



### Mu2e CD3c Review WBS 7.2 Calorimeter Crystals

Ren-Yuan Zhu California Institute of Technology 6/14/16

## Scope



#### 475.7.2 Crystals

This WBS covers the development phase, the final choice, the test, the procurement for the pre-production and production phases to fabricate crystals needed for the realization of the calorimeter. It also includes the design and construction of the QA test facilities to perform an acceptance test of the delivered crystals.

#### 475.7.2 Scope of work

Experimental measurement of the crystal properties. Design and construction of QA stations to determine the crystal quality. Optimize the configuration for wrapping the crystals and optical connection of photo-sensors. The completion of test beam related to the crystal tests. Procurement and QA test of the preproduction crystals. Procurement and QA test of the production crystals



In order to match the calorimeter energy (5%), position (1 cm) and timing (0.5 ns) resolution requirements a homogeneous calorimeter is the solution with a crystal that should have the following characteristics:

- High light output (LO) > 100 p.e./MeV by PMT.
- Good light response uniformity (LRU): < 10%.
- Fast signal with small slow:  $\tau < 40$  ns, F/T > 75%.
- Radiation hard with LO loss < 40% for:
  - Ionization dose: 100 krad @ 10 krad/year; and
  - Neutrons: 10<sup>12</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup> @ 3 x 10<sup>11</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>/year.
- Small radiation induced readout noise: < 0.6 MeV.



### **Design choice – undoped Csl**

□ Specifications are defined according to samples characterized:

- Kharkov (Ukraine), Opto Materials (Italy) and SICCAS (China);
   Not yet procured: Hilger (UK) and Saint-Gobain (France).
- $\Box$  Crystal lateral dimension:  $\pm 50 \mu$ , length:  $\pm 100 \mu$ .
- □ Scintillation properties measured by a bi-alkali PMT with air gap coupled to the crystal wrapped with two layers of Tyvek paper:
  - Light output (LO): > 100 p.e./MeV with 200 ns integration gate, will be defined as XX% of a candle crystal provided;
  - **FWHM** Energy resolution: **< 45%** for Na-22 peak;

□ Fast (200 ns)/Total (3000 ns) Ratio: > 75%;

Light response uniformity (LRU): < 10%.

Radiation hardness:

- □ Normalized LO after 10/100 krad > 85/60%.
- □ Radiation Induced Current (RIC) @1.8 rad/h: < 0.6 MeV.



### **Basic Property of Pure Csl**

	LSO/LYSO	GSO	YSO	Csl	BaF <sub>2</sub>	CeF₃	CeBr <sub>3</sub>	LaCl₃	LaBr₃	Plastic scintillator (BC 404) <sup>①</sup>	
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	7.4	6.71	4.44	4.51	4.89	6.16	5.23	3.86	5.29	1.03	
Melting point (°C)	2050	1950	1980	621	1280	1460	722	858	783	70 <sup>#</sup>	
Radiation Length (cm)	1.14	1.38	3.11	1.86	2.03	1.7	1.96	2.81	1.88	42.54	
Molière Radius (cm)	2.07	2.23	2.93	3.57	3.1	2.41	2.97	3.71	2.85	9.59	
Interaction Length (cm)	20.9	22.2	27.9	39.3	30.7	23.2	31.5	37.6	30.4	78.8	
Z value	64.8	57.9	33.3	54	51.6	50.8	45.6	47.3	45.6	5.82 2.02	
dE/dX (MeV/cm)	9.55	8.88	6.56	5.56	6.52	8.42	6.65	5.27	6.9		
Emission Peak <sup>a</sup> (nm)	420	430	420	310	300 220	340 300	371	335	356	408	
Refractive Index <sup>b</sup>	1.82	1.85	1.8	1.95	1.5	1.62	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.58	
Relative Light Yield <sup>a,c</sup>	100	45	76	4.2 1.3	42 4.8	8.6	99	15 49	153	35	
Decay Time <sup>a</sup> (ns)	40	73	60	30 6	650 0.9	30	17	570 24	20	1.8	
d(LY)/dT <sup>d</sup> (%/°C )	-0.2	-0.4	-0.1	-1.4	-1.9 0.1	~0	-0.1	0.1	0.2	~0	

a. Top line: slow component, bottom line: fast component.

1. http://www.detectors.saint-gobain.com/Plastic-Scintillator.aspx

http://pdg.lbl.gov/2008/AtomicNuclearProperties/HTML\_PAGES/216.html

c. Relative light yield normalized to the light yield of LSO

b. At the wavelength of the emission maximum.

d. At room temperature (20°C)

#. Softening point

#### Commercial available CsI crystals have slow scintillation components



## **Mu2e Pure Csl Samples**





ID	Dimension (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Polishing
Kharkov 1	29x29x230	All faces
Kharkov 3	29x29x230	All faces
Kharkov 4	29x29x230	All faces
Optomaterial 11	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 6	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 11	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 13	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 2013	50x50x300	All faces
SIC 2014	25x25x200	All faces

### **Experiments**

- Ten samples from three vendors characterized
- Properties measured at room temperature: LT, LO, PHS, Decay Kinetics and LRU



### **Measurements for Crystal QA**

- Longitudinal transmittance (LT) was measured by using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 950 spectrophotometer. (0.15%)
- Pulse height spectrum (PHS), FWHM energy resolution of 511 KeV γ-rays (ER), light output (LO), light response uniformity(LRU) and decay kinetics were measured by a Hamamatsu R2059 PMT with coincidence triggers from a <sup>22</sup>Na source. All samples were wrapped with two layers of Tyvek paper with precision and reproducibility of <1%.</li>
- PHS/ER/LO/LRU were measured with air gap for pure CsI because of the soft and hygroscopic surface.



### **Longitudinal Transmittance**

LT of CsI depends on crystal surface quality, so can not be used in specification.

LT and emission weighted LT (EWLT) may be used in radiation damage investigation provided that crystal surface is kept stable.



## LO, LRU and Decay Kinetics

LRU, Light Response Uniformity, is defined as follow:



LO is defined as the average of LO values measured at seven points with rms spread as LRU

Decay kinetics was measured at the point closest to the PMT with F/T ratio specified



## Wrapping Effect on Csl LO

PTFE Teflon film is the best wrapping material for CsI UV light Tyvek paper provides good reproducibility and tune ability



### **Tyvek Wrapping: One & Two Layers**



### LO & Decay Kinetics: Kharkov 3

A slow component with a position dependent level and decay time of 1.6 and 4 us was observed



## LO & Decay Kinetics: Optomaterial 11



A position independent slow component with a decay time of 1.6 us was observed, which is at a level of 30% of the fast component



### LO & Decay Kinetics: SIC 6

# No slow component was observed



### LO & Decay Kinetics: SIC 2014



No slow component was observed



### **Summary: Csl Scintillation Spec**

ER and LO are the average of data measured at seven points along the crystal with two layers of Tyvek (150  $\mu$ m) and air gap coupled to PMT

ID	Dimension (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Ave FWHM ER (%)	Ave LO in 200 ns Gate (pe/MeV)	F/T Ratio 200 ns / 3 μs	RMS of LO along crystal (%)
Kharkov 3	29x29x230	44.3	93	0.83	5.0
Kharkov 4	29x29x230	41.3	96	0.75	4.6
Opto- material 11	30x30x200	34.1	140	0.84	2.0
SIC 6	30x30x200	36.3	125	0.98	6.9
SIC 11	30x30x200	36.3	128	0.93	10.1
SIC 13	30x30x200	35.4	130	0.90	4.4
SIC 2014	25x25x200	35.8	136	1.00	4.6
Spec	34x34x200	<b>≤ 45</b>	≥ <b>100</b>	≥ <b>0.75</b>	≤ <b>10</b>

### Specifications derived from existing samples with headroom

🛟 Fermilab

## LO and FWHM ER: Spec

#### Kharkov samples are longer, so have lower LO



### F/T Ratio and LRU: Spec





## **QA of Csl Crystals at LNF**

Similar QA procedures has been applied @ LNF to the 17 un-doped CsI crystals procured from:

- 6 Siccas
- 2 Optomaterial
- 9 ISMA



2 2 2 1.8

1.6

1.4 1.2 1

0.8

0.6

0.2

lsma Siccas

Optomaterial

### **Correlation in F/T: Caltech and LNF**

Very good correlations observed between the F/T ratios measured at Caltech and LNF with two very different approaches



### **No Recovery in Csl Radiation Damage**



23 Ren-Yuan Zhu | CD3c EMC breakout session: Crystals

### **Radiation Damage: SIC2013**

#### No significant degradation in LO and LRU up to 10 krad



### **Radiation Damage: Kharkov 1**

#### No significant degradation in LO and LRU up to 10 krad



## Normalized EWLT & LO



Consistent radiation hardness: no significant degradation in LO and LRU up to 100 krad, but not beyond.

Cost of damage investigation is high because of no recovery/annealing



### **Summary: Radiation Hardness Data**

	Dimension	Initial LO (p.e./MeV)	Light Output Loss (%)						
ID	(mm³)		10 <sup>2</sup> rad	10 <sup>3</sup> rad	10⁴ rad	10⁵ rad	10 <sup>6</sup> rad	10 <sup>7</sup> rad	
Kharkov 1	29x29x230	104	-	1.0	5.8	16	63	85	
Kharkov 4	29x29x230	97	-	9.3	10	16	-	-	
Kharkov 5	20x20x120	134	-	3.7	6.7	35	-	-	
Kharkov 11	20x20x120	127	-	2.4	5.5	33	-	-	
Optomaterial 11	30x30x200	140	-	7.1	16	53	-	-	
SIC11	30x30x200	128	-	7.0	13	56	-	-	
SIC2013	50x50x300	83	1.2	6.0	16	30	78	92	



### **Radiation Hardness Spec**

### Radiation resistance of mass produced CsI may be improved



### **Neutrons Induced Damage**



## **Neutron Irradiation at FNG**

- Neutrons at FNG, ENEA  $\geq$
- Up to 9 x 10<sup>11</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>
- No large variation in LY

**CsI: OPTO MATERIALS** 

1.2

1.15

1.1

1.05

0.95

0.9

0.85

0.8드

2

4

LY / LY(10cm)

SICCAS deterioration in LRU

OPTOM Cs

Before

After 5x10<sup>11</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>

After 3x10<sup>11</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>



8

10

12

14

6

### **Radiation Induced Readout Noise**

Assuming 230 days' run  $(2 \times 10^7 \text{ sec})$  each year, the hottest crystals would have the following radiation environment:

- Ionization dose: 10 krad/year → 1.8 rad/h,
- Neutron fluence:  $2 \times 10^{11}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>/year  $\implies 1.0 \times 10^{4}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>/s.

The energy equivalent noise ( $\sigma$ ) is derived as the standard deviation of photoelectron number (Q) in the readout gate:

$$\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{LO} \qquad (MeV)$$



### **Gamma Induced Photo-Current**

### Dose rate from a Co-60 source is 2 rad/hr at the sample



F is radiation induced photoelectron numbers per second, determined by the measured anode current in the PMT.

$$F = \frac{Photocurrent}{Charge_{electron} \times Gain_{PMT}}}{Dose \ rate_{\gamma-ray} \ or \ Flux_{neutron}}}$$



### **Seven Csl Samples Measured**





ID	Dimension (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Polishing
Kharkov 3	29x29x230	All faces
Kharkov 4	29x29x230	All faces
Opto-material 11	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 6	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 11	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 13	30x30x200	All faces
SIC 2014	25x25x200	All faces
		🛟 Fern

## Kharkov 4, Opto 11, SIC 6 & 11 under y-rays

Dark current, RIC @ 2 rad/h and its decay were measured Consist decay time constants observed for samples from 3 vendors



## Summary: Gamma-Ray Induced Noise Spec

Measured with a R2059 PMT @ -700 V (gain 317) for CsI samples under 2 rad/h with Tyvek wrapping and air gap coupling. Noise estimated for the Mu2e conditions: 200 ns gate and 1.8 rad/h.

Sample	Dimensions (cm <sup>3</sup> )	Volume (cm³)	LO of 200 ns Gate (p.e./MeV)	Dark Current* (nA)	Photo current* @ 2 rad/h (nA)	F* (p.e./s/rad/ hr)	σ* (MeV)	Comments
Kharkov 3	2.9x2.9x23	193	93	0.20	587	5.78E+09	4.90E-01	After 1E11 n/cm <sup>2</sup>
Kharkov 4	2.9x2.9x23	193	96	0.035	679	6.68E+09	5.11E-01	
Opto-material 11	3x3x20	180	140	0.039	663	6.53E+09	3.46E-01	
SIC 6	3x3x20	180	125	0.015	296	2.91E+09	2.59E-01	
SIC 11	3x3x20	180	128	0.018	330	3.25E+09	2.67E-01	
SIC 13	3x3x20	180	130	0.026	461	4.54E+09	3.11E-01	
SIC 2014	2.5x2.5x20	125	140	0.013	200	1.97E+09	1.90E-01	

\* Data normalized to the Mu2e crystal volume of  $3.4 \times 3.4 \times 20$  cm<sup>3</sup>



### **Radiation Induced Readout Noise: Spec**



All samples satisfy Mu2e requirement of 0.6 MeV.

Since 1.8 rad/h is the highest dose rate expected by the Mu2e crystals, gamma-ray induced noise is not an issue for the Mu2e Csl calorimeter.



## **Correlations: F/T vs. Dark Current & RIC**

#### Good correlations indicate the same origin: impurities/defects



## Ionization Dose Induced Noise @ LNF

Determination of RIN done also @LNF on six CsI crystals using a strong Cs<sup>137</sup> source on a moveable motor (0.2 rad/h)

- PMT at HV=1400 V, G=2.1 x 10<sup>6</sup>
- I\_dark = few nA, I\_source > 100 muA
- RIN @ 1.8 rad/h, with a gate of 200 ns
- $\rightarrow$  SQRT(Nnoise)/(Npe @ MeV)



Crystal	LY (N <sub>pe</sub> /MeV)	Ι (μΑ)	Mu2e flux (rad/h)	F (N <sub>pe</sub> /s/dose)	N <sub>pe</sub> noise (200 ns)	RIN (keV)
ISMA 1	103	157	1.8	2.31 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	845	283
ISMA 2	103	215	1.8	3.17 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	1160	331
SICCAS 1	129	188	1.8	2.77 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	1010	226
SICCAS 2	126	160	1.8	2.36 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	861	233
SICCAS 4	136	157	1.8	2.31 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	845	213
OPTOM 2	93	187	1.8	2.76 × 10 <sup>9</sup>	1010	341

🚰 Fermilab

### **Neutron Induced Photo-Current**

#### Neutron flux from four Cf-252 sources is about 4E4/cm2/s at the sample



Cf-252 has y-ray background, so result is a upper limit



### **Neutron Induced Photo-Current**



Neutron induced photo-current is much less than that from gamma-rays



6/14/16

🛟 Fermilab

### **Fast Neutron Induced Noise**

Measured with a R2059 PMT @ -700 V (gain 317) for CsI samples with Tyvek wrapping and air gap coupling. Noise estimated for Mu2e: 200 ns gate and 1 x 10<sup>4</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup>/s

Sample	Dimensions (cm³)	Volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	LO of 200 ns Gate (p.e./MeV)	Dark Current* (nA)	Photo Current* (nA)	F* (p.e./n/cm²)	σ* (MeV)	Comments
Kharkov 3	2.9x2.9x23	193	93	1.1	650	2.76E+05	2.5E-01	After 1E11 n/cm <sup>2</sup>
SIC 2014	2.5x2.5x20	125	140	0.31	165	8.68E+04	9.4E-02	

\* Data normalized to the crystal volume of  $2.9 \times 2.9 \times 23$  cm<sup>3</sup>

Neutron induced noise is negligible as compared to ionization dose



### **Thermal Neutron Induced Noise @ LNF**

#### Neutrons from the HOTNES facility at ENEA Frascati

Crystal	LY (N <sub>pe</sub> /MeV)	l (μΑ)	Mu2e flux (n/cm²/s)	F (N <sub>pe</sub> /s/dose)	N <sub>pe</sub> noise (200 ns)	RIN (keV)
ISMA 02	103	7,16	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	3,02 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	60,3	75,4
ISMA 12	103	4,61	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	1,94 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	38,9	60,5
ISMA 20	103	5,35	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	2,25 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	45,1	65,2
ISMA 21	103	7,28	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	3,07 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	61,4	76,0
SICCAS 1	129	6,83	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	2,88 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	57,5	58,6
SICCAS 2	126	7,58	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	3,19 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	63,8	63,4
SICCAS 4	136	10,1	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	4,27 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	85,5	67,8
OPTOM 2	93	7,65	1×10 <sup>4</sup>	3,22 × 104	64,4	86,3

#### Thermal neutron induced noise is lower than that from fast neutrons



## **Design Maturity**

Calorimeter Subsystem	Design Completion	Remaining Work/Risks
Crystals	100%	CsI slow component specified.
Photosensors	85%	SiPM packaging. Have one packaged SiPM from Hamamatsu but want to qualify other vendors
Mechanical Infrastructure	70%	Finalize cooling design. Optimizing tradeoffs between noise, radiation damage and operating temperature. x2 headroom
Front End Electronics And Digitizer (WFD)	70%	<ul> <li>New pre-amp design for CsI/SiPM</li> <li>WFD board design with 20 channels. Moderate risk that we may have to back off to 18 channel boards. Adds a small amount of complexity.</li> </ul>
Calibration	90%	Integration of source pipes. Finalize laser optics.
Overall Design	83%	

#### Clear path to design completion and construction readiness



## **Quality Control**

Calorimeter Quality plans included in Mu2e Quality Planning Document
 Available on web page (docdb 6005)

QA or QC Step?	<u>QA or QC Process Documentation</u> (DocDB #)	Inspection or Acceptance Criteria/Plan	<u>Verification</u>
QA: LY and LRU	<u>Docdb 7053</u>	A radioactive source (Na22) is moved along the crystal axis to measure the light Yield (LY) and LRU. We have two similar stations, one in Caltec and one at LNF. Both of them can move the source in a reproducible way by means of a remotely controlled movement system. The source annihilation products (2 gamma rays at 511 keV) are used for calibration. One of the photon is tagged by means of an independent system (small LYSO crystal readout with an MPPC), the other photon is used for testing the crystal. We typically acquire 20000 events/point (40 secs) for 10 to 20 points along the crystal axis. Readout of the crystals is done either with a UV extended PMT or an APD readout with a charge amplifier.	The functionality of the system is provided by the readout o tagging system that should always grant a reproducibility res The measurement should also be done in a stable environme as a clean room or a laboratory with temperature controll environment. The analysis is done in an automatic manner. U the QA system will be warned if something goes wrong (low st bad fit, out of range parameters). Histograms and table of rest be atomically generated. Quality assurance procedures to ens procured crystal meets requirements are been extensively us our prototyping phase. A comparison between the two statio the LY on same crystals have been carried out. We apply a co accepting the crystals that uses theAVERAGE and the RMS of over all scanned points. The RMS provides an indication of uniformity along the crystal axis.
QA: Resolution	DocDb 7053	The same data used for <ly> and LRU will be also used to characterize the energy resolution at 511 keV</ly>	As above



## **Change Control**

						Revised			Cost Increase /
<b>Control Account</b>	CR #	CR Description	Prior Start	<b>Revised Start</b>	<b>Prior Finish</b>	Finish	BAC Before	BAC After	(Decrease)
475.07.01 Total									227.979,33
475.07.02	2	Establish internal baseline and incorporate recommendations from Director's Review.	-	-	•	-	2.432.682,20	3.160.011,69	727.329,50
	6	Corrections made to CR002	•	-	•	-	3.160.011,69	3.115.404,11	(44.607,58)
	3	New rate adjustments for labor fringe and overhead.	-	-	•	-	3.115.404,11	3.125.023,12	9.619,01
	4	Cost leveling; new CD-3c strategy	-	-	•	-	3.125.023,12	2.611.714,84	(513.308,28)
	8	FY15 Rate changes	•	-	•	-	2.611.714,84	2.600.214,96	(11.499,89)
	15	Establish CD-2 Baseline	25/11/09	25/11/0	9 <b>13/09/1</b>	04/11/19	2.600.214,96	2.638.987,74	38.772,79
	19	Reduced Constr oversight, FFP ECPs, and TS Module fab delay	25/11/09	25/11/0	09 <b>04/11/1</b>	26/11/19	2.638.987,74	2.640.249,05	1.261,31
	24	FY16 Rate Update	25/11/09	25/11/0	9 <b>26/11/1</b>	26/11/19	2.640.249,05	2.617.659,86	(22.589,19)
	28	Increase Project Office Support, Constr Rev 13, Racks & Rack Monitor	25/11/09	25/11/0	9 <b>26/11/1</b>	12/12/19	2.617.659,86	2.570.614,53	(47.045,33)
	30	New Choice of Calorimeter CrystalBaF2 to Csl	25/11/09	25/11/0	9 <b>12/12/1</b>	23/09/19	2.570.614,53	2.110.276,41	(460.338,13)
475.07.02 Total									(322.405,79)



### Interfaces

Item	Interface	Description	Owner	Reference Documen ts/ Drawings
107.02.1.1	Crystal/Mechanical structure (Positioning)	The crystals interface tightly with the disk support structure, the front face cover, the FEE rear disk support and the attached photo sensors. Tolerances for the thickness of the wrapping material have to be taken into consideration during assembly and studied on a dedicated full size mechanical mock-up prior to actual assembly.	475.07.02 475.07.03 475.07.04	

#### Interfaces are documented and actively managed

### **Environment, Safety & Health**

- Follow established safety procedures at Fermilab as specified by FESHM
- Hazards discussed in the Mu2e Hazard Analysis document (DocDB 675)
- Selected crystals do not show handling hazards.



## Summary

- The calorimeter crystal design is 100% complete. Risks are tracked and actively managed. Clear path to design completion and construction readiness.
  - 25 un-doped CsI crystals from 3 different producers have been tested with sizes very close to the final one;
  - Specifications for the Crystals have been decided based on these samples. Fast/Total component specification decided by TOY MC study
  - Radiation hardness tests have been performed
  - Interfaces, risks, ES&H issues identified and under control
  - QA/QC plans in place
- Current design meets Calorimeter detector requirements.
- The Calorimeter Crystals are ready for CD-3 approval

🛟 Fermilab

### Slow Component Peaked at 450 nm



Ren-Yuan Zhu | CD3c EMC breakout session: Crystals

### **FGUV11 Removes Slow Component**

### A FGUV11 filter removes slow component spectroscopically and reduces the fast component by a factor of two





### LO & Decay Kinetics: Kharkov 4

A slow component with a position dependent amplitude and decay time of 3.2 and 3.6 us was observed



### LO & Decay Kinetics: SIC 11

A slow component at a level of 10% with a decay time of 1.6 us was observed



### LO & Decay Kinetics: SIC 13

A slow component at a level of 20% with a decay time of 1.6 us was observed



### Resolution (200 ns): Kharkov 3

#### Ave ER= 43.8%







### **Resolution (200 ns): Kharkov 4**

#### Ave ER= 41.0%

### Ave ER= 41.6%

B end coupled

E.R.= 40.5%

E.R.= 40.4%

E.R.= 40.5%

E.R.= 42.6%

E.R.= 42.6%

E.R.= 42.8%

E.R.= 41.9%

1000

800





600

### **Resolution (200 ns): Optomaterial 11**

#### Ave ER= 33.7%



### Ave ER= 34.4%



#### Ave ER= 36.3%



#### Ave ER= 36.2%



#### Ave ER= 35.9%



### Ave ER= 36.6%



#### Ave ER= 35.6%



### Ave ER=35.2%



#### Ave ER= 35.9%



6/14/16

Ave ER=35.7%

### LO & LRU: Kharkov 3





🔁 Fermilab

### LO & LRU: Kharkov 4



## LO & LRU: Optomaterial 11

### LO and LRU is coupling end independent



6/14/16

🚰 Fermilab

### LO & LRU are coupling end dependent





66 Ren-Yuan Zhu | CD3c EMC breakout session: Crystals

6/14/16

🚰 Fermilab







6/14/16

🚰 Fermilab