

(91)

- Claim I has b-f prop

① given $\pi \in I$ and $a \in M$

- π corresponds to some run of game, say w/ n stages in which I plays

$c_1, \dots, c_n$

- consider game in which I then plays $c_{n+1} = a$

- let $b = T(c_1, \dots, c_n, a) \in N$

- since II wins this game (no matter how I plays from here), if they play by T , $\pi' = \pi \cup \{(c, b)\}$ is a partial wo (in I) extending π w/ $a \in \text{dom}(\pi')$

- Symmetric given $b \in N$. ✓

- Next we'll show that finite length versions of the game can be used to characterize elementary equivalence

- write $G_n(\mu, \nu)$ for the game w/ only n rounds, o/w played like $G(\mu, \nu)$

- i.e. II wins if $f = \{(c_i, d_i) : i \leq n\} \cup \{(c^*, c^*) : c^* \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a partial wo from μ to ν , o/w I wins

- Goal is to show:

(92)

Thm Sps $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite relational lang.
 Given $\mathcal{L}$ -structures $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ we have $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$
 iff II has a winning strat. in $G_n(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- Before can prove, need to define quantifier depth of a formula
- given $\mathcal{L}$ -formula φ , inductively define $\text{depth}(\varphi)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(\varphi) &= 0 \quad \text{if } \varphi \text{ is quantifier free} \\ \text{depth}(\neg \varphi) &= \text{depth}(\varphi) \\ \text{depth}(\varphi \wedge \psi) &= \max\{\text{depth}(\varphi), \text{depth}(\psi)\} \\ \text{depth}(\exists x \varphi) &= \text{depth}(\varphi) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

e.g. $\text{depth}(\varphi_1 < \varphi_2) = 0$
 $\text{depth}(\forall x \exists y (y > x) \wedge \exists z (z = z)) = 2$

- ~~Given~~ Given $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ write $\mathcal{M} \equiv_n \mathcal{N}$ if for all sentences φ w/ $\text{depth}(\varphi) \leq n$ we have $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{N} \models \varphi$.
- So $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$ iff $\mathcal{M} \equiv_n \mathcal{N}$ for all n
- So thm will be proved if we show:

Claim II has a winning strat. in $G_n(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ iff $\mathcal{M} \equiv_n \mathcal{N}$.

- need first:

(98)

Lemma fix $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a finite list of formulas $\varphi_1(\vec{x}), \dots, \varphi_k(\vec{x})$ in the vars $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_l)$ s.t. every formula $\varphi(\vec{x})$ of depth $\leq n$ is equivalent to one of them.

~~($\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$)~~ (i.e. can find $J \subseteq K$ s.t. for any M and $\vec{a} \in M$, $M \models \varphi(\vec{a})$ iff $M \models \varphi_i(\vec{a})$)

PF = induction on the depth n

- if $n=0$, $\varphi(\vec{x})$ is quantifier free, i.e. a boolean combo (i.e. combo using $\wedge, \vee, \neg$) of atomic formulas

- only finitely many c's/R's + no f's in $\mathcal{L} \Rightarrow$ only finitely many terms.
 $\Rightarrow$ only finitely many atomic formulas $\tau_1(\vec{x}), \dots, \tau_r(\vec{x})$ in vars $\vec{x}$

- literally speaking there are inf. many boolean combos of the τ_i
 ... but only finitely many are inequivalent! (think about (1.))

(one way to make precise: "disjunctive normal form" - see pf in book for more details)

- done for $n=0$ ✓

- assume claim true for formulas of depth $\leq n$
- For every ℓ , formulas of ~~depth $\leq n$~~ depth $n+1$ look like $\Delta \exists x_{\ell+1} \varphi(\vec{x}, x_{\ell+1})$ where $\text{depth}(\varphi) \leq n$ boolean combos of formulas of form
- by ind. hyp. only finitely many inequiv formulas of depth n so similar reasoning $\Rightarrow$ only finitely many inequiv of depth $n+1$ ✓

We prove claim

PF: - induction on n (length of game)

- if $n=0$, $M \equiv_0 N$ iff $\{(c^M, c^N) : c \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a partial wo

iff Π wins empty game $G_0(c^M, c^N)$

- Sps claim true up to $n > 0$

- Consider n :

