

## Lecture 16

For any  $m \times m$ -matrix

$$M = (m_{ij}), 1 \leq i, j \leq m,$$

the exponential of  $M$  is defined by

$$\exp(M) = e^M = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^n}{n!},$$

when the right hand side converges, with  $M^0 = I$ . Notation:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ c_n & d_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \end{pmatrix}$$

**Why is this relevant for us?**

**Reason:** If we have  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}' = \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx_1}{dt} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dx_m}{dt} \end{pmatrix}$ , and

$A$  an  $m \times m$ -matrix with constant coefficients, a basic set of solutions is given by the columns of the matrix

$$\Phi(t) = e^{At}$$

*Note:*  $\Phi'(t) = Ae^{At} = A\Phi(t)$ . A set of basic solutions are given by the columns of  $\Phi(t) = (x^{(1)} x^{(2)} \dots x^{(m)})$ , with

$$x^{(i)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(i)}(t) \\ x_2^{(i)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ x_m^{(i)}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall i \leq m.$$

We have mostly looked so far at the case where  $A$  has *distinct* eigenvalues. A modification is necessary for *repeated eigenvalues*!

Now we will look at the exponential of some simple  $2 \times 2$ -cases:

(i) *A* is diagonal:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^2 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, A^n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^n \end{pmatrix}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} e^A &= A^0 + \frac{A^1}{1!} + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{A^n}{n!} + \dots \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^2}{2!} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_2^2}{2!} \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_1^n}{n!} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_2^n}{n!} \end{pmatrix} + \dots \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\lambda_1^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_1^n}{n!} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_2^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_2^n}{n!} + \dots \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Conclusion:**

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

*Note:*

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} e^a & e^b \\ e^c & e^d \end{pmatrix}!$$

(ii) *A*: upper triangular

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2\alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3\alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, A^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n\alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{by induction})$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} e^A &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n!} & \frac{n\alpha}{n!} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n!} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\alpha}{n!} \\ 0 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} = e$$

$$\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n!} = \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} = \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} = \alpha e$$

$$\implies e^A = \begin{pmatrix} e & \alpha e \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

*Check:* For  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & \alpha e^t \\ 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

(iii)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^2 = - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad A^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^3 & 3\lambda^2 \\ 0 & \lambda^3 \end{pmatrix} \dots A^n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Remembering that  $A^0 = I$ ,

$$e^A = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\lambda^{n-1}}{n!} \\ 0 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that for any  $t$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n\lambda^{n-1}t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1}t^n}{(n-1)!} = t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k t^k}{k!} = te^{\lambda t}$$

Putting  $t = 1$ ,

$$e^A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda} & e^{\lambda} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

*Check:* For  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & te^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It appears hopeless to get a nice expression for  $e^M$  for an arbitrary  $m \times m$  matrix  $M = (m_{ij})$ , even for  $m = 2$ . We tackle this problem by appealing to *similarity of matrices* (also called *conjugacy*).

One says that 2 matrices  $M, M'$  are **similar** (or *conjugate*) iff we can find an *invertible* matrix  $B$ , meaning a nonsingular matrix, such that

$$M' = B^{-1}MB.$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M') &= \underbrace{\det(B^{-1}) \det(M) \det(B)}_{=1} \\ \Rightarrow \det M' &= \det M \end{aligned}$$

Powers of  $M'$  and the exponential:

$$\begin{aligned} M' &= B^{-1}MB \\ (M')^2 &= (B^{-1}MB)(B^{-1}MB) \\ &= B^{-1}MIMB \\ &= B^{-1}M^2B \text{ so } (B^{-1}MB)^2 = B^{-2}M^2B \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly } (B^{-1}MB)^3 = B^{-1}M^3B$$

So in general,  $(B^{-1}MB)^n = B^{-1}M^nB$  for any  $n \geq 0$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(B^{-1}MB) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(B^{-1}MB)^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B^{-1}M^nB}{n!} \\ &= B^{-1} \left( \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^n}{n!}}_{\exp(M)} \right) B \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$(*) \quad e^{B^{-1}MB} = B^{-1}e^M B$$

A natural question which arises is to know whether every  $m \times m$ -matrix  $M$  is similar to a matrix  $M'$  for which we can compute its exponential, thereby allowing us to know  $e^M$  as well by the identity (\*). Camille Jordan gave a

nice positive answer to this, and for  $m = 2$ , here is the statement, which can be checked by hand using matrix multiplication.

