


# Crystal Calorimeters at Linear Collider

**Ren-yuan Zhu**

**California Institute of Technology**



# Outline



- **Crystal Calorimetry for LC:**
  - Why Crystal Calorimetry at LC;
  - Possible Crystal Technologies for LC.
- **Recent Progress on Crystal R&D:**
  - Yttrium Doped PWO Crystals for CMS;
  - PWO Crystals with High Light Yield;
  - $\text{PbF}_2$  Crystals;
  - LSO(Ce) and GSO(Ce).





# Why Crystal Calorimetry at LC (I)



## Charmonium System Observed Through Inclusive Photons: CB

## SUSY Breaking with Gravitino

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\tilde{G}\tilde{G}$$





# Why Crystal Calorimetry at LC (II)



The CDF event:  $2 e + 2 \gamma + E_T^{miss}$

SM expectation ( $WW\gamma\gamma$ )  $\sim 10^{-6}$  (PR D59 1999)

Possible SUSY explanation



$$q\bar{q} \rightarrow \tilde{e}^+ \tilde{e}^- \rightarrow ee\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow ee\gamma\gamma\tilde{G}\tilde{G}$$

L3 should be able to observe

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\tilde{G}\tilde{G}$$

Another possible channel

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$







# Jet Mass Resolution



Improved by using Tracker, i.e.  
Energy Flow Concept: 10% to 7%

Further Improved by using  
Kinematic Constraints: 3%





# Properties of Crystal Scintillators




Crystal	Nal(Tl)	Csl(Tl)	Csl	BaF <sub>2</sub>	BGO	PbWO <sub>4</sub>	LSO(Ce)	GSO(Ce)
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	3.67	4.51	4.51	4.89	7.13	8.3	7.40	6.71
Melting Point (°C)	651	621	621	1280	1050	1123	2050	1950
Radiation Length (cm)	2.59	1.85	1.85	2.06	1.12	0.9	1.14	1.37
Molière Radius (cm)	4.8	3.5	3.5	3.4	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.37
Interaction Length (cm)	41.4	37.0	37.0	29.9	21.8	18	21	22
Refractive Index <sup>a</sup>	1.85	1.79	1.95	1.50	2.15	2.2	1.82	1.85
Hygroscopicity	Yes	Slight	Slight	No	No	No	No	No
Luminescence <sup>b</sup> (nm) (at peak)	410	560	420 310	300 220	480	560 420	420	440
Decay Time <sup>b</sup> (ns)	230	1300	35 6	630 0.9	300	50 10	40	60
Light Yield <sup>b,c</sup> (%)	100	45	5.6 2.3	21 2.7	9	0.1 0.6	75	30
d(LY)/dT <sup>b</sup> (%/ °C)	~0	0.3	-0.6	-2 ~0	-1.6	-1.9	?	?
Volume Price (\$/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1 to 2	2	2.5	2.5	7	2.5	-	-

a. at peak of emission; b. up/low row: slow/fast component; c. measured by PMT of bi-alkali cathode.



# Samples of Crystal Scintillators




1.5 X<sub>0</sub> Cubic

Full Size Samples

*BaBar* CsI(Tl): 16 X<sub>0</sub>

L3 BGO: 22 X<sub>0</sub>



CMS PWO(Y): 25 X<sub>0</sub>







# Scintillation Light of 6 Samples







# Summary: Crystal Calorimetry for LC




- To maximize physics reach, calorimetry for LC should have good measurement on electrons, photons and jets.
- The crystal calorimetry provides the best achievable EM resolution, good missing energy and jet resolution.
- Heavy crystal scintillators may provide a cost effective EM calorimeter solution.