$(\Rightarrow)$ Sps $M \equiv_n N$

- must find winning strat for Π in G_n
- will be: "figure out turn 1, then follow my strat for G_{n-1} "
- for turn 1: Sps I plays $a \in M$ (if plays $b \in N$, symmetric arg)
- we find $b \in N$ s.t.

$$M \models \varphi(a) \text{ iff } N \models \varphi(b)$$

(95)

- for any formula φ of depth $< n$
- spt $\varphi_0(v), \dots, \varphi_m(v)$ list all formulas of depth at most $n-1$ in variable v , up to equivalence.
 - let $X = \{i \leq m : M \models \varphi_i(a)\}$
 - let $\Phi(v)$ be formula

$$\bigwedge_{i \in X} \varphi_i(v) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \notin X} \neg \varphi_i(v)$$
 - then $\text{depth}(\exists v \Phi(v)) = n$ and since $M \models \Phi(a)$ have $M \models \exists v \Phi(v)$
 - since $M \equiv_n N$ we have $N \models \exists v \Phi(v)$ so there is $b \in N$ s.t. $N \models \Phi(b)$
 - II plays b on turn 1.
 - Consider new lang $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L} \cup \{c\}$ where c is a new constant.
 - Write (M, a) and (N, b) for the $\mathcal{L}^*$ expansions of M, N where we interpret c^M as a and c^N as b , resp.
 - by above, for any $\varphi(v)$ of depth $\leq n-1$ have $M \models \varphi(a)$ iff $N \models \varphi(b)$
 - follows (since $M \equiv_n N$ also) that $(M, a) \equiv_{n-1} (N, b)$
 - by induction, II has a winning strat in $G_{n-1}((M, a), (N, b))$
 - for remaining $n-1$ turns of game $G_n(M, N)$

(96)

- II plays according to this strat.
- can check: if $F: (M, a) \rightarrow (N, b)$ is partial iso from G_{n-1} game,
 - then $F^*: M \rightarrow N$ defined by $F^* = F \cup \{(a, b)\}$ is partial iso $\Rightarrow$ II wins $G_n(M, N)$ ✓

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose $M \not\equiv_n N$ ✓

- there is sentence ~~ϕ~~ of depth $\leq n$ s.t. $M \models \phi$ and $N \not\models \phi$
- ϕ a bool'n combo of $\exists v \psi(v)$ w/ $\text{depth}(\psi) \leq n-1$
- $\Rightarrow$ must have formula of this form about which M, N disagree (cld would agree on bool'n combos dec)
- wlog say $M \models \exists v \psi(v)$ and $N \models \neg \exists v \psi(v)$ (i.e. $N \models \forall v \neg \psi(v)$)
- describe a winning strat for I in $G_n(M, N)$
 - on turn 1, play $a \in M$ s.t. $M \models \psi(a)$ (exists by hypothesis)
 - if II plays b , let $(M, a), (N, b)$ be defined as above
 - then since $N \not\models \psi(b)$ have $(M, a) \not\equiv_{n-1} (N, b)$
 - by induction, I has winning strat in $G_{n-1}(M, a), (N, b)$

(97)

check: if I plays according to this
 strategy ~~for remaining~~ for remaining
 $n-1$ turns, wins G_n ✓

Ex - We use theorem to find $\alpha_{\min}$
 for $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}, <)$

- let $L = \{<\}$. let T be axioms for
 linear order + the axiom

$$\forall x \exists y \exists z (y < x < z \wedge \neg \exists w (y < w < x \vee x < w < z))$$

"every x has unique predecessor and
 successor"

- what does a model $\models T$ look
 like?

- given $x \in N$, is contained in a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$:

$N: (\dots \circ \circ \circ \underset{x}{\circ} \circ \circ \dots)$

$\cong \mathbb{Z}$

- if there is $y \neq x$'s copy of $\mathbb{Z}$, contained
 in its own copy

$N: (\dots \circ \circ \circ \underset{x}{\circ} \circ \circ \dots) (\dots \circ \circ \circ \underset{y}{\circ} \circ \circ \dots)$

- copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ are ordered w.r.t. one
 another.

98

- if "L-many" copies (L a linear order)
- then N isomorphic to $(L \times \mathbb{N}, <_{lex})$
- e.g. $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ looks like: