

• Arbitrary Cartesian products of sets

revisit product of two sets $A \times B = \{(a,b) \mid a \in A \& b \in B\}$
 $= \{ \text{functions } f: \{1,2\} \rightarrow A \cup B \text{ st. } f(1) \in A \text{ and } f(2) \in B \}$

$\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ family of sets define Cartesian prod $\prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$

$$\prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha = \left\{ f: I \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha \mid \forall \alpha \in I \ f(\alpha) \in A_\alpha \right\}$$

$$x \in \prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$$

$$x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$$

$$x_\alpha = f(\alpha) \text{ w/ notation above}$$

Note: if index set I finite clear that $\prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ if all $A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$
 if set I is infinite? (axiom of choice)

• More set theory basics

finite set $A = \exists$ bijection $f: A \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$n = \#A$ cardinality of A

\uparrow well defined i.e. property of A i.e. cannot have bijections
 $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, m\}$
 w/ $n \neq m$

otherwise would have bijection

$\{1, \dots, n\} \xrightarrow{h} \{1, \dots, m\}$ injective & surjective

injective $\Rightarrow m \geq n$

surjective $\Rightarrow m \leq n$

$h(1), \dots, h(n)$ n different pts in $\{1, \dots, m\}$
 for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ $f(i) = j$

(2) in particular if $B \subsetneq A$ proper subset and
~~there~~ A finite nonempty there is not
 bijection $f: B \xrightarrow{\sim} A$

• 1) $\exists f: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n\}$ bijection

$\exists a \in A \quad a \notin B$ since $B \subsetneq A$

$f(a) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad f(B) \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{f(a)\}$

\exists bijection $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{f(a)\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n-1\}$
 (permutation)

$$\Rightarrow \# f(B) = \# B \leq n-1$$

$f \uparrow$ bijection

Infinite sets

a set is finite \Rightarrow no bijection to a proper subset

\exists bijection of finite sets $A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ iff same cardinality $\#A = \#B$

• infinite sets have bijections to proper subsets

e.g. $2\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ map $f(2k) = k$ is bijection
 (injective & surjective)

• A countably infinite (countable) iff

\exists bijection $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

e.g. $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ countable through ~~diag.~~ enumeration:



also through
 $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$
 $f(n, m) = 2^n 3^m$
 injective

• there are ~~countable~~ ^{infinite} sets that are not countable
 (uncountable)

• countable unions of countable sets
 are countable

$$f_\alpha: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow A_\alpha$$

$$h: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow J$$

$$\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \xrightarrow{\text{surjective}} \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} A_\alpha$$

$$(n, m) \mapsto f_{h(n)}(m)$$

[discuss below]

(3)

in fact sufficient to see \exists surjection $\mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow A$

to see A countable: to get bijection choose

get bijection to ~~subset of~~ \mathbb{Z}_+ (countable)
 ~~infinite~~
 \mathbb{Z}_+ (countable)
 \uparrow
 subset of countable set
 countable or finite

Note: equivalent facts
 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A \text{ (at most countable) (finite set or)} \\ \exists \text{ injection } A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \exists \text{ surjection } \mathbb{Z}_+ \twoheadrightarrow A \end{array} \right.$
 \uparrow
 (bijection to \mathbb{Z}_+)

$f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \twoheadrightarrow A \Rightarrow g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$
 f surj \Rightarrow g inject
 $g(a) = \text{smallest element in } \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ with } f(n) = a$

$f: A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ inj} \Rightarrow g \text{ bijection to a subset } g(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}_+$

all subsets of \mathbb{Z}_+ either finite or countably infinite
 count pts of C in order in which occur in \mathbb{Z}_+
 (get enumeration of C)

set of all functions

$$\{ \text{~~all~~ } f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0,1\} \} = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$f = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ $a_i \in \{0,1\}$
 all possible infinite sequences of 0's and 1's

the set $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is not countable

show there is no surjection $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$

(4) $g(n) = (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, \dots, a_{n,m}, \dots)$

each digit in $\{0,1\}$

take $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots)$ element in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$
 defined by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_{nn} = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } a_{nn} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$y \notin g(\mathbb{N})$$

for each map $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ can construct such a
 $y \notin g(\mathbb{N})$ so no surjections

Note: $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \cong \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ set of all subsets of \mathbb{N}

General procedure for jumping "cardinality" of
 infinite sets X and $\mathcal{P}(X)$

given any map $g: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ g is not surjective

$\forall x \in X$ $g(x)$ is a subset of X (which may or not contain pt x)

define another subset of X by rule

$$B = \{x \in X \mid x \notin g(x)\}$$

$\Rightarrow g$ not surjective
 this $B \notin g(A)$

is $B \in g(A)$? if so there would be $a_0 \in A$

s.t. $B = g(a_0)$ but ~~by construction~~

if $a_0 \in B$ then $a_0 \in g(a_0)$ contradicts $x \in B \iff x \notin g(x)$
 if $a_0 \notin B$ then $a_0 \notin g(a_0)$ contradicts $x \in B \iff x \notin g(x)$

no
 $B \notin g(A)$

the axiom of choice and infinite sets

(5)

- A infinite set $\Rightarrow \exists f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$ injection

choose $a_1 \in A$ set $f(1) = a_1$

suppose already defined $f(k) \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

$A \setminus f(\{1, \dots, n-1\})$ nonempty (because A infinite)

choose $a_n \in A \setminus f(\{1, \dots, n-1\})$ and set $f(n) = a_n$

inductively construct injective map $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A$

- A infinite set $\Rightarrow \exists$ bijection A to proper subset of A

use previous $f: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow A \quad B = f(\mathbb{Z}_+) \subseteq A$

$f(n) = a_n \in A \quad a_n \neq a_m \text{ for } n \neq m$ (injective)

define $g: A \rightarrow A \setminus \{a_1\}$ by $\begin{cases} g(a_n) = a_{n+1} & a_n \in B \\ g(x) = x & x \notin B \end{cases}$

is a bijection

Note used choices of a_n 's to construct $f: \mathbb{Z}_+ \hookrightarrow A$

Axiom of choice : given an arbitrary (finite or infinite) collection $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of disjoint nonempty sets

\exists a set C that consists of exactly one element from each A_α

\exists a choice function $c: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha} A_\alpha \quad C = \{c(A_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$
s.t. $c(A_\alpha) \in A_\alpha$

⑥ Order relations and well ordered sets

• binary relation $R \subset X \times X$

is a preorder if

• reflexive $\forall x (x, x) \in R$

• $(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, z) \in R \Rightarrow (x, z) \in R$
transitive

(unlike equivalence not symmetric)

$x \overset{R}{\leq} y$ if $(x, y) \in R$ preorder

— (an equiv. rel. a particular case of preorder that also symmetric)

— on \mathbb{R} $x \leq y$ is preorder

— $\mathcal{P}(X)$ w/ inclusion $A \subseteq B$ of subsets is preorder

• partial ordering is a preordering w/ additional condition

$$(x \overset{R}{\leq} y) \wedge (y \overset{R}{\leq} x) \Rightarrow x = y$$

(i.e. only case w/ symm property holds is if $x = y$)

• total ordering partial ordering R
when $\forall x, y \in X$ either $x \overset{R}{\leq} y$ or $y \overset{R}{\leq} x$

• partially ordered set X is well ordered if
each $B \subset X$ $B \neq \emptyset$ has a first element $b_0 \in B$ s.t. $b_0 \overset{R}{\leq} b$ $\forall b \in B$

• a well ordered set X is totally ordered ;
take $\{a, b\} \subseteq X$ subset \exists min el. so either $a \leq^R b$ or $b \leq^R a$

- Equivalent statements :
- 1) axiom of choice
 - 2) Zorn's lemma
 - 3) Zermelo's ~~well~~ ordering

Zorn's lemma
 X preordered set: if each subset $B \subseteq X$ totally ordered (chain)
~~has maximal element~~
has upper bound $\Rightarrow X$ has at least one maximal element
some x s.t.
 $b \leq x \quad \forall b \in B$

Zermelo's well ordering : every set admits a \leq^R that is a well-ordering

This proof not covered in class: added here for completeness

Outline of proof : preliminaries :

- 1) φ -towers X set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ family
 $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ function
 - $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
 - $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ totally ordered (by inclusion) $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$
 - $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \cup \{\varphi(A)\} \in \mathcal{F}$
- M minimal φ -tower (intersection of all still φ -tower)

2) \mathcal{F} φ -tower : $\exists A \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $\varphi(A) \in A$

\uparrow
show M totally ordered by inclusion then $A = \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{M}} M$
~~then~~ $A \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow A \cup \{\varphi(A)\} \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow A \cup \{\varphi(A)\} \subseteq A \Rightarrow \varphi(A) \in A$

⑧ then Axiom choice \Rightarrow Zorn :

- $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ set of all chains in X (totally ordered subsets)
- $A \in \mathcal{F}, A \neq \emptyset, q_A$ an upper bound (assuming each chain has an upper bound)

$$T_A = \{x \in X : (q_A < x) \vee (x < q_A)\}$$

want to show there does max)

* Want to show $T_A = \emptyset$

(because this means either no x with $q_A < x$ or if $q_A < x$ then also $x < q_A$ so q_A is maximal)

suppose $T_A \neq \emptyset$ and assuming axiom of choice so \exists choice function that chooses an element in each T_A

function $c: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X$ such that $c(A) \in T_A$
and $c(\emptyset) = x_0$

— then show $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is a c-tower

• $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}'$

• $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}'$ s.t. $\{A_\alpha\}$ is a chain (by inclusion)

then $\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}'$: $a, b \in \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha$ $\begin{matrix} \nearrow a \in A_\alpha \\ \searrow b \in A_\beta \end{matrix}$

so a, b related

$A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ or vice versa

so $\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha$ tot. ordered

• $A \in \mathcal{F}' \Rightarrow A \cup \{c(A)\} \in \mathcal{F}'$

enough to see $a \in A$ and $c(A)$ related

$a < q_A$ and $q_A < c(A)$
 $\underbrace{\quad}_{\in T_A}$

$\Rightarrow a < c(A)$

— apply previous lemma: $\exists A \in \mathcal{F}' : c(A) \in A$ hence $c(A) < q_A$
but contradiction because $c(A) \in T_A \Rightarrow \neg (c(A) < q_A)$

$\Rightarrow T_A = \emptyset$

Well ordering \Rightarrow axiom of choice :

$\{A_\alpha\}$ family, take well ordering on $\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha$,

define choice function $c(A_\alpha) =$ first element in A_α w/ resp. to this well ordering

Zorn \Rightarrow Well ordering

E set

Some subsets can be well ordered
want to show E itself can also

- \mathcal{F} family of all ~~ord~~ pairs $(A, <_A)$
 $A \subseteq E$ $<_A$ is a well ordering on A
 i.e. all subsets that can be well ordered

- put an ordering on \mathcal{F}

$$(A, <_A) < (B, <_B) \quad \text{iff}$$

$$* A \subset B$$

$$* <_B \text{ restricted to } A \text{ induces } <_A$$

$$* y \in B \setminus A \ \& \ x \in A \Rightarrow x <_B y$$

(*)

is a partial ordering $(\mathcal{F}, <)$

check partially ordered set $(\mathcal{F}, <)$ satisfies requirements of Zorn's lemma

$$\{(A_\alpha, <_\alpha)\} \text{ a chain in } (\mathcal{F}, <)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha \text{ has a well ordering } <_\cup \text{ (i.e. is in } \mathcal{F})$$

$$\text{so that } (\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha, <_\cup) \text{ is upper bound for}$$

this works if define $<_\cup$ to be:

$$a, b \in \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha$$

\leadsto there is some $A_\alpha \ni a, b$
(because ordered by inclusion)
chain

then $a <_\cup b$ iff $a <_\alpha b$ in this well defined because in a layer one would be same

so $<_\cup$ well def. partial ordering

\leq_U is also well-ordering because of $(*)$ (10)

because \leq_U satisfies $(y \in A_\alpha) \wedge (x \leq_U y) \Rightarrow x \in A_\alpha$

\Rightarrow if $Q \subset \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha$ $Q \neq \emptyset$ there is a first A_α w/ $Q \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$
and first element in $Q \cap A_\alpha$ is also first element in Q

So $(\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha, \leq_U) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(A_\alpha, \leq_\alpha) < (\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha, \leq_U)$

so $\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha$ is an upper bound ~~and~~ in \mathcal{F}

Zorn $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ has a maximal element (A, \leq_A)
 $(\mathcal{F}, <)$ this must have $A = E$

otherwise if $a_0 \in E \setminus A$

could get $(A, \leq_A) < (A \cup \{a_0\}, \leq')$

where in \leq' a_0 is last element
and \leq_A on A

and would not be maximal