# Yttrium Doped PWO for CMS



## Bridgman Growth





Segregation =  $0.91 \pm 0.04$





# CMS PWO(Y) Emission





**Excitation & Emission not affected by radiation.**



# CMS PWO(Y) Uniformity



$$\frac{y}{y_{mid}} = 1 + \delta(x/x_{mid} - 1)$$




**Light response Uniformity is not affected by radiation**




# CMS PWO(Y): Transmittance



Grown along **c** axis





Grown along **a** axis



Progress observed during crystal development



# CMS PWO(Y): Decay Kinetics





**90 and 95% of light output in 50 and 100 ns respectively**





# CMS PWO(Y): Radiation Damage





**5 to 15% loss of light output at 15 brad/h (the maximum in barrel)**





# CMS PWO(Y): Color Centers




$C_1$ : 3.07 eV (400 nm) / 0.76 eV,  $C_2$ : 2.30 eV (540 nm) / 0.19 eV




# New Type PWO Crystal Samples



## PWO Samples from SIC



## Emission Spectra




**New PWO has emission peaked at 560 nm**




# PWO Crystal with High Light Yield



## Decay Kinetics



## QE of PMT and Emission




**Taking into account of PMT QE, new PWO has 10 X LY**




# Poor Longitudinal Uniformity



**Z9 Emission: Seed, Middle, Tail**



**Z9 Decay: Tail, Middle, Seed**



**Doping is not uniform: Segregation < 1**





# Status of Lead Fluoride



## Scintillation of $\text{PbF}_2(\text{Gd})$

## $\text{PbF}_2(\text{Gd})$ Response to MIP of 1 GeV/c




**Fast Scintillation of 6.5 p.e./MeV with decay time of less than 10 ns**

**C. Woody et al., in Proceedings of SCINT95, Delft, The Netherlands**



# Summary: Crystal R&D




- Two dopants increase PWO light output by ten folds as compared to PWO(Y). Both have poor longitudinal uniformity due to different segregation coefficients.  
**Approach: double doping.**
- Scintillating  $\text{PbF}_2$  has light yield of **6 p.e./MeV** after trying dopants of Sm, Tb, Na, K, Eu, La, Pr, Ce, Nd, Pm, Dy, Er and Gd at SIC.
- Ce doped lutetium oxyorthosilicate, LSO(Ce), and gadolinium orthosilicate, GSO(Ce), have light yield of 75% and 30% of NaI(Tl) and 40 and 60 ns decay time, respectively, but **high price** (60\$/cc during R&D stage) caused by high melting point ( $\sim 2,000^\circ\text{C}$ ) and expensive raw material and **poor uniformity**.  
**Approach: try mass production at SIC.**



## Topics

Bolometry  
Calibration  
Calorimetry in Astrophysics  
Cerenkov Calorimetry  
Crystal Calorimetry  
Electronics  
Ionization Calorimetry  
Jet Measurement  
Medical Applications  
Scintillation Calorimetry  
Silicon Calorimetry  
Simulation



# CALOR2002

## 10th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CALORIMETRY IN PARTICLE PHYSICS

March 25-29  
2002

Pasadena  
USA



### International Advisory Committee

G. Bellettini, INFN / Pisa  
J. Colas, LAPP / Annecy  
A. Ereditato, INFN / Napoli  
F. L. Fabbri, INFN / Frascati  
H. A. Gordon, BNL / Brookhaven  
D. Green, FNAL / Batavia  
P. Jenni, CERN / Geneva  
T. Kobayashi, ICEPP / Tokyo  
A. Maio, FCUL & LIP / Lisbon  
A. Menzione, INFN / Pisa  
H. Oberlack, MPI / Munich  
A. Para, FNAL / Batavia  
K. Pretzl, Bern U / Bern  
J. Rutherford, U. Arizona / Tucson  
R. Wigmans, Texas Tech / Lubbock  
R. Yoshida, ANL / Argonne  
R.-Y. Zhu, Caltech / Pasadena

### Local Organizing Committee

J. Adams, MSFC / NASA  
B. Barish, Caltech  
B. Choudhary, Caltech  
M. Gataullin, Caltech  
D. Hitlin, Caltech  
H. Newman, Caltech  
F. Porter, Caltech  
L. Xia, Caltech  
L. Zhang, Caltech  
R.-Y. Zhu, Caltech



Web Site: <http://3w.hep.caltech.edu/calor02/>

E-mail: [calor02@hep.caltech.edu](mailto:calor02@hep.caltech.edu) Fax: (626) 795 3951

HEP 256-48, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA