

- Sp's L is in NP w/ alphabet A
- Let M, p be t.m. and poly witnessing $L \in NP$.

(Here using alt. def'n of NP above - t.m.'s instead of F.M.'s)

- Sp's M has states $Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots, Q_m$ and alphabet of M is $B = \{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_N\}$ (which includes letters in A as well as separator $;$ etc.)

- We define a polynomial ^{time} reduction $F: A^{<N} \rightarrow D^{<N}$ where $D = \{x, 0, 1, \neg, \wedge, \vee, \neg, (,)\}$ is the ~~input~~ alphabet we use to encode SAT

($\Rightarrow$ we encode variables x_i as $x(-)$ where $(-)$ is i in binary

- Allows us to encode SAT probs w/ different #s of variables x_i .

- Cells of tape of M indexed by $\mathbb{Z}$. input word's first letter always in cell # 0.

- Note that on an input w, u (word w w/ certificate u) with $|w| = n$

M only checks cells in ~~interval~~ interval $[-p(n), p(n)]$ before halt.

- Fix ~~word~~ w and $u \in A^{<N}$ ~~with~~ $|u| \leq p(|w|)$.
(let $n = |w|$) (let $w' = w;u$)
- Want to define $F(w')$ to be a SAT problem encoding problem of checking $w \in L$ given architecture (i.e. checking if M halts on input $w;u$ in state Q_y)
- We associate to M, w, u the following propositional variables:
 - $S_{i,k}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p(n)$ and $k \in \{q, y, h, \dots\}$
 Truth value of $S_{i,k}$ reps M being in State Q_k at stage i of computation
 - $H_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p(n)$, $-p(n) \leq j \leq p(n)$
 - " " $H_{i,j}$ reps head of M being on cell j " " "
 - $T_{i,j,\ell}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p(n)$, $-p(n) \leq j \leq p(n)$, $0 \leq \ell \leq N$. Reps j th cell of tape ℓ i th step of computation contains symbol σ_ℓ .

— We define $F(w)$ to be set of following clauses (or really: their conjunction — a SAT problem)

For every $i \leq p(n)$ the clauses

(1i) $\bigvee_k S_{i,k}$ ("M is in some state at stage i")

$\neg S_{i,k} \vee \neg S_{i,k'}$ for all $k \neq k'$
("M cannot be in two distinct states")

$\bigvee_{-p(n) \leq j \leq p(n)} H_{i,j}$ ("M is at some cell j")

$\neg H_{i,j} \vee \neg H_{i,j'}$ for all $j \neq j'$
("M not at two cells at once")

$\bigvee_{\substack{1 \leq n \\ -p(n) \leq j \leq p(n)}} T_{i,j,e}$ ("there is some symbol e in cell j")

$\neg T_{i,j,e} \vee \neg T_{i,j,e'}$ for all j , and $e \neq e'$
("not two symbols in cell j")

Then also the clauses

(2) $S_{0,w_j}, H_{0,w_j}, T_{0,w_j}$ for every j , where w_j is j th letter of w , or empty symbol if $j > |w|$ or < 0 .
(these instructions rep initial state)

(3) $\bigvee_{i \leq p(n)} S_{i,q}$ ("M halts in state q before $p(n)$ ")

(11C)

Finally, For every instruction $(q_k, \sigma_e, \sigma_e', D, q_k')$ if e.g. $D = R$, the clauses

- $\neg S_{i,k} \vee \neg H_{i,j} \vee \neg T_{i,j,e} \vee S_{i+1,k}$
(if in state q_k @ stage i , w/ tape at cell j ; symbol is σ_e , go to state q_k')
- $\neg S_{i,k} \vee \neg H_{i,j} \vee \neg T_{i,j,e} \vee T_{i+1,j,e'}$
(... and write $\sigma_{e'}$)
- $\neg S_{i,k} \vee \neg H_{i,j} \vee \neg T_{i,j,e} \vee H_{i+1,j+1}$
(... and move right)

analogously if $D = L$.

- Convince yourself: $f(w, u)$ can be computed in poly time from $w, u = w'$ (which is poly length in $|w|$)

- We claim: $w \in L$ (as checked by M on certificate u)

iff
 $f(w, u) \in SAT$

($\Rightarrow$) if $w \in L$ (as checked by M on w, u) then we can assign truth values to variables above according to the computations of M on input w, u — by construction this assignment satisfies the conjunction $f(w, u)$

$\Leftarrow$ If t is a truth assignment satisfying $F(w, u)$ for some $u \leq p(|w|)$ then by induction ~~one~~ one can check that $t(S_{i,j}) = \text{true}$ iff M is in state Q_i at step j of computation; likewise for the $A_{i,j}$'s and $T_{i,j}$'s.
 Thus since $\bigvee S_{i,j}$ holds, M must be in state Q_{i_0} before time $p(n)$ on input w, u
 i.e. $w \in L$ ✓

Problem (3-SAT): Given a ~~set~~ set of 3-disjunctions $C_1, \dots, C_n$ (i.e. each C_i of form $x_i \vee y_i \vee z_i$ each of x_i, y_i, z_i a var. or negated var.) determine if the conjunction $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n C_i$ is satisfiable.

In contrast to 2-SAT, 3-SAT is already as complicated as possible among SAT problems.

Prop'n 3-SAT is NP-complete.

PF: - we reduce SAT to 3-SAT

i.e. we show that every SAT prob can be translated into an equiv 3-SAT prob in poly time.

- Follows from following (x, y etc are vars or negated vars):

① x is equiv to $(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \neg y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee y \vee \neg z) \wedge (x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z)$

② $x \vee y$ is equiv to $(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee y \vee \neg z)$

③ $x \vee y \vee z$ equiv to itself

④ $x_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n$ (for $n > 3$) is satisfiable

iff: $(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee y_1) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg y_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x_4 \vee \neg y_2 \vee y_3) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_{n-2} \vee \neg y_{n-4} \vee y_{n-3}) \wedge (x_{n-1} \vee x_n \vee y_{n-3})$ is satisfiable.

- For ④: not saying expressions are equivalent (they're not), ~~the~~ the y_i are new variables (distinct from all others) - can check their value to constrain

- "is satisfiable" means relative to constraints imposed by other conjuncts.

- to see ④:

($\Rightarrow$) • if x_1 or x_2 true, make conjunct true by choosing y_i false $\forall i$

• if x_{n-1} or x_n true, choose y_i true for all i

- if x_i true for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, check y_i true $\forall y \leq i-2$ and false $\forall y \geq i-1$ ✓
- ($\Leftarrow$) if second expression is true must have at least one x_i true: if not then by induction all y_i true contradicting last conjunct.

So: given SAT problem ^{Acc} can translate to 3-SAT prob: replace every conjunct $x_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n$ w/ corresponding expression above; new 3-SAT prob is sat'ble iff orig is; translation takes poly time ✓

- Also many natural graph-theoretic problems are NP complete.

Problem (CLIQUE) Given a (finite) graph G and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ decide if G contains a copy of K_n (i.e. if there are n distinct vertices in G that are pairwise connected by an edge)

Prop'n CLIQUE is NP-complete.

- Clear that CLIQUE is in NP (why?)
- We reduce 3-SAT to CLIQUE