## Calibration-free absolute quantification of optical absorption coefficients using acoustic spectra in 3D photoacoustic microscopy of biological tissue

Zijian Guo, Song Hu, and Lihong V. Wang\*

Optical Imaging Laboratory, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Washington University, 1 Brookings Drive, Saint Louis, Missouri 63130, USA \*Corresponding author: lhwang@biomed.wustl.edu

Received February 9, 2010; revised May 14, 2010; accepted May 9, 2010; posted June 1, 2010 (Doc. ID 123979); published June 10, 2010

Optical absorption is closely associated with many physiological important parameters, such as the concentration and oxygen saturation of hemoglobin, and it can be used to quantify the concentrations of nonfluorescent molecules. We propose a method to use acoustic spectra of photoacoustic signals to quantify the absolute optical absorption. This method is self-calibrating and thus insensitive to variations in the optical fluence. Factors such as system bandwidth and acoustic attenuation can affect the quantification but can be canceled by dividing the acoustic spectra measured at two optical wavelengths. Using optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy, we quantified the absolute optical absorption of black ink samples with various concentrations. We also quantified both the concentration and oxygen saturation of hemoglobin in a live mouse in absolute units. © 2010 Optical Society of America *OCIS codes:* 170.3880, 170.5120, 300.1030, 170.1460.

Total, oxygenated, and deoxygenated hemoglobin concentrations ([HbT], [HbO<sub>2</sub>], and [HbR]) are fundamental pathophysiological parameters in biomedicine. Several techniques have been developed to quantify hemoglobin concentration or blood oxygen saturation ( $sO_2$ ) *in vivo*, including near-IR spectroscopy (NIRS), blood oxygen level dependent contrast magnetic resonance imaging, electron paramagnetic resonance imaging, positron emission tomography, and single photon emission computed tomography. However, all of these modalities have disadvantages, for example, poor spatial resolution, relative quantification, and undesirable contrast agent injection [1].

Photoacoustic (PA) tomography (PAT) has already demonstrated its ability to monitor biological hemodynamic functions without using exogenous contrast agents [2]. In Pennsylvania imaging, the sample is illuminated, usually by a pulsed laser, and, following the absorption of optical energy, an initial pressure is generated via thermoelastic expansion. The PA waves then propagate and are detected by ultrasonic sensors. The strength of the initial pressure is directly proportional to the absorbed optical energy in the tissue and, therefore, multiwavelength PA measurements can yield optical absorption spectral information. Because ultrasound scattering is much weaker than optical scattering in biological tissues, PAT is capable of high-resolution imaging at depths beyond the optical transport mean free path. Moreover, because PA imaging inherently exploits optical absorption contrast, it usually has a higher sensitivity to optical absorption than other optical imaging technologies [2]. By measuring the optical absorption spectrum with PA imaging, we can potentially quantify  $sO_2$  in the same way that NIRS does [3], except with high spatial resolution and sensitivity.

Nevertheless, challenges remain. Photoacoustic images are spatial mappings of the absorbed optical energy  $A(\vec{r})$  (Jm<sup>-3</sup>), which is the product of the optical absorption coefficient  $\mu_a(\vec{r})$  (m<sup>-1</sup>) and the fluence  $F(\vec{r})$  (Jm<sup>-2</sup>). To obtain the intrinsic tissue property  $\mu_a(\vec{r})$ , we must somehow compensate for the extrinsic quantity

F(r). Currently, fluence compensation can be done invasively [1,4] or noninvasively [5,6]. The invasive method puts an optical absorber with a known spectrum close to the region of interest, and then normalizes the measured PA signals from the objects with the amplitudes of the PA signals from the absorber. The noninvasive method involves solving the radiative transfer equation and PA wave equations with iterative algorithms. All of the methods mentioned above are based on the linear relationship between the local fluence incident on the blood vessel and the peak amplitude of the PA signal. The temporal profile of the PA signal was also used to quantify the optical absorption coefficients [7-10]. This method is self-calibrating, since it depends on the relative temporal profile rather than the absolute amplitude of the PA signal. However, directly fitting the temporal profile may be inaccurate, since the temporal profiles are usually distorted by the limited bandwidth of ultrasonic detectors and the acoustic attenuation.

In this Letter, we propose to use the acoustic spectra of the PA signals to quantify optical absorption. This method is also self-calibrating, since it deals only with the relative change in various acoustic frequencies. The acoustic spectrum  $S(\omega)$  of the received PA signal depends on three factors: (1) the "real" object spectrum  $O(\omega)$  measured with unit fluence, which is related to the target object's shape, size, optical properties, and fluence incident directions; (2) the system dependent response  $H(\omega)$ , which is the Fourier transform of the PA signal from an ideal point absorber measured with this system without acoustic attenuation in the tissue; and (3) the acoustic attenuation effect  $a(\omega)$ , which is related to the acoustic properties of the tissue that lies between the target objects and the detector. Based on the system linearity assumption, we have  $S(\omega) = F(\lambda_1)O(\omega)H(\omega)a(\omega)$ . The last two terms remain unchanged when samples are measured with the same system under the same condition and, therefore, are usually cancellable. An obvious example is where light at various optical wavelengths is used to excite one blood vessel. Therefore, by simply



Fig. 1. (Color online) Schematic of the OR-PAM system and experimental setup. (a) Schematic of the OR-PAM system. (b) The optical focus is much smaller than the targeted blood vessel, whose top surface within the optical focal diameter can, therefore, be approximated as a plane.

dividing the PA acoustic spectra measured at two optical wavelengths, we can eliminate the system-dependent effects and the acoustic attenuation effect. As such, the absolute value of  $\mu_a$  can be quantified.

As the first feasibility study, we validated this idea using one form of PA imaging, optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy (OR-PAM) [11]. In OR-PAM, the lateral resolution of the system (5  $\mu$ m) relied on optical focusing. The laser beam from the dye laser is focused by an objective lens, which is confocally configured with a focused ultrasonic transducer (50 MHz, 80% bandwidth) [Fig. 1(a)]. Photoacoustic A-scan signals are acquired through time-resolved ultrasonic detections, and three-dimensional (3D) images are formed by raster scanning the ultrasonic transducer along the transverse plane. The surfaces of big blood vessels with  $>30 \ \mu m$  diameter can be roughly treated as flat surfaces [Fig. 1(b)]. If we use  $F_0$  to denote the incident fluence on the surface of the blood vessel, the fluence inside the blood vessel obeys Beer's law:

$$O(t) = \Gamma \mu_a \exp(-\mu_a ct), \tag{1}$$

where c is the speed of sound in the biological tissue and  $\Gamma$  is the Grüneisen coefficient. Here, the reduced scattering coefficient is much less than the absorption coefficient, because the anisotropy factor is so close to 1 in blood in the optical spectral region we used (around 585 nm) that it is neglected. The 3D Gaussian beam profile (Rayleigh range ~30  $\mu$ m) should be considered if the assumption of laser beam collimation is invalid. If the PA signals of the blood vessel are measured at two optical wavelengths, the ratio of the spectra of the PA signals can be written as

$$\frac{S_1(\omega)}{S_2(\omega)} = \frac{F(\lambda_1)O_1(\omega)H(\omega)a(\omega)}{F(\lambda_2)O_2(\omega)H(\omega)a(\omega)} = \frac{F(\lambda_1)\sqrt{(\omega/\mu_{a2})^2 + c^2}}{F(\lambda_2)\sqrt{(\omega/\mu_{a1})^2 + c^2}},$$
(2)

where  $O(\omega)$  is the Fourier transformation of Eq. (1). By fitting this ratio, we can derive the absolute values of  $\mu_{a1}$ ,  $\mu_{a2}$ , and  $F(\lambda_1)/F(\lambda_2)$ .

In a phantom study, the original black ink was diluted with water in six ratios ranging from 1:1 to 1:6. The original and diluted ink samples were sequentially placed in a container, sealed with plastic membrane, and then the container was placed in a water tank. Photoacoustic A-line signals were acquired from these samples, and the



Fig. 2. (Color online) Quantifying the optical absorption coefficients of ink. (a) Acoustic spectra of PA signals from original and diluted black ink samples. (b) Ratio of the acoustic spectral amplitudes of PA signals from two samples and fitting with the theoretical formula. (c) Fitting result with seven phantoms (without the covered layer) and three samples (with the covered layer). (d) Acoustic spectra measured from the original black ink with and without the covered layer.

acoustic spectra of the PA signals are shown in Fig. 2(a). Compared with the spectrum of the PA signal from the original ink sample, the spectra of the PA signals from the diluted ink samples are "shifted" to lower frequencies. Light penetrated deeper in lower concentration ink samples, and the corresponding PA signals decay more slowly in the time domain. Therefore, the spectra contain more low-frequency components. By dividing the measured spectra of any two ink samples frequency by frequency [Fig. 2(b)], we can find the absorption coefficients of both samples by fitting the resultant ratio curve with Eq. (2). Because parts of the spectra (gray bands) are unreliable owing to limited band detection, they are not used for the fitting. By pairing the spectrum from the 1:1 diluted ink sample with the spectra from other six samples, we quantified the absorption coefficients of all seven samples [Fig. 2(c)]. To demonstrate that the recovered absorption coefficients are independent of acoustic



Fig. 3. (Color online) Quantifying the optical absorption coefficients of *ex vivo* bovine blood and *in vivo* blood vessels in a nude mouse ear. (a) Ratios of the acoustic spectral amplitudes of PA signals from oxygenated bovine blood measured *ex vivo* at various optical wavelengths and fittings with the theoretical formula. (b) Structural image acquired at 570 nm (inset), and ratios of the acoustic spectral amplitudes of PA signals measured *in vivo* with two optical wavelengths (570 and 561 nm) from arteries and veins.

Table 1. Absorption Coefficients, the Fluence Ratio, the Hemoglobin Concentrations,<br/>and the  $sO_2$  Quantified from Two Optical Wavelength Measurements

	$\mu_a(\lambda_1)~(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$\mu_a(\lambda_2)~(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$F(\lambda_2)/F(\lambda_1)=1$	[HbT] $(g/L)$	$[\mathrm{HbO}_2]~(\mathrm{g/L})$	[HbR] $(g/L)$	$sO_2$	$sO_2^{\ a}$
$V_1$ (Artery) $V_2$ (Vein)	$\begin{array}{c} 143\pm 3\\ 159\pm 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 188\pm4\\ 186\pm5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.96 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.96 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 110.6 \pm 8.1 \\ 110.2 \pm 9.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 106.2 \pm 4.3 \\ 77.1 \pm 4.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.4\pm3.8\\ 33.1\pm4.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.96 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.70 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	$0.88 \\ 0.62$

<sup>*a*</sup>With  $F(\lambda_2)/F(\lambda_1) = 1$ .

attenuation and optical fluence, we covered three of the ink samples with an identical layer of optical phantom (~1.5 mm 2% Agar, 0.1% intralipid, 1% black ink). The spectra of the PA signals from the ink sample with and without this layer differ [Fig. 2(d)] because of the acoustic attenuation. Since the acoustic properties of the layer added between the samples and the detector are the same for the three ink samples, the acoustic attenuation can be canceled by taking the ratio of the acoustic spectra of PA signals from any two covered ink samples. The quantification results are shown in Fig. 2(c).

In another phantom study, we quantified the optical absorption of oxygenated bovine blood at three optical wavelengths (580, 585, and 590 nm). We adjusted the power of the incident laser pulses so that the peaks of the PA signal amplitudes are the same, although not required. From the point-by-point acoustic spectral ratios [Fig. 3(a)], the optical absorption coefficients of the sample were quantified to be  $266 \pm 5$ ,  $169 \pm 4$ , and  $80 \pm 4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at the three optical wavelengths. The incident fluence ratios  $F_{13} = F(\lambda_1)/F(\lambda_3)$  and  $F_{23} = F(\lambda_2)/F(\lambda_3)$  were quantified to be  $0.30 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.47 \pm 0.01$ , which agree with the experimentally measured laser power.

