

⑫

For ②:

- Let's sps in def'n of $It \in$ we actually include $M|x$ where M accepts x in $\leq F(2|x|+1) + c$ - many steps, where c is a small constant (see below)
- doesn't change that ~~if $It \in TIME(O(F))$~~ $It \in TIME(O(F))$ at least in cons we care about.

- Now: sps toward a contradiction
we can find a t.m T that decides ~~if~~ if $w \in It$ in $\leq F(|w|)$ - many steps

- let S be the machine that, on input w , runs T on $w|w$ and halts in state q_y if T halts in q_n

- "Clear" there is such an S that halts as such in (time it takes T to halt on $w|w$) + small constant c

$$\leq F(|w|w|) + c$$

$$= F(2|w|+1) + c$$

by hypothesis

Now the diagonalization

(123)

- Let M_S denote code for S (using our coding for t.m.'s above)

- we ask: is $M_S; M_S \in A_F$?

- to check: run T on input $M_S; M_S$ and see if halt in Q_y or Q_n

↳ if Q_y : means T ran S on input M_S and found S halted in state Q_y (in $\leq f(2|M_S|+1) + c$ -many steps)

↳ but this means that S ran T on input $M_S; M_S$ and found it halted in Q_n - contradiction

↳ likewise: if Q_n : means that T ran S on M_S and either

(a) S didn't halt by time $f(2|M_S|+1) + c$

(b) S did, in state Q_n

↳ but we know on input M_S , S halts in $\leq f(2|M_S|+1) + c$ -many steps so it's not (a)

↳ but (b) means S ran $M_S; M_S$ on T and got a Q_y , again a contradiction ✓

Corollary: $P \neq EXP$

- "Clearly" 2^n is proper, so by theorem there is $L \in TIME(c(2^n)) \setminus TIME(2^n)$

- But any $L \in \text{TIME}(O(2^n))$ is still in EXP
- Can't have $L \in P$ as this would imply $L \in \text{TIME}(2^n)$
(any poly $p(n)$ is eventually dominated by 2^n) ✓

~~But~~

- Theorem actually shows much finer results: eg. for any ^{proper} poly p there is some L that can be decided in $O(p)$ but not p -time.

Complexity of Presburger Arithmetic

- we showed before:

$\text{Th}(N, <, +, 0)$

("Presburger Arithmetic"
"PrA")

is decidable

- What is its time complexity?
i.e. given a sentence ϕ written in lang of PrA, how long (in general) does it take to decide if $\phi \in \text{PrA}$?

Theorem PrA is EXP -hard, i.e. $\forall c > 0$
 For any $L \in \text{TIME}(2^{cn})$, there is
 a poly-time reduction of L to PrA .

PF: - Sp. $L \in \text{TIME}(2^{cn})$ for some
 $c > 0$; alphabet $\cup A$

- let M be a t.m. with $L \in \text{TIME}(2^{cn})$; alphabet of M is Σ^* .

- We describe a poly-time assignment
 $w \mapsto \varphi_w(x)$ (where $w \in L$, and φ_w is
 finite in lang of PrA w/ PrA w/ PrA)

such that:

$w \in L \iff \exists x \varphi_w(x) \in \text{PrA}$

- This suffices to prove theorem:
 deciding membership in PrA must
 in general be at least as hard as
 deciding membership in L .

- Idea is: $\varphi_w(x)$ should express
 "x codes a computation of M on
 input w of length $\leq 2^{|w|}$ that
 terminates in accepting state q_y "

- So fix ~~some~~ w

- Since M decides if $w \in L$ in $\leq 2^{|w|}$
 many steps, can only see ~~some~~ cells

(26)

