**Abstract**: We observe that several fundamental facts due to Lindenbaum about sums of linear orders extend verbatim to linear orders equipped with colorings.

The following proposition is perhaps the fundamental fact in the study of automorphisms of linear orders, and more generally, convex embeddings of linear orders. It can be viewed as a version of the Cantor-Schroeder-Bernstein theorem. It says that if a linear order X is isomorphic to a middle segment of itself, it is also isomorphic to the leftward and rightward closures of the middle segment.

**Proposition.** (Lindenbaum) Suppose X is a linear order such that for some linear orders A and B we have  $X \cong A + X + B$ . Then  $X \cong A + X$  and  $X \cong X + B$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $f: A + X + B \to X$  an isomorphism, and let  $A_0 = f[A]$ ,  $X_0 = f[X]$ , and  $B_0 = f[B]$ . Then  $A_0$  is an initial segment of X isomorphic to A,  $B_0$  is a final segment isomorphic to B, and  $X_0$  is a middle segment isomorphic to X.

Fix an isomorphism  $g: X \to X_0$ . Iteratively define  $A_{n+1} = g[A_n]$ ,  $X_{n+1} = g[X_n]$  and  $B_{n+1} = g[B_n]$ . By induction,  $A_{n+1}$ ,  $X_{n+1}$ , and  $B_{n+1}$  partition  $X_n$  into an initial, middle, and final segment, respectively (isomorphic to A, X, and B, respectively). It follows that for every n,  $A_{n+1}$  immediately succeeds  $A_n$  and  $B_{n+1}$  immediately precedes  $B_n$  in X.

Define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_{\infty} & = & \bigcup_{n} A_{n}, \\ X_{\infty} & = & \bigcap_{n} X_{n}, \\ B_{\infty} & = & \bigcup_{n} B_{n}. \end{array}$$

The above then shows that  $A_{\infty}, X_{\infty}$ , and  $B_{\infty}$  partition X into an initial, middle, and final segment, respectively. Moreover, these segments are invariant under g, in the sense that

Now define  $h: X \to X$  by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & x \in A_{\infty} \\ x & x \in X_{\infty} \cup B_{\infty}. \end{cases}$$

Since both g and the identity are order-preserving, h is order-preserving on both  $A_{\infty}$  and  $X_{\infty} \cup B_{\infty}$ . Since g sends  $A_{\infty}$  into  $A_{\infty}$ , and hence below  $X_{\infty} \cup B_{\infty}$ , h is order-preserving globally, i.e. an order-isomorphism of X with its image under h. That image is  $X \setminus A_0$ , i.e.  $X_0 \cup B_0$ . Hence  $X \cong X + B$ . A symmetric argument shows  $X \cong A + X$ .

**Corollary**: Suppose X is a linear order such that  $X \cong A + X + M + X + B$  for some linear orders A, B, and M. Then  $X \cong X + M + X$ .

1

*Proof.* Writing  $X \cong (A+X+M)+X+B$  we have  $X \cong (A+X+M)+X$  by the proposition applied to B. Now writing  $X \cong A+X+(M+X)$  we have  $X \cong X+(M+X)$  by the proposition, now applied to A.  $\square$ 

For X and Y linear orders, we write  $X \leq_c Y$  if there is a convex embedding of X into Y. We say that X is *splitting* if  $X \cong 2X \cong X + X$ .

The following corollary says that X is splitting if and only if  $2X \leq_c X$ .

Corollary: Suppose that X is a linear order. Then  $2X \cong X$  if and only if  $2X \leqslant_c X$ .

*Proof.* Since isomorphisms are in particular convex embeddings, we need only prove the reverse direction. If  $X + X \leq_c X$ , then  $X \cong A + X + X + B$  for some linear orders A and B. By the previous corollary (applied to  $X + X \cong X + \emptyset + X$ ) we have  $X \cong X + X = 2X$ , as desired.

Suppose that C is a fixed, non-empty set of colors. For a linear order X, a coloring of X is a function  $C: X \to C$ . We also call the pair (X, C) a coloring of X.

Given colorings (X,C) of X and (Y,D) of Y, a map  $f:X\to Y$  is, respectively, a color embedding, convex color embedding, or color isomorphism if f is, respectively, an embedding, convex embedding, or isomorphism of the underlying orders X and Y such that for every  $x\in X$  we have D(f(x))=C(x). We write  $(X,C)\leqslant (Y,D)$ ,  $(X,C)\leqslant_c (Y,D)$ , or  $(X,C)\cong (Y,D)$  if there exists, respectively, a color embedding, convex color embedding, or color isomorphism from X to Y. When X and Y are understood to be colored, we may suppress mention of the colorings C and D, and write for example  $X\cong Y$  to mean not only that X is isomorphic to Y, but in fact color isomorphic to Y.

Given colorings (X, C) and (Y, D), their colored sum (X, C) + (Y, D) is the coloring (X + Y, C + D) of X + Y, where  $C + D : X + Y \to C$  is defined by (C + D)(x) = C(x) for x in the initial segment of X + Y corresponding to X, and (C + D)(y) = D(y) for y in the final segment of X + Y corresponding to Y. Again, when C and D are understood, we will write simply X + Y for (X + Y, C + D).

More generally, given a linear order X, and for every point  $x \in X$  a coloring  $(I_x, C_x)$  of a linear order  $I_x$ , we define the *colored replacement* of X by the colorings  $(I_x, C_x)$ , as the coloring  $(X(I_x), C)$  of the replacement  $X(I_x)$ , where  $C: X(I_x) \to C$  is defined by  $C(x, i) = C_x(i)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $x \in I_x$ .

Lindenbaum's proposition and the resulting corollaries also hold for orders with colorings.

**Proposition**: Suppose that (X,C) is a coloring of a linear order X such that for colorings (A,D) and (B,E) of some linear orders A and B we have  $X \cong A + X + B$ . Then  $X \cong A + X$  and  $X \cong X + B$ .

*Proof.* If we assume the maps f and g from the proof of Lindenbaum's proposition are color-preserving, then since the identity is also color-preserving, the map h constructed piecewise from g and the identity is color-preserving. It follows X is color isomorphic to X + B. Symmetrically, X is color isomorphic to A + X.

It follows that the two corollaries of Lindenbaum's result also hold for orders with colorings, if we assume the isomorphisms and embeddings in their hypotheses are color-preserving.