}_{n_k}^{(k)} \approx \mu$$

Statistical Properties of \bar{X}_n

Since $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, **statistical properties of \bar{X}_n** are completely determined by statistical properties of X_i .

Lemma

Denote the distinct values assumed by the population members by ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m , $m \leq N$, and denote the number of population members that have the value ξ_i by n_i . Then X_i is a discrete random variable with probability mass function

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i = \xi_j) = \frac{n_j}{N}$$

Also

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu \qquad \mathbb{V}[X_i] = \sigma^2$$

From this lemma, it follows immediately that \bar{X}_n is an **unbiased** estimate of μ :

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n] = \mu$$

Thus, **on average** $\bar{X}_n = \mu$.

Statistical Properties of \bar{X}_n

The next important question is how variable \bar{X}_n is.

As a measure of the dispersion of \bar{X}_n about μ , we use the standard deviation of \bar{X}_n , denoted as $\sigma_{\bar{X}_n} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n]}$.

Theorem

The variance of \bar{X}_n is given by

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{N-1}\right)$$

Important observations:

- If $n \ll N$, then

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n] \approx \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \quad \sigma_{\bar{X}_n} \approx \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$\left(1 - \frac{n-1}{N-1}\right)$ is called **finite population correction**. This factor arises because of **dependence** among X_i .

Statistical Properties of \bar{X}_n

$$\sigma_{\bar{X}_n} \approx \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (1)$$

- To **double** the accuracy, the sample size must be **quadrupled**.
- If σ is **small** (the population values are not very dispersed), then a **small sample will be fairly accurate**. But if σ is **large**, then a **larger sample will be required** to obtain the same accuracy.
- We **can't use (1) in practice**, since σ is **unknown**. To use (1), σ **must be estimated from sample** X_1, \dots, X_n .

At first glance, it seems natural to use the following estimate

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \approx \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2$$

However, this estimate is **biased**.

Statistical Properties of \bar{X}_n

Theorem

The expected value of $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}_n^2] = \sigma^2 \frac{Nn - N}{Nn - n}$$

In particular, $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ tends to **underestimate** σ^2 .

Corollary

- An unbiased estimate of σ^2 is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{n,\text{unbiased}}^2 = \frac{Nn - n}{Nn - N} \hat{\sigma}_n^2$$

- An unbiased estimate of $\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n]$ is

$$s_{\bar{X}_n}^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_n^2}{n} \frac{Nn - n}{Nn - N} \left(1 - \frac{n - 1}{N - 1} \right)$$

Normal Approximation to the Distribution of \bar{X}_n

So, we know that the **sample mean** \bar{X}_n is an **unbiased** estimate of μ , and we know how to approximately find its standard deviation $\sigma_{\bar{X}_n} \approx s_{\bar{X}_n}$.

Ideally, we would like to know the **entire distribution** of \bar{X}_n (**sampling distribution**) since it would tell us **everything** about the accuracy of the estimation $\bar{X}_n \approx \mu$

It can be shown that **if n is large, but still small relative to N** , then \bar{X}_n is **approximately normally distributed**

$$\bar{X}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma_{\bar{X}_n}^2) \quad \sigma_{\bar{X}_n} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{n-1}{N-1}}$$

From this result, it is easy to find the **probability** that the **error** made in estimating μ by \bar{X}_n is less than $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \leq \varepsilon) \approx 2\Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_{\bar{X}_n}}\right) - 1$$

Confidence Intervals

Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

Definition

A $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ **confidence interval** for a population parameter θ is a random interval calculated from the sample, which contains θ with probability $1 - \alpha$.

Interpretation:

If we were to take **many random samples** and construct a confidence interval from **each sample**, then about $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ of these intervals would contain θ .

Theorem

An (approximate) $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for μ is

$$(\bar{X}_n - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\bar{X}_n}, \bar{X}_n + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\bar{X}_n})$$

That is the probability that μ lies in that interval is approximately $1 - \alpha$

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{X}_n - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\bar{X}_n} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X}_n + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma_{\bar{X}_n}) \approx 1 - \alpha$$

Estimation of a Ratio

Suppose that for each member of a population, **two values** are measured:

$$i^{\text{th}} \text{ member} \rightsquigarrow (x_i, y_i)$$

We are interested in the following **ratio**:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i} = \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x}$$

Let $\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & \dots & X_n \\ Y_1 & \dots & Y_n \end{pmatrix}$ be a **simple random sample** from a population.

Then the natural estimate of r is

$$R_n = \frac{\overline{Y}_n}{\overline{X}_n}$$

To obtain expressions for $\mathbb{E}[R_n]$ and $\mathbb{V}[R_n]$ we use the **δ -method**.

The δ -method

The δ -method is developed to address the following problem

Problem

Suppose that X and Y are random variables, and that $\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2$, and $\sigma_{XY} = \text{Cov}(X, Y)$ are known. The problem is to find μ_Z and σ_Z^2 , where $Z = f(X, Y)$.

