



Fast and Rad Hard Scintillating Ceramics as an Active Material for Shashlik Calorimeter

Ren-Yuan Zhu

California Institute of Technology

September 9, 2015

Shashlik Working Meeting



Fast and Rad Hard LYSO Crystal



Presented in CMS Forward Calorimetry Task Force Meeting, June 27, 2012

	LSO/LYSO	GSO	YSO ^①	Csl	BaF ₂	CeF ₃	CeBr ₃ 2	LaCl ₃	LaBr ₃	Plastic scintillator (BC 404) [€]
Density (g/cm ³)	7.40	6.71	4.44	4.51	4.89	6.16	5.23	3.86	5.29	1.03
Melting point (°C)	2050	1950	1980	621	1280	1460	722	858	783	70#
Radiation Length (cm)	1.14	1.38	3.11	1.86	2.03	1.70	1.96	2.81	1.88	42.54
Molière Radius (cm)	2.07	2.23	2.93	3.57	3.10	2.41	2.97	3.71	2.85	9.59
Interaction Length (cm)	20.9	22.2	27.9	39.3	30.7	23.2	31.5	37.6	30.4	78.8
Z value	64.8	57.9	33.3	54.0	51.6	50.8	45.6	47.3	45.6	-
dE/dX (MeV/cm)	9.55	8.88	6.56	5.56	6.52	8.42	6.65	5.27	6.90	2.02
Emission Peak ^a (nm)	420	430	420	310	300 220	340 300	371	335	356	408
Refractive Index ^b	1.82	1.85	1.80	1.95	1.50	1.62	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.58
Relative Light Yield ^{a,c}	100	45	76	4.2 1.3	42 4.8	8.6	141	15 49	153	35
Decay Time ^a (ns)	40	73	60	30 6	650 0.9	30	17	570 24	20	1.8
d(LY)/dT ^d (%/°C)	-0.2	-0.4	-0.3	-1.4	-1.9 0.1	~0	-0.1	0.1	0.2	~0

a.

- At the wavelength of the emission maximum. b.
- Top line: slow component, bottom line: fast component.¹. N. Tsuchida et al Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, 385 (1997) 290-298 http://www.hitachi-chem.co.jp/english/products/cc/017.html

2. W. Drozdowski et al. IEEE TRANS. NUCL. SCI, VOL.55, NO.3 (2008) 1391-1396 Chenliang Li et al, Solid State Commun, Volume 144, Issues 5-6 (2007),220-224 http://scintillator.lbl.gov/

- 3. http://www.detectors.saint-gobain.com/Plastic-Scintillator.aspx http://pdg.lbl.gov/2008/AtomicNuclearProperties/HTML PAGES/216.html
- Relative light yield normalized to the light yield of LSO c.
- d. At room temperature (20°C)
- Softening point



Radiation Hardness of 20 cm LYSO



About 20% loss and <5% divergence for six vendors after 10 Mrad





A Shashlik Cell Irradiated at JPL







September 9, 2015

Presented by Ren-Yuan Zhu in Shashlik Working Meeting



y-ray Induced Damage in LYSO



EWRIAC = 1.5, 3 and 4 m^{-1} after 10, 100 and 180 Mrad LO loss after 100 Mrad is 4 and 6% respectively for direct and WLS readout

Presented in Shashlik Meeting on April 9, 2015



No LO Loss up to 10¹⁴ p/cm²





THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

Proton Induced Damage in LYSO



A 20 cm and four 14×14×1.5 mm³ LYSO were irradiated by 800 MeV and 24 GeV protons at LANL and CERN respectively. The expected RIAC at the HL-LHC is about 3 m⁻¹, indicating a light output loss of 4 and 6% respectively for direct and WLS readout.

Presented in Shashlik Meeting on April 9, 2015



Presented by Ren-Yuan Zhu in Shashlik Working Meeting



LYSO Crystal Cost: Lu₂O₃ Price





Assuming Lu_2O_3 at \$400/kg and 33% yield the cost is about \$18/cc. Quotations received at \$22-25/cc.

Current Lu₂O₃ price indicates that LYSO price is going down from \$42/cc last year

Cost of ceramics is low because of effective raw material usage and no processing waste

September 9, 2015

Presented by Ren-Yuan Zhu in Shashlik Working Meeting



History of Optical Ceramics

1964—The 1st Ceramic Laser CaF₂:Dy

• Hatch et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 5, 153 (1964)

1980's—The 1st Ceramic Scintillator (Y,Gd)₂O₃:Eu

• Greskovich C et al. Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull. 71, 1120 (1992)

1985—1st Ceramic YAG

• G. de With and H.J.A. van Dijk, *Meter. Sci. Bull.*, 19, 1669 (1985).

1988—The Gd₂O₂S:Pr,Ce Ceramic Scintillator

• Yukio Ito et al. Japanese Journal of Applied Physics . 27, 1371 (1988)

1997—Ce doped YAG scintillating ceramics

• E. Zych et al. J. Lumin., 75, 193 (1997)

2002—Lu₂O₃:Eu scintillating ceramics

• A. Lempicki et al. Nucl. Inst. Meth. A488, 579 (2002)

2007—LuAG:Ce scintillating ceramics

• N. J. Cherepy et al. Nucl. Inst. Meth. A., 579, 38 (2007).

2009—LuAG:Pr scintillating ceramics

• T. Yanagida et al. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, 56, 2955 (2009).

2010—GYGAG:Ce scintillating ceramics

• N. J. Cherepy et al. *Proc. SPIE*, 7805, 7805(2010).



YAG:Nd Ceramics



10x10x2 cm with 0.3 at. % Nd:YAG ceramic slab Lu₂O₃(Eu)









Properties of Scintillation Ceramics



Ceramics	Y _{1.4} Gd _{0.6} O ₃ :Eu ^①	$Gd_2O_2S:Pr,Ce,F^{(1)}$	YAG:Ce ^②	$Lu_2O_3:Eu^{(3),(4)}$	LuAG:Ce ^⑤	LuAG:Pr ⁶	Gd _{1.5} Y _{1.5} Ga ₂ Al ₃ O ₁₂ :Ce ^{⑦,⑧}
Density (g/cm ³)*	5.92	7.34	4.57	9.42	6.76	6.76	5.80
Radiation Length (cm)*	1.73	1.16	3.53	0.81	1.45	1.45	2.11
Molière Radius (cm)*	2.44	2.13	2.76	1.72	2.15	2.15	2.43
Interaction Length (cm)*	24.5	22.3	25.2	18.1	20.6	20.6	22.4
Z value*	49.2	60.1	30.0	68.0	60.3	60.3	45.4
dE/dX (MeV/cm)*	8.02	9.30	7.01	11.6	9.22	9.22	8.32
Emission Peak (nm)	610	510	526	611	520	310	560
Light Yield (photons/MeV)	38000	43000	20000	90000	16000	22000	50000
Decay time (ns)	1000	3000	80 263 >5000	1600	37	20 770	100

* Data based on crystals

1. C. Greskovich and S. Duclos, CERAMIC SCINTILLATORS, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 27(1997)

2. Takayuki Yanagida et al, Evaluation of Properties of YAG (Ce) Ceramic Scintillators, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, 52(2005)

3. Y. Shi et al., Processing and scintillation properties of Eu3+ doped Lu2O3 transparent ceramics. Opt Mater, 31(2009)

4. Qiwei Chen et al. Fabrication and Photoluminescence Characteristics of Eu-Doped Lu2O3 Transparent Ceramics, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 89(2006)

5. Takayuki Yanagida et al, Scintillation properties of LuAG (Ce) ceramic and single crystalline scintillator, Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record (NSS/MIC), 2010 IEEE

6. Takayuki Yanagida et al, Scintillation Properties of Transparent Ceramic Pr:LuAG for Different Pr Concentration, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, 59(2012)

7. N. J. Cherepy et al, Development of Transparent Ceramic Ce-Doped Gadolinium Garnet Gamma Spectrometers, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, 60(2013)

N. J. Cherepy et al, Transparent Ceramics Scintillators for Gamma Spectroscopy and MeV Imaging, Proc. SPIE 9593

9/9/2015









Normalized EWLT: LYSO & Ceramics



For the sampling option density of the material is no longer crucial, rather decay time and radiation hardness. Will look old and new crystal scintillators, such as BaF₂, pure Csl, CeF₃, CeBr₃, Ce:LaBr₃, Ce:LaCl₃, YSO and GSO, so do not miss any cost-effective solution.

As expected that LYSO is radiation hard. Ceramics, on the other hand, seem not.

Presented in Shashlik Meeting on Nov 8, 2011



Presented by Ren-Yuan Zhu in Shashlik Working Meeting

Recent SIC LuAG:Ce Samples





Sample ID	Dimension (mm)	Polishing
LuAG S1	$25 \times 25 \times 0.4$	Two surfaces
LuAG S2	$25 \times 25 \times 0.4$	Two surfaces
LuAG RI	Φ15×0.2	Two surfaces

Experiments

 Properties measured at room temperature: Transmittance, Photo-luminescence, Light Output, Decay Time and Radiation Damage



PL and Transmittance



Two excitation peaks at 350 and 450 nm and one emission peak at 500 nm



Good Optical quality of the 0.2 mm sample approaching theoretical limit Scattering centers observed in 0.4 mm samples

Presented by Ren-Yuan Zhu in Shashlik Working Meeting



PHS, Light Output and Decay Time



Cs-137 peaks: 20% resolution, 1,500 p.e./MeV and 46 ns decay time





Progress in Radiation Hardness



No damage up to 0.86 Mrad in both transmittance and light output



Promising for a scintillating ceramic based sampling calorimeter



Summary



- LYSO crystals are radiation hard against ionization dose and proton fluence. The cost of LYSO crystals, however, is high which is some times prohibitive for HEP applications.
- Significant progresses in quality of scintillating ceramics have been achieved, proving possible cost-effective alternatives for scintillating crystals because of effective raw material usage and no processing waste.
- Our plan is to continue developing inorganic scintillators for HEP applications, including cost-effective bright, fast and rad hard ceramics.