



# The Next Generation of Crystal Calorimetry

### **Ren-Yuan Zhu**

#### **California Institute of Technology**

March 12, 2019

Talk Presented in Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimetry, Beijing, China



# **Advances in HEP Calorimetry**



- The mission of HEP calorimetry is the measurements of the energy, location and time of electromagnetic and hadronic showers, as well as missing energy.
- An imaging calorimetry has been developed under the leadership of CALICE, and adapted in the CMS FCAL and ILC/CLIC. Particle flow Algorithm (PFA) has been adopted for object reconstruction in a complex system of inter-connected detectors.
- High precision timing detectors are used to distinguish events from one bunch crossing at the HL-LHC, leading to a 4D calorimetry.



# Why Crystal Calorimetry?



- Precision γ/e measurements enhance physics discovery potential in HEP experiments.
- Performance of crystal calorimeter in γ/e measurements is well understood:
  - The best possible energy and position resolutions;
  - Good e/ $\gamma$  identification and reconstruction efficiency.
- Challenges on crystal calorimetry:
  - Ultrafast and radiation hard crystals and γ-ray direction measurement at the energy frontier (HL-LHC);
  - Ultrafast crystals at the intensity frontier (Mu2e-II);
  - Cost-effective crystals for the Homogeneous HCAL;
  - Highly segmented crystal calorimetry, such as HERD LYSO calorimeter, is under development.

# Existing Crystal Calorimeters in HEP



Date	75-85	80-00	80-00	80-00	90-10	94-10	94-10	95-30
Experiment	C. Ball	L3	CLEO II	C. Barrel	KTeV	BaBar	BELLE	CMS
Accelerator	SPEAR	LEP	CESR	LEAR	FNAL	SLAC	KEK	CERN
Crystal Type	Nal(TI)	BGO	CsI(TI)	CsI(TI)	Csl	CsI(TI)	CsI(Tl)	PbWO <sub>4</sub>
B-Field (T)	-	0.5	1.5	1.5	-	1.5	1.0	4.0
r <sub>inner</sub> (m)	0.254	0.55	1.0	0.27	-	1.0	1.25	1.29
Number of Crystals	672	11,400	7,800	1,400	3,300	6,580	8,800	76,000
Crystal Depth $(X_0)$	16	22	16	16	27	16 to 17.5	16.2	25
Crystal Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	1	1.5	7	1	2	5.9	9.5	11
Light Output (p.e./MeV)	350	1,400	5,000	2,000	40	5,000	5,000	2
Photosensor	PMT	Si PD	Si PD	$WS^a$ +Si PD	PMT	Si PD	Si PD	$APD^a$
Gain of Photosensor	Large	1	1	1	4,000	1	1	50
$\sigma_N$ /Channel (MeV)	0.05	0.8	0.5	0.2	small	0.15	0.2	40
Dynamic Range	104	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>4</sup>	104	104	104	10 <sup>4</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>



**Future crystal calorimeters in HEP:** LSO/LYSO for COMET, HERD, and HL-LHC (Sampling) CsI and BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y for Mu2e, PWO for PANDA BGO, BSO or scintillating glasses for HHCAL







## **CMS PWO Monitoring Response**





http://www.hep.caltech.edu/~zhu/talks/ryz\_161028\_PWO\_mon.pdf



### **Dose Rate Dependent EM Damage**



IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., Vol. 44 (1997) 458-476

# The LO reached equilibrium during irradiations under a defined dose rate, showing dose rate dependent radiation damage

$$dD = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{-a_i D_i dt + (D_i^{all} - D_i) b_i R dt\}$$

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ \frac{b_i R D_i^{all}}{a_i + b_i R} \left[ 1 - e^{-(a_i + b_i R)t} \right] + D_i^0 e^{-(a_i + b_i R)t} \}$$

- $D_i$ : color center density in units of m<sup>-1</sup>;
- $D_i^0$ : initial color center density;
- $D_i^{all}$  is the total density of trap related to the color center in the crystal;
- $a_i$ : recovery costant in units of hr<sup>-1</sup>;
- $b_i$ : damage contant in units of kRad<sup>-1</sup>;
- *R*: the radiation dose rate in units of kRad/hr.

$$D_{eq} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i R D_i^{all}}{a_i + b_i R}$$