**Theorem** Suppose  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $M$  is similar, we can find an invertible matrix  $B$  st  $B^{-1}MB$  is of one of the following form

$$(i) \quad B^{-1}MB = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(ii) \quad B^{-1}MB = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, if  $M' = B^{-1}MB$ , then we can explicitly calculate  $e^{M'}$ , and hence also determine  $e^M$  using  $M = BM'B^{-1}$ , because

$$e^M = Be^{M'}B^{-1}.$$

In case (i) of this Theorem, called the *Jordan decomposition* (for  $m = 2$ ),  $M$  is called *diagonalizable*, but the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  may or may not be distinct.

## Lecture 17

More on repeated eigenvalues and the Jordan decomposition:

Recall that  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , has two independent solutions  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}$  given by

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Check: } \frac{d\mathbf{x}^{(1)}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda e^{\lambda t} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} te^{\lambda t} \\ e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} te^{\lambda t} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t}$$

$$\text{Check: } \frac{d\mathbf{x}^{(2)}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} + \lambda te^{\lambda t} \\ \lambda e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} te^{\lambda t} \\ e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$$

The vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is chosen first because it is an eigenvector for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , or equivalently

$$(A - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Here  $A - \lambda$  means  $A - \lambda I$ , and  $\mathbf{0}$  is the zero vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Note:** To get independent solutions, we would need to choose a second vector  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$  carefully. Note that

$$A\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda a + b \\ \lambda b \end{pmatrix} \neq \lambda \mathbf{v} \text{ if } b \neq 0.$$

Of course,  $b = 0$  iff  $\mathbf{v}$  is proportional to the first eigenvector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . In particular, we cannot choose  $\mathbf{v}$  to be the other unit vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Nevertheless, we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} &= (A - \lambda) \underbrace{\left( (A - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)}_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} = \mathbf{0}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Conclusion:**

(i)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector for  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , i.e.,

$$(A - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

(ii)  $(A - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is not an eigenvector but  $(A - \lambda)^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$ .

We call  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  a *generalized eigenvector* for  $A$  relative to  $\lambda$ .

**General definition:** For any positive integer  $n$ , given an  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , a *generalized eigenvector* for  $A$  relative to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is a non-zero vector  $v$  such that  $(A - \lambda)^k v = \mathbf{0}$ , for some  $k$ , with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Recall that if  $A$  has *distinct eigenvalues*  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then we can find a basis of the  $n$ -space consisting of eigenvectors for  $A$ . If this basis is  $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(n)}$  with  $Av^{(j)} = \lambda_j v^{(j)}$ , we can put  $B = (v^{(1)}, v^{(2)} \dots v^{(n)})$ .

$$\text{Then } B^{-1}AB = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Having distinct eigenvalues implies that the matrix  $A$  in question is diagonalizable, but the converse is not necessarily true. For example, look at  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , which is diagonal but has 1 as a repeated eigenvalue.

*Note:* There are many matrices with repeated eigenvalues that are not diagonalizable,

$$\text{e.g., } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**A general fact:** (without proof)

*For any matrix  $A$ , we can find a basis consisting of generalized eigenvectors.*

**Example 1:**

$$n = 2, A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{Basis: } \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{generalized eigenvectors}}$$

**Example 2:**

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 - \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix} = (3 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda) + 1 \\ &= 4 - 4\lambda + \lambda^2 \\ &= (\lambda - 2)^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\lambda = 2$  is the unique eigenvalue with multiplicity 2. There is only one eigenvector up to scaling. To see this, we solve:

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} &= 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ x_1 - x_2 &= 0 \\ x_1 - x_2 &= 0 \\ x_1 &= x_2 \end{aligned}$$

So every eigenvector is a multiple of  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . To get the second independent



vector, which can only be a *generalized* eigenvector, not a true one, we solve:

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{w}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ y_1 - y_2 &= 1 \\ y_1 - y_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

So we may choose  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  as our generalized eigenvector, since  $(A - \lambda)^2 \mathbf{w} = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{w}$  is linearly independent from  $\mathbf{v}$ .

Thus we have found a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  made up of generalized eigenvectors for  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , namely  $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \det(B) = -1 \\ B^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\det(B)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ B^{-1}AB &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with } \lambda = 2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a special case of the decomposition asserted by Jordan for  $2 \times 2$ -matrices.

### **Jordan decomposition for $(n \times n)$ -matrices:**

*Given any  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k$  having multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  respectively, we can find an invertible  $n \times n$ -matrix*

$B$ , and positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^r n_j = n$ , such that

$$B^{-1}AB = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & & 0 \\ & M_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & M_r \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a block diagonal matrix, with each block  $M_j$  being an  $(n_j \times n_j)$ -matrix of the form

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda_j & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \lambda_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where the only non-zero entries are either those on the diagonal, which are all  $\lambda_j$ , an eigenvalue of  $A$ , and those on the super-diagonal, i.e., the line parallel to the diagonal and just above it, which are all 1's. Moreover, every eigenvalue is some  $\lambda_j$ , but the  $\lambda_j$ 's may not all be distinct.

Note that  $B^{-1}AB$  is block diagonal, but is not diagonal unless all the  $n_j$ 's are 1. Furthermore, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then its multiplicity  $m_\lambda$  is given by

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{j \in J_\lambda} n_j,$$

where  $J_\lambda$  is the set of  $j \leq r$  such that  $\lambda_j = \lambda$ .

Recall (from the previous lecture) that for  $n = 2$ , there are two Jordan forms, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $n = 3$ , there are four Jordan forms:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Lecture 18

Consider the linear system of first order ODE's with constant coefficients:

$$\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (*)$$

Suppose we have found an invertible matrix  $B$  such that  $B^{-1}AB$  is a diagonal matrix  $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ . To get a solution to (\*), define a new (vector) variable  $\mathbf{y} = B^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ , which implies  $\mathbf{x} = B\mathbf{y}$ . Then  $\mathbf{y}' = B^{-1}\mathbf{x}' = B^{-1}A\mathbf{x} = B^{-1}AB\mathbf{y}$ , and so  $\mathbf{y}$  satisfies the simpler ODE::

$$(**) \quad \mathbf{y}' = D\mathbf{y}.$$

A *Special solution matrix* for (\*\*) is obtained by exponentiating  $Dt$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = e^{Dt} &= \exp \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 t & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2 t & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & & 0 \\ & e^{\lambda_2 t} & \\ 0 & & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The special set of independent solutions are

$$\mathbf{y}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{\lambda_2 t} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{y}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding solutions for  $\mathbf{x}$  satisfying (\*) are

$$\mathbf{x}^{(j)} = B\mathbf{y}^{(j)}, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Any time we are given  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}$  with  $A$  a constant  $n \times n$  matrix we can get a matrix of solutions as:

$$\Phi(t) = e^{At}$$

We can get a basis of solutions if  $\det[\Phi(t)] \neq 0$ .

*Problem:* It is hard to compute  $e^{At}$ , when there is no eigenbasis.

A way out is to use the Jordan decomposition for  $A$  (discussed last time), which allows us to find a similar matrix in block diagonal form of a specific type, allowing us to compute the exponential.

### **A remark on linear homogeneous systems with nonconstant coefficients**

Suppose we have to solve  $\mathbf{x}' = A(t)\mathbf{x}$ , with  $A(t)$  a non-constant matrix. A special solution matrix is given by

$$\Phi(t) = e^{\int_0^t A(u)du},$$

and to make sense of this, we need to know that  $A(t)$  is integrable; a sufficient condition, which we will often assume, is to have  $A(t)$  be a continuous (matrix) function of  $t$ . We have, by the *Fundamental Theorem of calculus*,

$$\Phi'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^t A(u)du \Phi(t) \right) = A(t)\Phi(t).$$

### **Example**

$$n = 2, \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x}' = A(t)\mathbf{x}, A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &= e^{\int_0^t A(u)du} \\ \int_0^t A(u)du &= \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3}t^3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow \Phi(t) &= e^{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3}t^3 \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{1}{3}t^3} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

### **Inhomogeneous linear systems with constant coefficients**

Homogeneous:  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}$   $\mathbf{x}' = \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}$

Non-homogeneous:  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{G}(t)$ ,

where  $\mathbf{G}(t)$  purely a vector function of  $t$ .

Even the case  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{1}$  is not trivial for inhomogeneous equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = ax + g(t), \quad a \neq 0$$

If  $g(t) = c$ , a constant, then  $x = -c/a$  is the equilibrium solution, and for  $x + \frac{c}{a} \neq 0$ , we have  $\int \frac{dx/dt}{x+c/a} dt = \int a dt$ , yielding  $\ln \left| x + \frac{c}{a} \right| = at$

*General solution:*

$$x = -\frac{c}{a} + Be^{at}, \quad B \in \mathbb{R},$$

with  $B = 0$  giving the equilibrium solution.

It is a bit more subtle when  $g(t)$  is not a constant. Given

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = ax + g(t), \quad a \neq 0,$$

with  $g(t)$  integrable, one tries

$$x = h(t)e^{at},$$

where  $h(t)$  will be suitably chosen differentiable function. We have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = ax + h'(t)e^{at}.$$

So we need to choose  $h(t)$  such that

$$h'(t) = e^{-at}g(t).$$

Note that this fixes  $h(t)$  up to adding an arbitrary constant.

We may choose

$$h(t) = \int_b^t e^{-au}g(u)du,$$

where  $b$  is a real number; a particular solution is obtained by taking  $b = 0$ , for example. Any change of  $b$  only adds a constant to  $h(t)$ , as expected.

So the general solution is obtained as

$$x(t) = e^{at} \int_b^t e^{-au}g(u)du,$$

for an arbitrary  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can also write this as

$$x(t) = Be^{at} + e^{at} \int_0^t e^{-au}g(u)du,$$

where  $B$  is an arbitrary constant. These two representations are equivalent, and one can pass from the first to the second, for example, by setting  $B = -\int_0^b e^{-au}g(u)du$ .

**For an arbitrary  $n \times n$  system:**

Consider

$$\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x} + G(t), \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad G(t) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $A$  is a diagonal matrix, i.e.,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & 0 \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1' \\ x_2' \\ \vdots \\ x_n' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 x_1 + g_1(t) \\ \lambda_2 x_2 + g_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n x_n + g_n(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

which gives  $n$  independent linear equations. So we may apply in this case the solution we obtained above in the  $n = 1$  case to each row, and obtain the general solution to the linear system of ODE's as

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} \int_{b_1}^t e^{-\lambda_1 u} g_1(u) du \\ e^{\lambda_2 t} \int_{b_2}^t e^{-\lambda_2 u} g_2(u) du \\ \vdots \\ e^{\lambda_n t} \int_{b_n}^t e^{-\lambda_n u} g_n(u) du \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$  is an arbitrary (constant) vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

This method can be extended to solve the inhomogeneous system if  $A$  is diagonalizable, i.e., when  $M^{-1}AM$  is, for a non-singular matrix  $M$ , a diagonal matrix  $D$ .

Sometimes one can solve the inhomogeneous equations without trying to diagonalize the matrix:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = A\mathbf{x} + e^{rt}\mathbf{z}, \quad \mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{z}$  is a constant vector, i.e., independent of  $t$ . Try:

$$\mathbf{x} = e^{rt}C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant vector. Then  $\mathbf{x}' = re^{rt}C$ , which equals  $Ae^{rt}C + e^{rt}\mathbf{z}$  iff we have

$$C = (r - A)^{-1}\mathbf{z}.$$

Hence the general solution is

$$\mathbf{x} = e^{rt}(r - A)^{-1}\mathbf{z}.$$