

Algebraic Renormalization

(1)

Renormalization 2 step procedure

- 1) Regularization
- 2) Renormalization

Typically (1): replace divergent integral by a function of parameters w/ singularities/poles at special value of parameters (= original integral)

while (2): "pole subtraction" procedure that takes into account combinatorics of graphs subdivergences nested inside larger graphs

$\gamma \subset \Gamma$



Example:

$$\delta(p_1 + p_2) \frac{1}{p_1^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{p_2^2 + m^2} \int \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{(p_1 + k)^2 + m^2} d^D k$$

Schwinger parameters

$$\frac{1}{(k^2 + m^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{(p+k)^2 + m^2} = \int_{s>0, t>0} e^{-s(k^2 + m^2) - t((p+k)^2 + m^2)} ds dt$$

- $Q(k)$ quadr form

Other general fact $\int e^{-\lambda q^2} d^D q = \pi^{D/2} \lambda^{-D/2}$

$$-Q(k) = -\lambda ((k+xp)^2 + ((x-x^2)p^2 + m^2))$$

$$s = (1-x)\lambda \quad t = x\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda((x-x^2)p^2 + \lambda m^2)} \int e^{-\lambda q^2} d^D q \lambda d\lambda dx$$

$$= \pi^{D/2} \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda((x-x^2)p^2 + \lambda m^2)} \lambda^{-D/2} d\lambda dx$$

$$= \pi^{D/2} \Gamma(2 - \frac{D}{2}) \int_0^1 ((x-x^2)p^2 + m^2)^{\frac{D}{2}-2} dx$$

↑ divergent
poles at $D \in 4 + 2\mathbb{N}$

↑ can compute this explicitly
(not divergent)

but not everything makes sense for $D \in \mathbb{R}$
 $D \mapsto D-z \in \mathbb{C}$

"Dimensional Regularization"

(more complicated for more difficult graphs with subdivergences)

Def: $\int e^{-A(t)q} d^D q \quad \mathbb{R}^L$

// quad. form

$$A(t)q = \sum_j t_j F_j(q, 0)^2$$

$$\pi^{L D/2} \det(A(t))^{-D/2}$$

Example of Regularization

→ Dim Reg: replace

$$U(\Gamma, p_1, \dots, p_N) = \int \frac{d^D k_1 \dots d^D k_n}{q_1(k_1) \dots q_n(k_n)}$$

with $U^z(\Gamma, p_1, \dots, p_N)$ a ~~function~~

Laurant series in z

$$e \in \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z]$$

How to perform renormalization then?

$U(\Gamma)$ (forget explicit dependence of ext momenta)
 unrenormalized but keep dep. on regularization parameter ϵ

BPHZ preparation:

$$\bar{R}(\Gamma) = * U(\Gamma) + \sum_{\gamma \subset \Gamma} C(\gamma) U(\Gamma/\gamma) \quad \text{all terms depend on } \epsilon$$

$\gamma \subset \Gamma$ subgraphs (multiconn.)
 s.t. Γ/γ still Feynman graph of same theory

$C(\gamma)$ defined inductively

$$C(\Gamma) = -T(\bar{R}(\Gamma)) \quad \text{polar part (as series in } \epsilon)$$

$$R(\Gamma) = (1-T)(\bar{R}(\Gamma))$$

renormalized

$R(\Gamma) |_{\epsilon=0}$ renorm. Feynman amplitude
 no longer divergent at $\epsilon=0$

How to better interpret this mathematically?

Hopf algebra of Feynman graphs (figure ext. structure)

as an algebra: commutative algebra on Γ 1PI Feyn. graphs of the given QFT

as a coalgebra:

$$\Delta(\Gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \otimes \Gamma/\gamma$$

s.t. Γ/γ also 1PI Feyn.

$$= 1 \otimes \Gamma + \Gamma \otimes 1 + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \otimes \Gamma/\gamma$$

primitive part non-primitive part

Grading by $\#E(\Gamma)_{int}$

↑ terms of lower degree

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n \quad \text{graded}$$

\mathcal{H}_n span of Γ 's
 $\#E_{int}(\Gamma) = n$

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{R} \text{ (or } \mathbb{Q} \text{ : field of char } = 0)$$

↑ connected

antipode, defined inductively

$$S(x) = -X + \sum S(x')x''$$

where $\Delta(X) = (X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X) + \sum x' \otimes x''$

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta \\ (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta = \text{id} = (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta \\ m(\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta = m(S \otimes \text{id})\Delta = 1 \cdot \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

unit $1: k \rightarrow A$
 (to \mathcal{H})
 counit $\varepsilon: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow k$
 (augmentation)
 k -alg. morphisms
 Δ also
 $\Delta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$
 $\int k$ -alg
 antichain

A commutative Hopf alg. is dual to an affine grp. scheme

for all A commut. alg over k

$$G(A) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_k}(\mathcal{H}, A)$$

is a group

$\phi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow A$ homom of commut. algebras

(lin maps with $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ $\phi(1) = 1$)

product in $G(A)$

$$1 \otimes X + X \otimes 1 + \sum x' \otimes x''$$

$$(\phi_1 * \phi_2)(X) = \langle \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2, \Delta(X) \rangle$$

$$= \phi_2(X) + \phi_1(X) + \sum \phi_1(x')\phi_2(x'') \quad (\text{convol. prod})$$

inverse = composition w/ antipode $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ S$

unit (counit of \mathcal{H} : $\varepsilon: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow k \rightarrow A$)

In particular the unrenormalized Feynman rule

$$U^z(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^1, z^2]] = A$$

$U \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_k}(\mathcal{H}, A)$ just means if $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2$
 $U(\Gamma) = U(\Gamma_1) \cdot U(\Gamma_2)$

morphism of commutative algebras

but with one additional property: in $\mathbb{C}[[z^1, z^2]]$ have operator (linear) T of projection onto polar part

What formal alg properties of $(\mathbb{C}[[z^1, z^2]], T)$ need?

(\mathcal{R}, T) Rota-Baxter algebra of weight $-1 = \lambda$
 \mathcal{R} commutative algebra / k
 $T: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ linear map s.t.
 $T(x)T(y) = T(xT(y)) + T(T(x)y) + \lambda T(xy)$

Properties of RB alg w/ $\lambda = -1$:

- $\mathcal{R}_+ = (1-T)\mathcal{R}$ $\mathcal{R}_- = \text{unitization of } T\mathcal{R}$
 are subalgebras (not just subvector spaces)

e.g. $(1-T)(a)(1-T)(b) = (1-T)(ab) + (1-T)(T(ab) + aT(b))$

by RB relation

BPHZ factorization (Birkhoff factorization)

$$\exists! \phi = (\phi_- \circ S) * \phi_+$$

$$\phi_{\pm} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\pm} \quad \text{algebra homomorphism}$$

normalized by $\varepsilon_- \circ \phi_- = \varepsilon$ ← augmentation in \mathcal{R}_- (unital)

Construction of ϕ_{\pm} : inductively over degrees in \mathcal{H}

$$\phi_-(X) = -T(\phi(X) + \sum \phi_-(X') \phi(X''))$$

$$\phi_+(X) = (1-T) \left(\phi(X) + \sum \phi_-(X') \phi(X'') \right)$$

(requires ϕ previously constructed for lower deg. terms)

$\tilde{\phi}(X)$ BPHZ preparation

indeed algebra homomorphism:

eg

$$\phi_-(XY) = -T(\tilde{\phi}(X) \tilde{\phi}(Y)) + T(T(\tilde{\phi}(X)) \tilde{\phi}(Y)) + T(\tilde{\phi}(X) T(\tilde{\phi}(Y)))$$

$$\phi_-(X) \phi_-(Y) = T(\tilde{\phi}(X)) T(\tilde{\phi}(Y))$$

obtained by decomposing terms in

$$\Delta(XY) = XY \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes XY + \underbrace{\sum (XY)' \otimes (XY)''}$$

in terms of X, Y, X', X'', Y', Y''

So general setting

Algebraic Renormalization

"algebraic Feynman rule"

$$\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}_k}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{comm. alg. homom.}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{Hopf} \quad \mathbb{R} = \text{RB} \quad \lambda = -1$$

$$\phi_{\pm} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\pm} \quad \text{renormalization}$$

$$\phi_{-} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{-} \quad \text{counterterms (divergences)}$$

$$\phi_{+} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+} \quad \text{renormalized Feynman rule}$$

At level of forms?

$$Y \subset X (= \mathbb{P}^n)$$

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}^n, Y}^*$ = moduli of merom. diff forms poles along Y

$$\{f=0\} = Y \quad \text{defining equation}$$

$$\omega = \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_p}{f^p}$$

extraction of polar part $T(\omega) = \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{\alpha_p}{f^p}$

if $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{P}^n, Y}^{\text{even}}$ (commut. alg.) T is RB $\lambda = -1$

if \mathcal{M}^* all deg. graded commutative version of RB

Adj. a unit to a non-unital alg. R/k

$$\begin{matrix} (a, r) & (1, 0) \text{ is unit} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ R & \tilde{R} \end{matrix} \quad (a, r)(b, s) = (ab, a+br+sr)$$

$$\begin{matrix} \tilde{\epsilon} : \tilde{R} \rightarrow k \\ \tilde{\epsilon}(a, r) = a \end{matrix} \quad k \in R$$

Possible Setup:

- graded-commutative version of \mathcal{H} CK Hopf

$$\Gamma_1 \cdot \Gamma_2 = (-1)^{l(\Gamma_1)l(\Gamma_2)} \Gamma_2 \cdot \Gamma_1$$

(think of grading by loop # instead of by n)

- for fixed # loops l

$$\exists X_l, Y_l$$

$Y_l \subset X_l$ hypersurface (if good singular)

Sit. motivic $m(X_l)$ is mixed Tate

- morphism $\phi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{X_l, Y_l}$
- using PB on \mathcal{H}_{X_l, Y_l}

graded alg's

$$\phi_+ (\Gamma) = (1-T) (\phi(\Gamma) + \sum \phi_-(\Gamma) \phi(\Gamma_r))$$

$\omega_\Gamma = \frac{g_\omega}{p \alpha(\Gamma)}$
 terms that survive
 $\alpha(\gamma_i) = 0$ some $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$
 $\alpha(\Gamma_r) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha(\Gamma) = 0$

then if original problem (unknown $\phi(\Gamma) = \omega_\Gamma$)

$$\int \omega_\Gamma = \int \phi(\Gamma)$$

only l divergences

$\int \phi_+ (\Gamma)$ is regular

$n = \frac{D(l+1)}{2}$
 $n = \frac{D(l+1)}{2}$
 ~~$n = \frac{D(l+1)}{2}$~~
 $n_1 = \frac{D(l_1+1)}{2}$
 $n_2 = \frac{D(l_2+1)}{2}$
 $n_1 + n_2 = \frac{D(l_1+l_2)}{2} + D$
 n
 in range $n > \frac{D(l+1)}{2}$
 outside
 vs. $n/4$ in denom ??

BUT:

lose a lot of information in passing from $\omega_\Gamma = \phi(\Gamma)$ to $\omega_\Gamma^+ = \phi_+(\Gamma)$
 very few terms in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Gamma)$ survive (often none!)

~~very bad~~

Can correct so that retain some useful information? (9)

suppose $Y_e \subset X_g$ such that

all $H^*(X_e, Y_e)$ realized by forms w/ log poles

$\Omega_{X_e}^*(\log(Y_e))$ e.g. Y_e normal crossings divisor (Deligne)

then T RB operator becomes much simpler

$$\omega = \alpha + \beta \wedge \frac{df}{f} \quad \alpha, \beta \text{ when on } X_g$$

$$T\omega = \beta \wedge \frac{df}{f} \quad \text{now } T_x T_y = 0$$

$$T(xy) = x T(y) + T(x) y \quad \text{RB identity becomes simple derivation}$$

\Rightarrow BPHZ becomes simple pole subtraction

$$(1-T)(\omega) = \alpha$$

Moreover: can retain information on the part thrown away
via the Poincaré residue along Y_e

$$\beta = \text{Res}_{Y_e}(\omega)$$

in this case unrenormalized (divergent) separately considering

$$\int_{\sigma} \phi(\tau) \text{ can be replaced by } \int_{\sigma} \alpha \quad \& \quad \int_{\sigma \cap Y_e} \text{Res}(\omega)$$

Have a setting X_e, Y_e like this?

Let if $X_e = \mathbb{P}^{2l-1}$ and $D_e \subset X_e$ div hypersurface

~~Let~~ New compactification of $X_e - D_e$

$\overline{X_e - D_e}$ where $\overline{X_e - D_e} = (X_e - D_e) \cup Y_e$ "boundary"
 Y_e is ^{first} normal crossing divisor

Kausz compactification of GL_n :

PGL_n has a "wonderful compactif." (Deligne-Procesi)

$$X_0 = \mathbb{P}^{n^2-1}$$

$Y_i =$ locus of matrices of rk i

\overline{Y}_i closure in X_{i-1}

$X_i = Bl_{\overline{Y}_i}(X_{i-1})$ iterated blowups

$X_{n-1} = \overline{PGL_n}$ smooth w/ normal crossing div. boundary

$Y_i = PGL_i$ -bldes over a prod of Grassmannians

$KGL_n = \overline{GL_n}$ closure inside $\overline{PGL_{n+1}}$ wonderful Kausz compactif.

also iterated blowup $X_0 = \mathbb{P}^{n^2}$

$$X_i = Bl_{\overline{Y}_{i-1} \cup \overline{H}_i}(X_{i-1})$$

Y_i rk i matrices in A^{n^2}

H_i in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times A^{n-2}$

Complement = normal crossings of GL_n divisor Y_e in KGL_n

1) motive of KGL_n

\rightsquigarrow motives of bundles KGL_n fibres over mods of Grassmannians (blowup loci)

Σ blowup formula for motives

$\rightsquigarrow m(KGL_n)$ mixed Tate (in progress)

2) $Y_e = KGL_n$ novel corrigis division

- ω_{Γ} Feynman amplitude reformulated in complement of det hypersurface

- pullback to Kausz compactification

- cohomologous to a form w/ log poles

- apply pole subtraction + Poincaré residue

~~get~~ \rightsquigarrow get Σ MT periods \swarrow no worries here about $\Sigma_{l,g} \cap D_l$ anymore

$m(KGL_n, \Sigma_{l,g})$
of MT motive

and $m(Y_e, \Sigma_{l,g} \cap Y_e)$ \nwarrow any problem from here?