

(28)

- A word $v_0 v_1 \dots v_n$ is called reduced if there is no $i < n$ s.t. $v_i = v_{i+1}^{-1}$ or $v_i^{-1} = v_{i+1}$
- we wts if $v_0 v_1 \dots v_n$ is nonempty reduced word, then $\neq 1$ in G
- we sketch idea
- Consider first two words $v_0 v_1$
 ~~$v_0 v_1 = x^{-\alpha_0} y^{-\beta_0} t^{e_0} x^{\alpha_0} y^{\beta_0} x^{-\alpha_1} y^{-\beta_1} t^{e_1} x^{\alpha_1} y^{\beta_1}$~~

$$= \underbrace{x^{-\alpha_0} y^{-\beta_0} t^{e_0} x^{\alpha_0} y^{\beta_0}}_{v_0} \underbrace{x^{-\alpha_1} y^{-\beta_1} t^{e_1} x^{\alpha_1} y^{\beta_1}}_{v_1}$$
- where $e_i \in \{1, -1\}$
- Since $v_0 \neq v_1^{-1}$ and vice versa must have either
 - (1) $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \neq (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ or
 - (2) $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ and $e_0 = e_1$
- if (1), can reduce $v_0 v_1$ to

$$x^{-\alpha_0} y^{-\beta_0} t^{e_0} x^{(\alpha_0 - \alpha_1)} y^{(\beta_0 - \beta_1)} t^{e_1} x^{\alpha_1} y^{\beta_1}$$

↑ at least one $\neq 0$

and no further reduction is possible by freeness between x, y and t
- if (2) $v_0 v_1 = x^{-\alpha_0} y^{-\beta_0} t^{e_0} t^{e_0} x^{\alpha_0} y^{\beta_0}$
- inductively ~~so~~ we see our reduced word $v_0 v_1 \dots v_n$ is $\neq 1$, since

(29)

after we perform cancellations between consecutive terms we still have $\#$ of appearances of t^{e_i} for $e_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ is $n+1$ ✓

- For every config $(\alpha, \beta) \in N^2$ of our m.n. M we now have the "code" $t(\alpha, \beta)$ in G (and in fact in the subgroup T)
- we now code the instructions of M .
- Idea: - for each instruct. $(a, b, c, R) \in M$ we consider isomorphism ℓ between certain two subgroups of G , then pass to HNN ext G_ℓ by letter r
 - symmetrically for (a, b, c, L) instruct but now use ℓ as stable letter
 - Fact 2 $\Rightarrow$ can realize all these extensions at once

- More explicitly: sps $(a, b, c, R) \in M$.
- says config $(uM+a, vM+b) \rightarrow (uM^2+cv)$

- in G want some corresponding way of transforming

$$t(uM+a, vM+b) = x^{-uM} y^{-vM} (x^{-a} y^{-b} t x^a y^b) x^{uM} y^{vM}$$

$$\text{into } t(uM^2 + c, v) \\ = x^{-uM^2} y^{-v} (x^{-c} t x^c) x^{uM^2} y^v$$

- suggests we consider the assignment

$$\begin{aligned} x^u &\mapsto x^{u^2} \\ y^v &\mapsto y^v \\ t(a, b) &\mapsto t(c, c) \end{aligned}$$

- and indeed not hard to convince self this extends to an isomorphism

$$\varphi: G_{a,b}^{u,v} \rightarrow G_{c,c}^{u^2,v}$$

between the subgroups

$$G_{a,b}^{u,v} = \langle x^u, y^v, t(a, b) \rangle$$

$$G_{c,c}^{u^2,v} = \langle x^{u^2}, y^v, t(c, c) \rangle$$

since both are free subgroups we
to $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$ as well.

- likewise if $(a, b, c, L) \in \mathcal{M}$

says that ~~that~~ $(uM+a, vM+b) \rightarrow (u, vM^2+c)$

we consider the natural isomorphism

$$\chi: G_{a,b}^{u,v} \rightarrow G_{c,c}^{u^2,v}$$

given by extending

$$x^u \mapsto x \quad y^v \mapsto y^{v^2} \quad t(a, b) \mapsto t(c, c)$$

(how to justify e.g. $x \rightarrow x^M$ $y \rightarrow y^M$
 $t \rightarrow t(c,b)$ extends to ω from G onto
 $G_{a,b}^{M,M}$?

- in G every el't g can be put uniquely
in form $x^{k_0} y^{l_0} t^{m_0} \dots x^{k_n} y^{l_n} t^{m_n}$

- in $G_{a,b}^{M,M}$ g maps to $x^{Mk_0} y^{Ml_0} t(c,b)^{m_0} \dots$
 $x^{Mk_n} y^{Ml_n} t(c,b)^{m_n}$

- can further reduce this word in
 G (terms $t(c,b)^{m_i} = x^{-a_i} y^{-b_i} t^{m_i} x^{a_i} y^{b_i}$
will combine w/ x^{Mk_i} and y^{Ml_i} 's etc.)

but can check once reduced we
can recover original exponents of
 x^M 's y^M 's and $t(c,b)$'s if we knew M ,
 a, b)

Thus $x^M \rightarrow x^{M^2}$ $y^M \rightarrow y^M$ $t(c,b) \rightarrow t(c,o)$
likewise defines canonical $\omega: G_{a,b}^{M,M} \rightarrow G_{c,o}^{M^2,M^2}$

Rev: - thinking of each $t(\alpha, \beta)$ as a code
for the config (α, β) , then if (α, β)
 $= (uM+a, vM+b)$ is a config to
which an instruction (a, b, c, D) applies
then $G_{a,b}^{M,M}$ contains all the codes
 $t(\alpha, \beta)$ for such configs
and $G_{c,o}^{M^2,M^2}$, $G_{c,c}^{M^2,M^2}$ contain
all codes $t(\alpha', \beta')$ for configs

(32)

$$(\alpha, \beta') (= (uM^2 + c, v) \text{ or } (u, vM^2 + c) \text{ depending on } \begin{matrix} P=R \\ \text{or} \end{matrix})$$

obtained by applying such instructions

- Note: these subgroups fin. gen.

↳ makes sense to consider the related subgroups of $T (= \langle t(k, p) \rangle)$ generated only by such codes

Def'n. For $a, b < M$ let $T_{a,b}^{M,M} = \langle t(uM+a, vM+b) : u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$

$$\text{or } T_{a,b}^{M,M} = \langle x^{-uM} y^{-vM} t(a, b) x^{uM} y^{vM} : u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$$

= grps generated by the $t(\alpha, \beta)$'s which code configs $(\alpha, \beta) = (uM+a, vM+b)$ that instructions of Perm (c, b, c, D) apply to

↳ the $T_{a,b}^{M,M}$'s not fin gen (they're freely generated subgroups of $T = \langle t(k, p) : k, p \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$)

Lemma $T_{a,b}^{M,M} = T \cap G_{a,b}^{M,M}$

(i.e. el's of $G_{a,b}^{M,M}$ in T are exactly those in $T_{a,b}^{M,M}$)

PF: not hard, leave as exercise.

merd is: no codes in $G_{a,b}^{M,M}$ that shouldn't be there $\checkmark$

CD lemma gives that T is balanced wrt canonical codes between $G_{a,b}^{M,M} \rightarrow G_{c,c}^{M^2,1}$ and $" \rightarrow G_{c,c}^{1,M^2}$

Why: call is ψ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \psi(T \cap G_{a,b}^{M,M}) & \stackrel{\text{lemma}}{=} \psi(T_{a,b}^{M,M}) \\ & = T_{c,c}^{M,M^2} \quad (\text{since } \psi(H_{a,b}) \\ & = T \cap G_{c,c}^{M^2,1} = \psi(c)) \\ & = T \cap \psi(G_{a,b}^{M,M}) \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

likewise for left ones

- Now: given m.m. $\mathcal{H}$ with $M > 1$ and instructions $\{(a_i, b_i, c_i, R) : c_i \in I\} \cup \{(c_j, b_j, c_j, L) : j \in J\}$ we define an extension $G_{\mathcal{H}}'$ of G ($'$ indicates ~~the~~ HNN extension)