Using the [Taylor series expansion](#) to the first order:

$$Z = f(X, Y) \approx f(\mu) + (X - \mu_X) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\mu) + (Y - \mu_Y) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\mu), \quad \mu = (\mu_X, \mu_Y)$$

Therefore,

$\mu_Z \approx f(\mu)$	$\sigma_Z^2 \approx \sigma_X^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\mu) \right)^2 + \sigma_Y^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\mu) \right)^2 + 2\sigma_{XY} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\mu) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\mu)$
------------------------	---

To obtain a [better approximation](#) for μ_Z , we can use the Taylor series expansion to the [second order](#).

Approximations of $\mathbb{E}[R_n]$ and $\mathbb{V}[R_n]$

Using the δ -method, we obtain

Theorem

The expectation and variance of R_n are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[R_n] \approx r + \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} (r\sigma_x^2 - \sigma_{xy}) \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbb{V}[R_n] \approx \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) \frac{1}{\mu_x^2} (r^2\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2r\sigma_{xy}) \quad (3)$$

In **applications**, population parameters $\mu_x, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}$ are **unknown**. To compute the **estimated** values of $\mathbb{E}[R_n]$ and $\mathbb{V}[R_n]$, we use (2) and (3) together with

- $r \approx R_n$ $\mu_x \approx \bar{X}_n$
- $\sigma_x^2 \approx \hat{\sigma}_{x,\text{unbiased}}^2 = \frac{N-1}{Nn-N} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$
- $\sigma_y^2 \approx \hat{\sigma}_{y,\text{unbiased}}^2 = \frac{N-1}{Nn-N} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)^2$
- $\sigma_{xy} \approx \frac{N-1}{Nn-N} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)$

Stratified Random Sampling

In **Stratified Random Sampling**, a population is partitioned into **strata**, which are then independently sampled using simple random sampling.

If $X_1^{(k)}, \dots, X_{n_k}^{(k)}$ is the **sample** drawn from the k^{th} stratum, then the estimate of μ is

$$\bar{X}_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k \bar{X}_{n_k}^{(k)} \approx \mu,$$

where $\omega_k = N_k/N$ is the **fraction of the population** in the k^{th} stratum.

- \bar{X}_n^* is an **unbiased** estimate of μ

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n^*] = \mu$$

- The variance of \bar{X}_n^* is

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n^*] = \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k^2 \frac{\sigma_k^2}{n_k} \left(1 - \frac{n_k - 1}{N_k - 1}\right) \approx \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k^2 \frac{\sigma_k^2}{n_k}$$

Neyman (=Optimal) Allocation Scheme

Question:

Suppose that the **resources** of a survey allow only a **total of n units** to be sampled. How to choose n_1, \dots, n_L to minimize $\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n^*]$ subject to constraint $\sum n_k = n$?

Optimization problem:

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n^*] \rightarrow \min \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{k=1}^L n_k = n \quad (4)$$

Theorem

- The sample sizes n_1, \dots, n_L that solve the optimization problem (4) are given by

$$\hat{n}_k = n \frac{\omega_k \sigma_k}{\sum_{j=1}^L \omega_j \sigma_j} \quad k = 1, \dots, L$$

- The variance of the optimal stratified estimate is

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{n,opt}^*] = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k \sigma_k \right)^2$$

Proportional Allocation

There are two main disadvantages of Neyman allocation:

- 1 Optimal allocations \hat{n}_k depends on σ_k which generally will not be known
- 2 If a survey measures several values for each population member, then it is usually impossible to find an allocation that is simultaneously optimal for all values

A simple and popular alternative method of allocation is proportional allocation: to choose n_1, \dots, n_L such that

$$\boxed{\frac{n_1}{N_1} = \frac{n_2}{N_2} = \dots = \frac{n_L}{N_L}}$$

This holds if

$$\tilde{n}_k = n \frac{N_k}{N} = n \omega_k \quad k = 1, \dots, L \quad (5)$$

Theorem

The variance of $\bar{X}_{n,p}^*$ is given by

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{n,p}^*] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k \sigma_k^2$$

Neyman vs Proportional and Simple vs Stratified

By definition, Neyman allocation is **always better** than proportional allocation.

Question: When is it substantially better?

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{n,p}^*] - \mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{n,opt}^*] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k (\sigma_k - \bar{\sigma})^2, \quad \bar{\sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k \sigma_k$$

- if the variances σ_k of the strata are **all the same**, then **proportional allocation** is as efficient as **Neyman allocation**, $\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{n,p}^*] = \mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{n,opt}^*]$
- the more **variable** σ_k , the more **efficient** the **Neyman allocation** scheme

Question: What is more efficient: **simple random sampling** or **stratified random sampling** with **proportional allocation**?

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_n] - \mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{n,p}^*] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k (\mu_k - \mu)^2$$

Thus, **stratified random sampling** with **proportional allocation** **always gives a smaller variance** than **simple random sampling** does (providing that the finite population correction is ignored, $(n-1)/(N-1) \approx 0$).