In an *in vivo* experiment, we imaged a  $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$ region in a nude mouse ear with two optical wavelengths (561 and 570 nm). The PA maximum amplitude projection (MAP) image was acquired with an optical wavelength of 570 nm. Each point in the MAP image records the maximum value of the PA A-scan. Two vessels, marked with  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in Fig. 3(b), were selected for a quantitative study. The A-scans acquired within these two vessels were properly aligned by their maximum values and then averaged. Since the PA method is sensitive to boundaries of blood vessels [12], we usually can see both the top and bottom boundaries of the blood vessel in each A-scan. The bottom boundaries, where the light beam is no longer collimated, were removed from the data. The absorption coefficients and the incident fluence ratios were quantified from the acoustic spectral ratios [Fig. 3(b)]. The [HbT], [HbO<sub>2</sub>], and [HbR], together with the  $sO_2$ , values were calculated based on the quantified optical absorption coefficients at the two optical wavelengths (Table 1). According to the  $sO_2$  values,  $V_1$ and  $V_2$  were identified to be an arteriole-venule pair. If we ignore the wavelength-dependent fluence, the quantified  $sO_2$  values become inaccurate by approximately 8% and 11% for the artery and the vein, respectively. Moreover, because  $H(\omega)$  and  $a(\omega)$  are roughly the same for both vessels, the optical absorption coefficients of both vessels can be quantified with a single optical wavelength (561 nm) measurement. The  $\mu_a$  values at 561 nm for both blood vessels were quantified from the acoustic spectral ratio [Fig. 3(b)]. The fitted  $\mu_a$  agree with the values in Table 1.

In summary, we demonstrated the feasibility of using the acoustic spectra to quantify the optical absorption coefficients *in vivo* with OR-PAM. This method is selfcalibrating and, thus, is insensitive to absolute optical fluence. By taking advantage of the cancellation effect, the acoustic attenuation and system limited bandwidth can be corrected with multiwavelength measurements. Moreover, this method can quantify the absolute value of  $\mu_a$ , which can be used to quantify hemoglobin concentrations in absolute units.

This work was sponsored in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01 EB000712, R01 EB008085, R01 CA113453901, U54 CA136398, and 5P60 DK02057933. L. W. has a financial interest in Microphotoacoustics, Inc. and Endra, Inc., which, however, did not support this work.

## References

- H. F. Zhang, K. Maslov, M. Sivaramakrishnan, G. Stoica, and L. H. V. Wang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90, 053901 (2007).
- L. V. Wang and H. Wu, Biomedical Optics: Principles and Imaging (Wiley, 2007).
- B. Chance, E. Borer, A. Evans, G. Holtom, J. Kent, M. Maris, K. McCully, J. Northrop, and M. Shinkwin, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 551, 1 (1988).
- K. Maslov, H. F. Zhang, and L. V. Wang, Inverse Probl. 23, S113 (2007).
- 5. J. Laufer, D. Delpy, C. Elwell, and P. Beard, Phys. Med. Biol. **52**, 141 (2007).
- 6. Z. Yuan and H. B. Jiang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 231101 (2006).
- R. O. Esenaliev, I. V. Larina, K. V. Larin, D. J. Deyo, M. Motamedi, and D. S. Prough, Appl. Opt. 41, 4722 (2002).
- J. Laufer, C. Elwell, D. Delpy, and P. Beard, Phys. Med. Biol. 50, 4409 (2005).
- Y. Y. Petrov, I. Y. Petrova, I. A. Patrikeev, R. O. Esenaliev, and D. S. Prough, Opt. Lett. **31**, 1827 (2006).
- 10. Y. Wang and R. K. Wang, Phys. Med. Biol. 53, 6167 (2008).
- K. Maslov, H. F. Zhang, S. Hu, and L. V. Wang, Opt. Lett. 33, 929 (2008).
- 12. Z. Guo, L. Li, and L. V. Wang, Med. Phys. 36, 4084 (2009).