

*Modus operandi* of empirical (data) work:

- We observe bids  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ , and we want to recover valuations  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ .
- Why? Analogously to demand estimation, we can evaluate the “market power” of bidders, as measured by the margin  $v - p$ .  
 Could be interesting to examine: how fast does margin decrease as  $n$  (number of bidders) increases?
- Useful for the optimal design of auctions:
  1. What is auction format which would maximize seller revenue?
  2. What value for reserve price would maximize seller revenue?
- Testing between CV and PV models  
 Very different behavioral implications

## 1 Nonparametric Identification and Estimation in IPV First-price Auction Model

- Main reference: Guerre, Perrigne, and Vuong (2000)
- Recall first-order condition for equilibrium bid (general affiliated values case):

$$b'(x) = (v(x, x) - b(x)) \cdot \frac{f_{y_i|x_i}(x|x)}{F_{y_i|x_i}(x|x)}; \quad (1)$$

where  $y_i \equiv \max_{j \neq i} x_j$  (highest among rivals' signals) and  $b(\cdot)$  denotes the equilibrium bidding strategy.

- In IPV case:  $V_i = X_i$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, x) &= x \\ F_{y_i|x_i}(x|x) &= F(x)^{n-1} \\ f_{y_i|x_i}(x|x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x)^{n-1} = (n-1)F(x)^{n-2}f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, first-order condition becomes

$$\begin{aligned} b'(x) &= (x - b(x)) \cdot (n - 1) \frac{F(x)^{n-2} f(x)}{F(x)^{n-1}} \\ &= (x - b(x)) \cdot (n - 1) \frac{f(x)}{F(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- Now, note that because equilibrium bidding function  $b(x)$  is just a monotone increasing function of the valuation  $x$ . Hence, for  $b_i \equiv b(x_i)$ :

- The cumulative distribution function of the bids is:

$$G(b_i) = P(b \leq b_i) = P(x \leq x_i) = F(x_i) \quad (3)$$

- Correspondingly, the bid density function can be obtained by differentiation:

$$g(b_i) = \frac{\partial G(b_i)}{\partial b_i} = \frac{\partial F(x_i)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial b_i} = f(x_i) \cdot \frac{\partial b^{-1}(b_i)}{\partial b_i} = f(x_i) \cdot 1/b'(x_i). \quad (4)$$

Hence, substituting the above into Eq. (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{g(b_i)} &= (n - 1) \frac{x_i - b_i}{G(b_i)} \\ \Leftrightarrow x_i &= b_i + \frac{G(b_i)}{(n - 1)g(b_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Everything on the RHS of the preceding equation is observed: the equilibrium bid CDF  $G$  and density  $g$  can be estimated directly from the data *nonparametrically*. Assuming a dataset consisting of  $T$   $n$ -bidder auctions:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(b) &\approx \frac{1}{T \cdot n} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{h} \mathcal{K} \left( \frac{b - b_{it}}{h} \right) \\ \hat{G}(b) &\approx \frac{1}{T \cdot n} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(b_{it} \leq b). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The first is a *kernel density estimate* of bid density. The second is the *empirical distribution function (EDF)*.

- In the above,  $\mathcal{K}$  is a “kernel function”. A kernel function is a function satisfying the following conditions:

1. It is a probability density function, ie:  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{K}(u) du = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{K}(u) \geq 0$  for all  $u$ .
2. It is symmetric around zero:  $\mathcal{K}(u) = \mathcal{K}(-u)$ .
3.  $h$  is bandwidth: describe below
4. Examples:

- (a)  $\mathcal{K}(u) = \phi(u)$  (standard normal density function);
- (b)  $\mathcal{K}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}(|u| \leq 1)$  (uniform kernel);
- (c)  $\mathcal{K}(u) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - u^2) \mathbf{1}(|u| \leq 1)$  (Epanechnikov kernel)

- To get some intuition for the kernel estimate of  $\hat{g}(b)$ , consider the histogram

$$h(b) = \frac{1}{Tn} \sum_t \sum_i \mathbf{1}(b_{it} \in [b - \epsilon, b + \epsilon])$$

for some small  $\epsilon > 0$ . The histogram at  $b$ ,  $h(b)$  is the frequency with which the observed bids land within an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $b$ .

- In comparison, the kernel estimate of  $\hat{g}(b)$  replaces  $\mathbf{1}(b_{it} \in [b - \epsilon, b + \epsilon])$  with  $\frac{1}{h} \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{b - b_{it}}{h}\right)$ . This is:

- always  $\geq 0$
- takes large values for  $b_{it}$  close to  $b$ ; small values (or zero) for  $b_{it}$  far from  $b$
- takes values in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (can be much larger than 1)
- $h$  is bandwidth, which blows up  $\frac{1}{h} \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{b - b_{it}}{h}\right)$ : when it is smaller, then this quantity becomes larger.

Think of  $h$  as measuring the “neighborhood size” (like  $\epsilon$  in the histogram). When  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , then we can make  $h$  smaller and smaller.

Bias/variance tradeoff.

- Roughly speaking, then,  $\hat{g}(b)$  is a “smoothed” histogram,
- For  $\hat{G}(b)$ , recall definition of the CDF:

$$G(\tilde{b}) = Pr(b \leq \tilde{b}).$$

The EDF measures these probabilities by the (within-sample) frequency of the events.

- Hence, the IPV first-price auction model is *nonparametrically identified*. For each observed bid  $b_{it}$ , the corresponding valuation  $x_{it} = b^{-1}(b_{it})$  can be recovered as:

$$\hat{x}_{it} = b_{it} + \frac{\hat{G}(b_{it})}{(n-1)\hat{g}(b_{it})}. \quad (7)$$

Hence, GPV recommend a two-step approach to estimating the valuation distribution  $f(x)$ :

1. In first step, estimate  $G(b)$  and  $g(b)$  nonparametrically, using Eqs. (6).
2. In second step, estimate the density  $f(x)$  and CDF  $F(x)$  of valuations by using kernel density estimator of recovered valuations:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(x) &\approx \frac{1}{T \cdot n} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{h} \mathcal{K} \left( \frac{x - \hat{x}_{it}}{h} \right). \\ \hat{F}(x) &\approx \frac{1}{T \cdot n} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(\hat{x}_{it} \leq x).\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

### 1.1 Optimal reserve price

With knowledge of  $f(x)$  and  $F(x)$ , you can compute the optimal reserve price:

$$\hat{r} : \hat{r} - \frac{1 - \hat{F}(\hat{r})}{f(\hat{r})} = 0.$$

### 1.2 Only winning bids observed

As a simple extension, we see that identification continues to hold, even when only the highest-bid in each auction is observed. Specifically, if only  $b_{n:n} \equiv \max(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  is observed, we can estimate  $G_{n:n}$ , the CDF of the maximum bid, from the data. Note that the relationship between the CDF of the maximum bid and the marginal CDF of an equilibrium bid is

$$G_{n:n}(b) = G(b)^n$$

implying that  $G(b)$  can be recovered from knowledge of  $G_{n:n}(b)$ . Once  $G(b)$  is recovered, the corresponding density  $g(b)$  can also be recovered, and we could solve Eq. (7) for every  $b$  to obtain the inverse bid function.

■■■

## 2 Affiliated values models

Can this methodology be extended to affiliated values models (including common value models)?

To prepare what follows, we introduce  $n$  subscript (so we index distributions according to the number of bidders in the auction).

Go back to first order condition for this model is: for bidder  $i$

$$b'(x, n) = (v(x, x, n) - b(x, n)) \cdot \frac{f_{y_i|x_i, n}(x|x)}{F_{y_i|x_i, n}(x|x)};$$

where  $y_i \equiv \max_{j \neq i} \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , and  $v(x, x, n) = E[V_i | X_i = x, Y_i = x]$ .

As before, because of the monotonicity of the bidding strategy  $b(x, n)$  in  $x$ , we can exploit the following change of variable formulas:

•

$$G_{b^*|b, n}(b|b) = F_{y|x, n}(x|x)$$

•

$$g_{b^*|b, n}(b|b) = f_{y|x, n}(x|x) \cdot 1/b'(x)$$

where  $b^*$  denotes (for a given bidder), the highest bid submitted by this bidder's rivals: for a given bidder  $i$ ,  $b_i^* = \max_{j \neq i} b_j$ .

Hence, by considering some bid  $b = b(x, n)$ , and substituting the above into the first-order condition, we obtain:

$$v(x, x, n) = b + \frac{G_{b^*|b}(b|b, n)}{g_{b^*|b}(b|b, n)}. \quad (9)$$

Procedure similar to GPV can be used here to recover, for each bid  $b_i$ , the corresponding quantity  $\frac{G_{b^*|b}(b|b, n)}{g_{b^*|b}(b|b, n)}$  (see below).

That is, for a given bid  $b$ , we can recover the corresponding  $v(x, x, n)$ . We cannot recover the signal  $x$  which caused this bidder to submit a bid equal to  $b = b(x, n)$ , but we can recover the “expected valuation conditional on winning”.

But it turns out this is enough for determining whether the bids came from a common value or private value environment.

## 2.1 Testing between CV and PV

Recall the winner's curse: it implies that  $v(x, x, n)$  is invariant to  $n$  for all  $x$  in a PV model but strictly decreasing in  $n$  for all  $x$  in a CV model.

In Haile, Hong, and Shum (2003), we use this intuition to develop a test for CV:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 \text{ (PV)} : E[v(X, X; \underline{n})] &= E[v(X, X; \underline{n} + 1)] = \dots = E[v(X, X; \bar{n})] \\ H_1 \text{ (CV)} : E[v(X, X; \underline{n})] &> E[v(X, X; \underline{n} + 1)] > \dots > E[v(X, X; \bar{n})] \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Technical details

Recall the fundamental probability laws,

$$g_{b^*, b, n}(b, b) = g_{b^*|b, n}(b|b) \cdot g_n(b)$$

where  $g_n(b)$  denotes the marginal density of bids. Then the fraction in the key equation (9) is equivalent to

$$\frac{G_n(b; b)}{g_n(b; b)} = \frac{G_n(b|b)}{g_n(b|b)}. \quad (10)$$

Li, Perrigne, and Vuong (2000) suggest kernel-based nonparametric estimates for  $g_n(b; b)$  and  $G_n(b; b)$  where

$$G_n(b; b) \equiv G_n(b|b)g_n(b) = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \Pr(B_{it}^* \leq m, B_{it} \leq b)|_{m=b}$$

and

$$g_n(b; b) \equiv g_n(b|b)g_n(b) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m \partial b} \Pr(B_{it}^* \leq m, B_{it} \leq b)|_{m=b}$$

and  $g_n(\cdot)$  is the marginal density of bids in equilibrium. The kernel-based estimators are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_n(b; b) &= \frac{1}{T_n \times h \times n} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{b - b_{it}}{h}\right) \mathbf{1}(b_{it}^* < b, n_t = n) \\ \hat{g}_n(b; b) &= \frac{1}{T_n \times h^2 \times n} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(n_t = n) K\left(\frac{b - b_{it}}{h}\right) K\left(\frac{b - b_{it}^*}{h}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here, as above,  $h$  is a bandwidth and  $K(\cdot)$  is a kernel function.

Hence, by evaluating  $\hat{G}_n(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\hat{g}_n(\cdot, \cdot)$  at each observed bid  $b_{it}$ , we can construct a pseudo-sample of estimates of

$$\hat{v}_{it} = b_{it} + \frac{\hat{G}_n(b_{it}; b_{it})}{\hat{g}_n(b_{it}; b_{it})}. \quad (12)$$

where each  $v_{it} = E[V_i | X_i = x_{it}, Y_i = x_{it}]$ , the expected value of winning for a bidder who submitted the bid  $b_{it}$ .

## References

- GUERRE, E., I. PERRIGNE, AND Q. VUONG (2000): “Optimal Nonparametric Estimation of First-Price Auctions,” *Econometrica*, 68, 525–74.
- HAILE, P., H. HONG, AND M. SHUM (2003): “Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Auctions,” NBER working paper #10105.
- LI, T., I. PERRIGNE, AND Q. VUONG (2000): “Conditionally Independent Private Information in OCS Wildcat Auctions,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 98, 129–161.