March 12, 2019



#### **Oxygen Vacancies Identified by TEM/EDS**



TOPCON-002B scope, 200 kV, 10 uA, 5 to10 nm black spots identified JEOL JEM-2010 scope and Link ISIS EDS localized Stoichiometry Analysis



#### NIM A413 (1998) 297

Atomic Fraction (%) in PbWO<sub>4</sub>

As Grown Sample

Element	Black Spot	Peripheral	$Matrix_1$	Matrix <sub>2</sub>
0	1.5	15.8	60.8	63.2
W	50.8	44.3	19.6	18.4
Pb	47.7	39.9	19.6	18.4

#### The Same Sample after Oxygen Compensation

Element	$Point_1$	$Point_2$	Point <sub>3</sub>	Point <sub>4</sub>
0	59.0	66.4	57.4	66.7
W	21.0	16.5	21.3	16.8
Pb	20.0	17.1	21.3	16.5

March 12, 2019



March 12, 2019



# LSO/LYSO/LFS Crystal Cost





Assuming  $Lu_2O_3$  at \$400/kg and 33% yield the cost is about \$18/cc. Quotations received at \$22-25/cc.

Current  $Lu_2O_3$  price indicates that LYSO price is at a level of \$30/cc



# **The CMS MIP Timing Detector**



#### **CMS Hermetic Timing Concept**

#### **BTL: LYSO/SiPM**

#### **BTL technology choice – SiPM/LYSO :**

: Si

- Timing performance <20 ps with MIPs in PET crystals.</p>
- Radiation hardness established at the required level.
- Extensive experience with this technology.
- Cost effective mass market components



- $\Box$  Crystal lateral dimension: ±100  $\mu$ , length: ±100  $\mu$ .
- Scintillation properties at seven points along the crystal wrapped by two layers of Tyvek paper of 150 µm for alternative end coupled to a bi-alkali PMT with an air gap. Light output and FWHM resolution are the average of seven points with 200 ns integration time. The light response uniformity is the rms of seven points. F/T is measured at the point of 2.5 cm to the PMT.
  - Light output (LO): > 100 p.e./MeV with 200 ns gate, will be compared to reference for cross-calibration;
  - □ FWHM Energy resolution: < 45% for Na-22 peak;
  - Light response uniformity (LRU, rms of seven points): < 5%;
  - Fast (200 ns)/Total (3000 ns) Ratio: > 75%.
- □ Radiation related spec::
  - □ Normalized LO after 10/100 krad: > 85/60%;
  - Radiation Induced noise @ 1.8 rad/h: < 0.6 MeV.



## Ultrafast BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y Crystal Calorimeter



Ultrafast and radiation hard inorganic scintillators have broad applications



March 12, 2019



High-Energy and Ultrafast X-Ray Imaging Technologies and Applications

Organizers: Peter Denes, Sol Gruner, Michael Stevens & Zhehui (Jeff) Wang<sup>1</sup> (Location/Time: Santa Fe, NM, USA /Aug 2-3, 2016)

The goals of this workshop are to gather the leading experts in the related fields, to prioritize tasks for ultrafast hard X-ray imaging detector technology development and applications in the next 5 to 10 years, see Table 1, and to establish the foundations for near-term R&D collaborations.

Performance	Type I imager	Type II imager
X-ray energy	30 keV	42-126 keV
Frame-rate/inter-frame time	🗾 0.5 GHz/2 ns	3 GHz / 300 ps
Number of frames	10	10 - 30
X-ray detection efficiency	above 50%	above 80%
Pixel size/pitch	≤ 300 μm	< 300 μm
Dynamic range	10 <sup>3</sup> X-ray photons	≥ 10 <sup>4</sup> X-ray photons
Pixel format	64 x 64 (scalable to 1 Mpix)	1 Mpix

#### Table I. High-energy photon imagers for MaRIE XFEL

#### 2 ns and 300 ps inter-frame time requires very fast sensor

March 12, 2019



# The HHCAL Detector Concept





#### R.-Y. Zhu, ILCWS-8, Chicago: a HHCAL cell with pointing geometry

March 12, 2019



## The HERD LYSO Calorimeter



#### 9261 LYSO crystals of 3 cm cube with WLF readout: 55 $X_0$ and 3 $\lambda$



See presentations by Profs. Chris Tully, Yong Liu and Zhigang Wang , on novel designs of Crystal EM Calorimeters for CEPC.

#### Good resolutions for $\gamma$ /e energy, position and direction

March 12, 2019



### Fast & Rad Hard Inorganic Scintillators



- Supported by the DOE ADR program we are developing fast and radiation hard inorganic scintillators to face the challenge for future HEP applications.
- LYSO:Ce, BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y and LuAG:Ce will survive the radiation environment expected at HL-LHC with 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>:
  - Absorbed dose: up to 100 Mrad,
  - Charged hadron fluence: up to 6×10<sup>14</sup> p/cm<sup>2</sup>,
  - Fast neutron fluence: up to 3×10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Ultra-fast scintillators with excellent radiation hardness is also needed to face the challenge of unprecedented event rate expected at future HEP experiments at the intensity frontier, such as Mu2e-II and the proposed Marie project at Los Alamos. BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y with sub-ns decay time and suppressed slow scintillation component is a leading candidate for all applications.



#### **Inorganic Scintillators for HEP Calorimetry**



Crystal	Nal(TI)	CsI(TI)	Csl	BaF <sub>2</sub>	BGO	LYSO(Ce)	PWO	PbF <sub>2</sub>
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	3.67	4.51	4.51	4.89	7.13	7.40	8.3	7.77
Melting Point (°C)	651	621	621	1280	1050	2050	1123	824
Radiation Length (cm)	2.59	1.86	1.86	2.03	1.12	1.14	0.89	0.93
Molière Radius (cm)	4.13	3.57	3.57	3.10	2.23	2.07	2.00	2.21
Interaction Length (cm)	42.9	39.3	39.3	30.7	22.8	20.9	20.7	21.0
Refractive Index <sup>a</sup>	1.85	1.79	1.95	1.50	2.15	1.82	2.20	1.82
Hygroscopicity	Yes	Slight	Slight	No	No	No	No	No
Luminescence <sup>b</sup> (nm) (at peak)	410	550	310	300 220	480	402	425 420	?
Decay Time <sup>b</sup> (ns)	245	1220	26	650 <0.6	300	40	30 10	?
Light Yield <sup>b,c</sup> (%)	100	165	4.7	36 4.1	21	85	0.3 0.1	?
d(LY)/dT <sup>b</sup> (%/ ºC)	-0.2	0.4	-1.4	-1.9 0.1	-0.9	-0.2	-2.5	?
Experiment	Crystal Ball	BaBar BELLE BES-III	KTeV S.BELLE <mark>Mu2e-I</mark>	(GEM) TAPS Mu2e-II?	L3 BELLE HHCAL?	COMET & CMS (Mu2e & SuperB)	CMS ALICE PANDA	A4 g-2 HHCAL?

a. at peak of emission; b. up/low row: slow/fast component; c. QE of readout device taken out.

March 12, 2019



## **Light Output & Decay Kinetics**



Measured with Philips XP2254B PMT (multi-alkali cathode) p.e./MeV: LSO/LYSO is 6 & 230 times of BGO & PWO respectively





# Fast Signals with 1.5 X<sub>0</sub> Samples



#### Hamamatsu R2059 PMT (2500 V)/Agilent MSO9254A (2.5 GHz) DSO with 1.3/0.14 ns rise time



## The 3 ns width of BaF<sub>2</sub> pulse is further reduced by faster photodetector LYSO, LaBr<sub>3</sub> & CeBr<sub>3</sub> have tail, which would cause pile-up for GHz readout

March 12, 2019

#### IN CHURCHNOLO CO **Fast Inorganic Scintillators for HEP**



	LYSO:Ce	LSO:Ce, Ca <sup>[1]</sup>	LuAG:Ce	LuAG:Pr <sup>[3]</sup>	GGAG:Ce <sup>[4,5]</sup>	Csl	BaF <sub>2</sub> <sup>[6]</sup>	BaF <sub>2</sub> :Y	CeBr <sub>3</sub>	LaBr <sub>3</sub> :Ce <sup>[7]</sup>
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	7.4	7.4	6.76	6.76	6.5	4.51	4.89	4.89	5.23	5.29
Melting points (°C)	2050	2050	2060	2060	1850 <sup>d</sup>	621	1280	1280	722	783
X <sub>o</sub> (cm)	1.14	1.14	1.45	1.45	1.63	1.86	2.03	2.03	1.96	1.88
R <sub>M</sub> (cm)	2.07	2.07	2.15	2.15	2.20	3.57	3.1	3.1	2.97	2.85
λ <sub>ι</sub> (cm)	20.9	20.9	20.6	20.6	21.5	39.3	30.7	30.7	31.5	30.4
Z <sub>eff</sub>	64.8	64.8	60.3	60.3	51.8	54.0	51.6	51.6	45.6	45.6
dE/dX (MeV/cm)	9.55	9.55	9.22	9.22	8.96	5.56	6.52	6.52	6.65	6.90
λ <sub>peak</sub> <sup>a</sup> (nm)	420	420	520	310	540	310	300 220	300 220	371	360
PL Emission Peak (nm)	402	402	500	308	540	310	300 220	300 220	350	360
PL Excitation Peak (nm)	358	358	450	275	445	256	<200	<200	330	295
Absorption Edge (nm)	170	170	160	160	190	200	140	140	n.r.	220
Refractive Index <sup>b</sup>	1.82	1.82	1.84	1.84	1.92	1.95	1.50	1.50	1.9	1.9
Normalized Light Yield <sup>a,c</sup>	100	116 <sup>e</sup>	35 <sup>f</sup> 48 <sup>f</sup>	44 41	40 75	4.2 1.3	42 5.0	1.7 5.0	99	153
Total Light yield (ph/MeV)	30,000	34,800 <sup>e</sup>	25,000 <sup>f</sup>	25,800	34,700	1,700	13,000	2,100	30,000	46,000
Decay time <sup>a</sup> (ns)	40	<b>31</b> <sup>e</sup>	981 <sup>f</sup> 64 <sup>f</sup>	1208 26	319 101	30 6	600 <b>&lt;0.6</b>	600 <b>&lt;0.6</b>	17	20
Light Yield in 1 <sup>st</sup> ns (photons/MeV)	740	950	240	520	260	100	1200	1200	1,700	2,200
Issues					neutron x-section	Slightly hygroscop ic	Slow compon ent	DUV PD	hygr	oscopic
March 12, 2019	Pre	esentation b	y Ren-Yuan	Zhu, Caltecl	n, at Institute of	High Energy P	hysics, Bei	jing, China		2



## Fast Inorganic Scintillators (II)



a. Top line: slow component, bottom	[1] Spurrier, et al., IEEE T. Nucl. Sci. 2008,55 (3):		
line: fast component;	1178-1182		
b. At the wavelength of the emission	[2] Liu, et al., Adv. Opt. Mater. 2016, 4(5): 731–739		
maximum.	[3] Hu, et al., <i>Phys. Rev. Applied</i> 2016, 6: 064026		
	[4] Lucchini, et al., <i>NIM A</i> 2016, 816: 176-183		
c. Excited by Gamma rays;	[5] Meng, et al., <i>Mat. Sci. Eng. B-Solid</i> 2015, 193:		
d. For Gd <sub>3</sub> Ga <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>12</sub> :Ce	20-26		
e. For 0.4 at% Ca co-doping	[6] Diehl, et al., <i>J. Phys. Conf. Ser</i> 2015, 587:		
f. Ceramic with 0.3 Mg at% co-doping	012044		
	[7] Pustovarov, et al., Tech. Phys. Lett. 2012, 784-		
	788		



## LuAG:Ce Ceramic Samples





March 12, 2019



## **Excellent Radiation Hardness**



No damage observed in both transmittance and light output after 220 Mrad ionization dose and  $3 \times 10^{14}$  p/cm<sup>2</sup> of 800 MeV Very promising for optical-based radiation hard calorimeter



#### Key issue: slow scintillation component



## **Mu2e Preproduction Csl**



A total of 72 crystals from Amcrys, Saint-Gobain and SICCAS has been measured at Caltech and LNF

