

Aside: Q from last time:

Can we expand our Thue process Π_n (corr. to semigroup w/ undec. word problem)

by adding inverse substitutions to get a process Π_n'' corr. to group w/ undec. word prob?

A: no.

The problem: inverse subs give too much flexibility — won't be able to prove $\# Q_0 1^{(n)} \# \Rightarrow_{\Pi_n''} \# Q' \#$ implies our t.m. M'' halts on $1^{(n)}$

Why: Sps e.g. M halts on the empty string. Then we have $\# Q_0 \# \Rightarrow \# Q' \#$ for orig. process Π_n , hence also for Π_n' and Π_n'' .

Now consider arbitrary input string $1^{(n)}$. We claim $\# Q_0 1^{(n)} \# \Rightarrow_{\Pi_n''} \# Q' \#$ for every n .
Indeed: $\# Q_0 1^{(n)} \# \Rightarrow \# Q_0 \# \#^{-1} 1^{(n)} \# \Rightarrow \# Q_0' \# \#^{-1} 1^{(n)} \# \Rightarrow \# Q_N 1^{(n)} \# \Rightarrow \# Q' \#$ by above

Follows: $\exists$ t.m. M w/ undecidable halting prob that does not reduce to word prob. for Π_n''

(2)

E.g. if $\mathbb{Z} \cong \langle a \mid \emptyset \rangle$ $\mathbb{Z} \cong \langle b \mid \emptyset \rangle$
 $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_2 = \langle a, b \mid \emptyset \rangle$

- Recall: a map $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ between groups is a homomorphism if $\varphi(gh) = \varphi(g)\varphi(h) \quad \forall g, h \in \Gamma$
- it is an isomorphism if it is also a bijection
- if $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ an isomorphism is an automorphism
- Given a fixed $h \in \Gamma$, the map $\varphi_h: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ defined by $\varphi_h(g) = h^{-1}gh$ is an automorphism of Γ , called an inner automorphism.
← "conjugate of g by h "
- (in general, not all automorphisms of group Γ are inner)
- A question of interest: if H, K are isomorphic subgroups of Γ and $\varphi: H \rightarrow K$ is an isomorphism, when is there a global automorphism $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ s.t. $\psi|_H = \varphi$?
- Answer: not always

- However: can construct a larger group Γ_e containing Γ that does have an automorphism extending ψ (in fact: an inner one).

Def'n Spc $\Gamma = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \mid R_1, \dots, R_k \rangle$ is a (fin. presented) group and spc $\psi: H \rightarrow K$ is an isomorphism between subgroups H, K of Γ .

Let t be a new letter.

The HNN extension of Γ wrt ψ is the group:

$$\Gamma_e = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, t \mid R_1, \dots, R_k, (t^{-1}ht = \psi(h)) \rangle$$

$\uparrow$
freeing $h \in H$

- Actually: suffices to include $t^{-1}ht = \psi(h)$ for h in some generating set for H .
So if H finitely generated, Γ_e is finitely presented.

- t called the "stable letter" of the extension.

- We'll need two facts whose proofs we defer for now.

Fact 1: Let Γ' denote subgroup of Γ generated by $a_1, \dots, a_n$.

For $g \in \Gamma$, viewed as word on letters $a_1, \dots, a_n$, let g' denote corresponding word in Γ' (i.e. we compute g' in Γ').

Then the map $\iota: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ defined by $\iota(g) = g'$ is an isomorphism.

$\hookrightarrow$ in practice, we identify Γ' w/ Γ and write $\Gamma \leq \Gamma$.

Next fact more technical — will use to realize HNN extensions by multiple ϕ at once.

Def'n A subgroup $L \leq \Gamma$ is balanced wrt ϕ if $\phi(L \cap H) = L \cap K (= L \cap \phi(H))$.

Fact 2 if $L \leq \Gamma$ is a subgroup that is balanced wrt ϕ , then the subgroup $L' \leq \Gamma$ generated by L and t is the HNN extension $\langle L, t \mid t^{-1} L t = \phi(L) \rangle$ of L wrt $\phi \in \Gamma \cap H$; and $L' \cap \Gamma = L$.

$\hookrightarrow$ defer proofs for now.

(23)

Modular machines:

→ new model of computation; more convenient than t.m.'s for proof of undecidability of word prob for groups.

Def'n A modular machine M consists of

- (1) a natural number $M > 1$
- (2) for every pair of nat. numbers $a, b < M$, an instruction (a, b, c, D) where $c < M^2$ and $D \in \{L, R\}$

— A configuration of M is a pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^2$

— write $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow_M (\alpha', \beta')$ if $\alpha = uM + a$, $\beta = vM + b$ and either

(1) $(a, b, c, R) \in M$ is an instruction in M and $\alpha' = uM^2 + c$ and $\beta' = v$; or

(2) $(a, b, c, L) \in M$ and $\alpha' = u$ and $\beta' = vM^2 + c$

How to think about it:

— given config (α, β) write each in base M expansion:

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 M + \dots + \alpha_k M^k \quad (\alpha_i, \beta_j < M)$$

$$\beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 M + \dots + \beta_\ell M^\ell$$

— identify α w/ tuple $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$
 β w/ $(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_\ell)$

- if ① and $c = c_0 + c_1 M$ then (α', β') corresponds to ~~sequences~~ sequences

$$\alpha' = (c_0, c_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

$$\beta' = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\ell)$$

- if ②:

$$\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

$$\beta' = (c_0, c_1, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\ell)$$

We write $(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow_n^* (\alpha', \beta')$ if there is a sequence of instructions s.t.

$$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow_n (\alpha', \beta') \rightarrow_n \dots \rightarrow_n (\alpha'', \beta'') \rightarrow_n (\alpha', \beta')$$

Theorem There exists a modular machine M s.t. the halting set $H(M) := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow_n^* (0, 0)\}$ is not recursive.

Pf. - Let T be t.m. w/ non-rec. halting set; will ensure whenever T halts, tape is empty (such T exists)

- We use $0, 1, \dots, N-1$ to represent states $Q_0, \dots, Q_{N-1}$ of T

↳ We ensure now Q_0 is halting state (so that 0 represents halting state)

- use $N, N+1, \dots, M-1$ to rep symbols in T 's alphabet (i.e. symbols on tape)

(25)

- given config of T :

$a_1 a_2 \dots a_k, Q, b_1 \dots b_\ell$ (*)
(r/w head on a in state Q , tape empty beyond c_k and b_ℓ)

associate two configs of our mod. machine M :

(i) $\alpha_1 = (Q, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ $\beta_1 = (c, b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$
(ii) $\alpha_2 = (c, c_1, \dots, c_k)$ $\beta_2 = (Q, b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$

- observe: T is halted (i.e. tape empty, state Q_0) iff $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \alpha_k = \beta_k = c$
(so (c, c) is only m.m. config corresponding to halted state of T)

- to every instruction (Q, a, b, D, Q') of T we add two instructions $(Q, a, Q' + bM, D)$ and $(a, Q, Q' + bM, D)$ to M

- Observe if T in config (*) above corresponding to M -configs (i) and (ii) then if (Q, a, b, R, Q') is applicable T instruction, next config is:

$a_1 \dots a_k, b, Q', b_1, \dots, b_\ell$ (*)

- if we apply corr. rule $(Q, a, Q' + bM, R)$ to (i) we get
 $\alpha_1' = (Q', b, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ $\beta_1' = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$

(26)

which corresponds to $(*)'$ in first way

- start if we apply alt. rule $(a, q, q+1, R)$ to (ii) get

$$\alpha_2' = (q', b, a_1, \dots, a_k) \quad \beta_2' = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \\ = \alpha_1' \quad = \beta_1'$$

same as before

($\hookrightarrow$ so both output M -configs of first type when we move R)

- instead if (q, a, b, L, q') is applicable instruct. of T , it goes to

$$a_1 \dots a_k q' a, b b_1 \dots b_k \quad (*)''$$

- can check if we apply either config. M -instruction to (i), (ii) resp. we get config

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k) \quad (q', b, b_1, \dots, b_k)$$

which corresponds to $(*)''$ in second way

- Follows (w) some more thought:

can check if M halts on input word w by checking if (either one) of corresponding M -configs ~~(α_1, β_1)~~ (α_1, β_1) or $(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \xrightarrow{*} (q, c)$

$\Rightarrow$ halting set of M non-recursive $\checkmark$

- We'll use the m.m. M above to construct a group w/ unsolvable word prob.
- We begin w/ group

$G = \langle t, x, y \mid xy = yx \rangle = \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$
 and take finitely many HNN-extensions
 s.t. word problem for M reduces
 to word prob. for resulting group.

- For every pair $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not $N!$)
 define group elt:

$$t(\alpha, \beta) := x^\alpha y^{-\beta} t x^\alpha y^\beta$$

- Let $T = \langle t(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ is subgroup
 of G generated by the $t(\alpha, \beta)$'s

Claim T is freely generated by the
 $t(\alpha, \beta)$'s for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ (i.e. T is a free
 group w/ these elts as generators)

PF: - Let $A = \{t(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

- Since x, y commute (but not t)
 inverse of $t(\alpha, \beta)$ is $x^\alpha y^\beta t^{-1} x^{-\alpha} y^{-\beta}$
- Denote collection of all such by A^{-1}
 (so we get A^{-1} by replacing t 's in
 $t(\alpha, \beta)$'s w/ t^{-1} 's)