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Effective field theory in condensed matter physics

Microscopic models (e.g., Hubbard/t-J, lattice spin Hamiltonians, etc)

`Low energy' effective field theory

`Low energy' experiments/phenomenology
Effective field theory: *minimal* requirements/challenges

1. **`Tractable’**: Must be simpler to understand than original microscopic models and relate to experiments

- continuum field theory often useful (see Lee, Metlitski talks) but not necessarily of the kind familiar from high energy physics.
Effective field theory: \textit{minimal} requirements/challenges

1. `\textbf{Tractable}': Must be simpler to understand than original microscopic models and to relate to experiments
   - continuum field theory often useful (see Lee, Metlitski talks) but not necessarily of the kind familiar from high energy physics.

2. `\textbf{Emergable}': A proposed low energy field theory must (at the very least) be \textit{capable of emerging} from microscopic lattice models in the `\textit{right} physical Hilbert space with the \textit{right symmetries}.
   - demonstrate by calculations on `designer' lattice Hamiltonians (see Kaul, Fisher talks).

Designer Hamiltonians do not need to be realistic to serve their purpose.
Conventional condensed matter physics

Hartee-Fock + fluctuations

Structure of effective field theory:
Landau quasiparticles + broken symmetry order parameters (if any).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Field Theory</th>
<th>Sample designer Hamiltonian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>Fermi Liquid Theory</td>
<td>Eg, Jellium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superconductors</td>
<td>Landau-Ginzburg + Bogoliubov quasiparticles</td>
<td>Reduced BCS Hamiltonian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiferromagnets</td>
<td>Nonlinear sigma model</td>
<td>Eg, Nearest neighbour Heisenberg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Beyond quasiparticles

Non-fermi liquid metals, quantum critical points, some (gapless) quantum spin liquids........

What are the useful degrees of freedom for formulating an effective field theory?

Field theory not necessarily in terms of electrons + Landau order parameters.

A powerful approach (all talks in this session):

Slave particles (partons): Fractionalize spin/electron into partons which are then gapless
Beyond quasiparticles: Slave particle paradigm

Examples:
1. Quantum spin system

\[ \tilde{S}_r = \frac{1}{2} f_r^\dagger \sigma f_r \]  

Field theory: Fermionic spinons \( f_{r\alpha} \) gapless, and coupled to fluctuating emergent gauge fields.

2. Electronic systems

\[ c_\alpha = b f_\alpha \] 

Effective field theory in terms of \((b, f)\) + gauge fields.

Further fractionalize \( b \) as product of 2 fermions to get gapless non-Fermi liquid (Fisher talk)
## Slave particles at work: Field theories of `exotic’ phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Field Theory</th>
<th>Sample designer Hamiltonian</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>Fermi Liquid Theory</td>
<td>Eg,Jellium</td>
<td>Conventional quasiparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superconductors</td>
<td>Landau-Ginzburg + Bogoliubov quasiparticles</td>
<td>Reduced BCS Hamiltonian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiferromagnets</td>
<td>Nonlinear sigma model</td>
<td>Eg, Nearest neighbour Heisenberg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractional quantum Hall</td>
<td>Chern-Simons</td>
<td>Many solvable models</td>
<td>Exotic quasiparticles (fractional statistics/ quantum numbers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gapped quantum spin liquids</td>
<td>Deconfined discrete gauge theory</td>
<td>Eg: ```Toric code”</td>
<td>Beyond quasiparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gapless quantum spin liquids</td>
<td>Gauge theory + gapless charge neutral `matter'</td>
<td>Eg: Ring exchange model, Sandvik J-Q model (Kaul talk), Kitaev honeycomb model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-fermi liquid metals</td>
<td>Gauge theory + gapless charged `matter’</td>
<td>Solvable models (Nandkishore, Metlitski, TS 2012), ```t-J-K” model (Fisher talk)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments on slave particle framework

1. **Conceptually important** construction of effective field theories of a class of quantum phases/phase transitions that are beyond standard quasiparticles

2. Often slave particle effective field theories are both tractable and emergable.

Theoretical demonstration of many unusual phenomena; some successful contact with experiments (eg, FQHE, 1/2-filled Landau level, some quantum spin liquids)

Essentially only *available* framework for field theory `beyond quasiparticles`.
Beyond (standard) slave particles?

Some examples:

1. Are there quantum spin liquids that cannot be understood easily within the slave particle framework?

   Apparently yes.

   (i) Quantum vortex liquid phases of quantum XY magnets/frustrated boson systems

   Chong Wang, TS, to appear; see poster.

   (ii) Quantum liquid of fluctuating spiral magnetic orders (inspired by MnSi).


2. Holographic liquids: Tractable but are they emergable?