Amerys C0013	S-G C0045	SIC C0037
Amerys C0015	S-G C0046	SIC C0038
Amerys C0016	S-G C0048	SIC C0039
Amerys C0019	S-G C0049	SIC C0040
Amerys C0023	S-G C0051	SIC C0041
Amerys C0025	S-G C0057	SIC C0042
Amerys C0026	S-G C0058	SIC C0043
Amerys C0027	S-G C0060	SIC C0068
Amerys C0030	S-G C0062	SIC C0070
Amerys C0032	S-G C0063	SIC C0071
Amcrys C0034	S-G C0065	SIC C0072
Amerys C0036	S-G C0066	SIC C0073

March 12, 2019



## **Quality of Pre-Production Csl**



IEEE TNS Vol. 65, No. 2, February 2018, 752-757



#### Most preproduction crystals satisfy specifications



## Fast and Slow Light from BaF<sub>2</sub>

The fast component at 220 nm with 0.6 ns decay time has a similar LO as undoped CsI.

Spectroscopic selection of fast component may be realized by solar blind photocathode and/or selective doping.





### **Slow Suppression: Doping & Readout**



#### MRS Proceedings (1994) 277: Slow suppressed by RE doping



#### NIM 240 (1994) 442: Cs-Te, K-Cs-Te and Rb-Te cathode achieved F/S = 2/1



## **Yttrium Doping in BaF**<sub>2</sub>

#### Significant increase in F/S ratio observed





# **Pulse Shape: BaF<sub>2</sub> Cylinders**



#### BaF<sub>2</sub> cylinders of Φ10×10 cm<sup>3</sup> shows γ-ray response: 0.26/0.55/0.94 ns of rising/decay/FWHM width





## Tail Reduced in BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y



#### Slow tail observed in 2 $\mu$ s in BaF<sub>2</sub>, much reduced in BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y





### **Temporal Response Measured at APS**





November 6, 2018

Presentation by Ren-Yuan Zhu in the 2018 CPAD Workshop at Brown University, Providence, RI



## APS 30 keV X-Ray Hybrid Beam



Singlet (16 mA, 50 ps) isolated from 8 septuplets (88 mA) with 1.594 μs gap; 8 septuplets (88 mA) with a 68 ns period and a 51 ns gap; Each septuplet of 17 ns consists of 7 bunches (27 ps) and 2.83 ns apart; Total beam current: 102 mA, rate: 270 kHz, period: 3.7 μs.



Presentation by Ren-Yuan Zhu in the 2018 CPAD Workshop at Brown Timers (th Sp)vidence, RI

### BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y Response to Hybrid Beam

Data taken with ultrafast Photek PMT & gate unit for septuplet bunches show BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y's capability for 30 keV X-ray imaging with 2.83 ns bunch spacing. No pile-up for 8 septuplets

Data were also taken for singlet bunches to show various crystal's temporal response.





34



## **Response to Septuplets**



X-ray bunches with 2.83 ns spacing in septuplet are clearly resolved by ultrafast  $BaF_2$ :Y and  $BaF_2$  crystals, showing a proof-of-principle for the type –I imager



#### Amplitude reduction in BaF<sub>2</sub> and LYSO from slow scintillation, but not in BaF<sub>2</sub>:Y Reducing the 15 m cable length will reduce BaF<sub>2</sub> pulse width to sub-ns

November 6, 2018

Presentation by Ren-Yuan Zhu in the 2018 CPAD Workshop at Brown University, Providence, RI



## Summary: Temporal Response



#### http://www.hep.caltech.edu/~zhu/papers/18\_nss\_CR\_ultrafast.pdf

Crystal	Vendor	ID	Dimension (mm³)	Emission Peak (nm)	EWLT (%)	LO (p.e./MeV)	Light Yield in 1 <sup>st</sup> ns (ph/MeV)	Rising Time (ns)	Decay Time (ns)	FWHM (ns)
BaF <sub>2</sub> :Y	SIC	4	10×10×5	220	89.1	258	1200	0.2	1.2	1.4
BaF <sub>2</sub>	SIC	1	50×50×5	220	85.1	209	1200	0.2	1.2	1.6
YAP:Yb	Dongjun	2-2	Ф40×2	350	77.7	9.1*	28	0.4	1.1	1.7
ZnO:Ga	FJIRSM	2014-1	33×30×2	380	7	76*	157	0.4	1.8	2.3
YAG:Yb	Dongjun	4	10×10×5	350	83.1	28.4*	24	0.3	2.5	2.7
Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Tongji	2	7x7x2	380	73.8	259	43	0.2	5.3	7.8
YAP:Ce	Dongjun	2102	Ф50×2	370	54.7	1605	391	0.8	34	27
LYSO:Ce	SIC	150210-1	19x19×2	420	80.1	4841	740	0.7	36	28
LuYAP:Ce	SIPAT	1	10×10×7	385	١	1178	125	1.1	36	29
LuAG:Ce Ceramic	SIC	S2	25×25×0.4	520	52.3	1531	240	0.6	50	40
YSO:Ce	SIC	51	25×25×5	420	72.6	3906	318	2.0	84	67
GAGG:Ce	SIPAT	5	10×10×7	540	١	3212	239	0.9	125	91

#### Samples are ordered based on its FWHM to singlet bunches

November 6, 2018

# **BGRI/Incrom/SIC BaF<sub>2</sub> Samples**







ID	Vendor	Dimension (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Polishing
SIC 1-20	SICCAS	30x30x250	Six faces
BGRI-2015 D, E, 511	BGRI	30x30x200	Six faces
Russo 2, 3	Incrom	30x30x200	Six faces

March 12, 2019



## **Ionization Dose: BaF<sub>2</sub> and PWO**



Dose rate dependent damage in PWO Good radiation hardness in BaF<sub>2</sub> up to 130 Mrad



40% fast scintillation light remains after 120 Mrad ionization dose

Fan Yang *et al.,* IEEE TNS 63 (2016) 612-619

March 12, 2019



## y-Ray Induced Radiation Damage in Crystals of Large Size



#### Fan Yang *et al.,* IEEE TNS 63 (2016) 612-619

November 6, 2018



### Protons: LYSO/BaF<sub>2</sub>/PWO at LANSCE



## LYSO, $BaF_2$ and PWO plates of 5 mm were irradiated up to $1 \times 10^{15} \text{ p/cm}^2$ in three steps at the Blue Room of LANSCE



#### Excellent radiation hardness observed in LYSO and BaF<sub>2</sub>, but not PWO

#### Fan Yang et al., IEEE TNS 65 (2018) 1018-1024

November 6, 2018

Presentation by Ren-Yuan Zhu in the 2018 CPAD Workshop at Brown University, Providence, RI



## Neutrons: LYSO/BaF<sub>2</sub>/PWO at LANSCE



LYSO,  $BaF_2$  and PWO plates of 5 mm were irradiated up to  $2 \times 10^{15}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> in three steps at the East Port of LANSCE



Excellent radiation hardness observed in LYSO and BaF<sub>2</sub>, but not PWO

#### Chen Hu *et al., i*n Calor2018 Proceedings

http://www.hep.caltech.edu/~zhu/papers/Calor18\_ultrafast\_R.pdf

March 12, 2019



# Summary



- LYSO, BaF<sub>2</sub> crystals and LuAG ceramics show excellent radiation hardness beyond 100 Mrad, 1 x 10<sup>15</sup> p/cm<sup>2</sup> and 2 x 10<sup>15</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>, promising a fast and robust detector at the HL-LHC.
- Undoped BaF<sub>2</sub> crystals provide sufficient fast light with sub-ns decay time. Yttrium doping increases its F/S ratio significantly while maintaining the intensity of the sub-ns fast component, promising an ultrafast calorimetry and GHz X-ray imaging.
- The CEPC requirements on response time & radiation hardness are not as stringent as the HL-LHC. The game thus is wide open for innovative detector concepts.



## **SIC Crystal Cost for CEPC**



ltem	Size	1 m <sup>3</sup>	10 m <sup>3</sup>	100 m <sup>3</sup>
BGO	2.23×2.23×28 cm	\$8/cc	\$7/cc	\$6/cc
BaF <sub>2</sub> :Y	3.10×3.10×50.75 cm	\$12/cc	\$11/cc	\$10/cc
LYSO	20.7x20.7x285 mm	\$36/cc	\$34/cc	\$32/cc
PWO	20x20x223 mm	\$9/cc	\$8/cc	\$7.5/cc
BSO	22x22x274 mm	\$8/cc	\$7.5/cc	\$7.0/cc
Csl	3.57x3.57x46.5 cm	\$4.6/cc	\$4.3/cc	\$4.0/cc