- For each $c \in I$, let ψ_c denote canonical ψ from $G_{a,b}^{M,M} \rightarrow G_{c,c}^{M^2,1}$

(34)

$$= \varphi(x^M)$$

- introduce letter v_i , add to generators of G , along w/ rules $v_i^{-1} x^M v_i = x^{M^2}$
 $v_i^{-1} y^M v_i = y^M$
 $v_i^{-1} t(a,b) v_i = t(c,c)$
- Since $x^M, y^M, t(a,b)$ generate $G_{a,b}^{M,M}$, then imply $v_i^{-1} g v_i = \varphi(g) \quad \forall g \in "$
- likewise for $j \in J$ let x_j denote $w \in G_{a_j, b_j}^{M,M} \rightarrow G_{c_j, c_j}^{1, M^2}$, add letter l_j and conjugation rules for l_j corresponding to x_j .
- let G_n' be HNN extension of G defined by presentation $\langle t, x, y, \{v_i\}, \{l_j\}, xy = yx \text{ [conjug. rules for } v_i, l_j \text{]} \rangle$
- G is subgroup of G_n' (Fact 1) and ~~conjugation~~ conjugation by each v_i, l_j on G_n' extends isomorphisms φ, χ_j .
- As observed, prev. lemma $\Rightarrow T$ is balanced subgroup of G_n'
 $\Rightarrow$ (Fact 2) $T = T' \cap G$
 (where $T' = \text{HNN ext. of } T \text{ viewed as subext of } G_n', \text{ i.e. subgroup obtained by adding new letters } v_i, l_j \text{ to } T$)

(35)

- Consider now the following subgroup of T :

$$T_M := \langle t(\alpha, \beta) : (\alpha, \beta) \in H_M \rangle$$

where H_M = halting set of M .

(i.e. T is (freely) generated by code $t(\alpha, \beta)$ for halting inputs (α, β) of M)

- T_M is also balanced in G_M

- Why: key point is: if e.g. $\ell_i(t(\alpha, \beta)) = (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ then $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow_M (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ in M (by instruct coding to ℓ_i); likewise for left instruct

- When $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow_M (\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ we have $(\alpha, \beta) \in H_M \Leftrightarrow (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \in H_M$ (new computer of M works), and hence $t(\alpha, \beta) \in T_M$ iff $t(\alpha_1, \beta_1) \in T_M$.

- together this gives: each ℓ_i maps all halting codes in G_M^M on to halting codes in G_M^M (likewise for non-halting codes; likewise for the X_i)
- this is balancedness.

$\Rightarrow$ (Fact 2) $T_M = T_M \cap G$ (i.e. if we add rules to T_M we don't generate (in the extension) any

codes $t(\alpha, \beta)$ for config (α, β) that don't halt in M .

Crucial Lemma: $T'_M = \langle t \rangle'$, (i.e. if we add new letters r, l to free subgroup $\langle t \rangle$ in resulting extension $\langle t \rangle'$ get all halting codes $t(\alpha, \beta)$ — and no non-halting codes)

PF: ($\supseteq$) immediate: $t \mapsto t(c, c)$ is code for $(c, c) \in H_M$ so $t \in T_M \Rightarrow \langle t \rangle' \subseteq T'_M$

($\subseteq$) also clear once we reflect; I'll just describe idea.

- point is: the wo's u_i, x_i code computations of M in G_M the stable letters r, l effect these wo's by global conjugations
- given a sequence of computations $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \rightarrow (\alpha_2, \beta_2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (\alpha_n, \beta_n)$
(c, c)

with $(\alpha, \beta) \in H_M$ there is a corr'g seq. of conjugations of $t(\alpha, \beta)$ yielding $t = t(c, c)$