- on its tape in the interval $[-2^{|c|w|}, 2^{|c|w|}]$.
- Let $B = \{b_2, \dots, b_q\}$ be alphabet of M
- ~~the~~ number states of M as $Q_{p+1}, Q_{p+2}, \dots, Q_{p-1}$ where $Q_{p-1} = Q_p$
- Let $b_0 = \#$ and $b_1 = *$
- As before, we can encode a stage of the computation of M on input w by a sequence:

$$b_0 \dots b_i Q_j b_{i+1} \dots b_{2^{|c|w|+1}}$$
- (Here: the last index is $2^{|c|w|+1} = 2^{|c|w|} \cdot 2$ since we want to record entry on every cell from $-2^{|c|w|}$ to $2^{|c|w|}$ - but want to use natural numbers as indices)
- using $*$ for blank spaces
- using $\# = b_0$ as separator, can encode a sequence of stages too
- using natural numbers i to encode the symbols b_i and j to encode the states Q_j , ~~any~~ a computation of M (i.e. sequence of computation stages) can be represented by a natural number in base p
- Notice: a computation of length $2^{|c|w|}$, w/ stages rep'd by sequences

(27)

of length $2^{c|w|+1}$, is rep'd by a sequence of symbols of length $2^{c|w|}$.
 $(2^{c|w|+1} + 1)$ (extra +1 for the #s)
 which v (say) $< 2^{2c|w|+2}$

Notice: - if the sequence is
 $(\dots) \# (\dots) \# \dots \# (\dots) \quad (+)$
 where each $(\dots)$ is a stage of the computation, then writing $(+)$ as
 $a_0 a_1 \dots a_N \quad (N < 2^{2c|w|+2})$, the number
 x encoding this sequence is
 $a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_N p^N \quad (a_i < p \ \forall i)$
 which is $\leq N \cdot p^{N+1}$
 which is $\leq 2^{2c|w|+2}$ for some large enough
 (but fixed) d , depending only on c
~~and d~~ and p .

Now: want a finite $\ell_w(x)$ s.t.
 $w \rightarrow \ell_w(x)$ is poly time (in $|w|$)
 and for $x \in N$ we have:
 $(N, < J + c) = \ell_w(x)$ iff
 ~~$x < 2^{2c|w|}$~~ and ~~x~~ codes
 comp'n of M on input w that
 halts in state q_y .

- How force uniqueness of x as such a
 code will be unique if exists - THE
 code)

- let $n = |w|$
- $\varphi_w(x)$ should assert
 "x, viewed in base p, codes a
 sequence $(\dots) \# (\dots) \# \dots \# (\dots)$
 where:
 - string w length $\leq N$ (i.e. $x \leq 2^{2^n}$)
 - are exactly 2^{cn} -many $(\dots)$'s
 - each $(\dots)$ is (a code for) a stage
 of comp'n of M that follows
 preceding one using one of M's instructions
 (- if we halt before 2^{cn} -stage -
 consider all subsequent stages a
 copy of prev. one)
- ① - first $(\dots)$ is q_0 (symbol in w) (some
 blank spaces)
- last $(\dots)$ is of form $b_{c-1} \dots q_y \dots b_{c+1}$
 (i.e. we terminate in q_y) "

- Can we express above in lang of PrA?
- Write $\Phi_p(x, i)$ for i th digit of
 x written in base p, i.e. if $x = a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_i p^i + \dots + a_n p^n$ ($a_i < p \forall i$)
 then $\Phi_p(x, i) = a_i$
- Leave it to you to convince
 yourself above can be expressed
 in lang of PrA (in a poly-in-n
 length formula) as long as we can

(12a)

define the following relations:

$$y < 2^n \quad (\text{write } A_n(y) \text{ for this})$$

$$x < 2^{2^n} \quad (\text{write as } B_n(x))$$

$$\Phi_p(x, y) = z$$

- e.g. Can express " $x < 2^{2^n}$ and every $(2^{2^n+1} + 2)^{\text{th}}$ digit of x (viewed in base p) is $\neq (i.e. 0)$ " by the formula:

$$B_{2^n}(x) \wedge \forall i (A(i) \Rightarrow \Phi_p(x, i(2^{2^n+1} + 2) = 0)$$

- Also leave to you to convince self that the relations A, B, Φ_p can be defined using following relations:

$$(x < 2^{2^n}) \wedge (xy = z) \quad (\text{write as } M_n(x, y, z))$$

$$(x, z < 2^{2^n}) \wedge (y^x = z) \quad (\text{denote } E_n(x, y, z))$$

- Note: Defining M_n, E_n in PrA is something like defining multiplication and exponentiation - something we know we can't do

- difference is the boundedness: ~~and~~ can define $\cdot$ and $\exp$ below a given bound (in this case 2^{2^n})