

\mathbb{F}_1 -geometry of Moduli Spaces

Matilde Marcolli (Caltech)
joint work with Yuri Manin

PRIMA Plenary Talk, Shanghai, June 2013

Main reference:

- Yuri Manin, M.M., *Moduli Operad over \mathbb{F}_1* , arXiv:1302.6526

Moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ together with their operad structure descend to \mathbb{F}_1 , with descent data given in terms of “constructible sets over the field with one element”

What is the “field with one element”?

Finite geometries ($q = p^k$, p prime)

$$\#\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{\#(\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) \setminus \{0\})}{\#\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)} = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = [n]_q$$

$$\begin{aligned}\#\mathrm{Gr}(n, j)(\mathbb{F}_q) &= \#\{\mathbb{P}^j(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)\} \\ &= \frac{[n]_q!}{[j]_q! [n-j]_q!} = \binom{n}{j}_q\end{aligned}$$

$$[n]_q! = [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q, \quad [0]_q! = 1$$

The origin of \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry: Jacques Tits observed if take $q = 1$

$$\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_1) := \text{finite set of cardinality } n$$

$$\mathrm{Gr}(n, j)(\mathbb{F}_1) := \text{set of subsets of cardinality } j$$

Is there an algebraic geometry over \mathbb{F}_1 ?

Extensions \mathbb{F}_{1^n} (Kapranov-Smirnov)

Monoid $\{0\} \cup \mu_n$ (n -th roots of unity)

- Vector space over \mathbb{F}_{1^n} : pointed set (V, v) with free action of μ_n on $V \setminus \{v\}$
- Linear maps: permutations compatible with the action

$$\mathbb{F}_{1^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_1} \mathbb{Z} := \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]/(t^n - 1)$$

Counting of points: for geometries X over \mathbb{Z} , reductions mod p

$$N_q(X) = \#X(\mathbb{F}_q), \quad q = p^r$$

Polynomially countable if $N_q(X) = P_X(q)$ polynomial in q .
Counting of “points over the field with one element and its extensions”

$$P_X(m+1) = \#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^m})$$

Different approaches to \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry: Soulé, Haran, Dourov, Toen–Vaquie, Connes–Consani, López-Peña–Lorscheid

We follow the approach to \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry via **torifications** (López-Peña–Lorscheid)

Idea: *geometerize* the expected behavior of the “counting of points” function

Levels of torified structures:

- Torification of the class in the Grothendieck ring
- Geometric torification
- Affine torification
- Regular torification

Then we'll also need a *weaker* form of geometric torification:
constructible torifications

The Grothendieck ring of varieties $K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})$

- generators $[X]$ isomorphism classes
- $[X] = [X \setminus Y] + [Y]$ for $Y \subset X$ closed
- $[X] \cdot [Y] = [X \times Y]$

Tate (virtual) motives: $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{L}] \subset K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})$, with $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$

Universal Euler characteristics:

Any **additive invariant** of varieties: $\chi(X) = \chi(Y)$ if $X \cong Y$

$$\chi(X) = \chi(Y) + \chi(X \setminus Y), \quad Y \subset X$$

$$\chi(X \times Y) = \chi(X)\chi(Y)$$

values in a commutative ring \mathcal{R} is same thing as a ring homomorphism

$$\chi : K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

Examples of additive invariants:

- Topological Euler characteristic
- *Counting points over finite fields*
- Gillet–Soulé motivic $\chi_{mot}(X)$:

$$\chi_{mot} : K_0(\mathcal{V})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}] \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{M}), \quad \chi_{mot}(X) = [(X, id, 0)]$$

for X smooth projective; complex $\chi_{mot}(X) = W(X)$

Note: counting points over finite fields factors through the Grothendieck ring, geometrize expected behavior of the counting function as a condition on $[X] \in K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})$.

- Grothendieck class torification

The class $[X] \in K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ in the Grothendieck ring satisfies

$$[X] = \sum_k a_k \mathbb{T}^k$$

with $\mathbb{T} = [\mathbb{G}_m] = \mathbb{L} - 1$, and with coefficients $a_k \geq 0$.

- If $[X] \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{L}]$ Tate motive, then polynomially countable $N_q(X) = P_X(q)$, then

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_1) = P_X(1) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} N_q(X) = a_0$$

points over \mathbb{F}_1 and points over \mathbb{F}_{1^m} :

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^m}) = P_X(m+1) = \sum_k a_k m^k$$

where $N_q(\mathbb{T}) = N_q(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{pt\}) = q - 1$

Example: \mathbb{F}_{1^m} -points of \mathbb{P}^1

- Class $[\mathbb{P}^1] = 1 + \mathbb{L} = 2 + \mathbb{T}$ and counting $N_q(\mathbb{P}^1) = 1 + q$
- \mathbb{F}_1 -points: $\#\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_1) = 2$, say $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{G}_m \cup \{0, \infty\}$
- \mathbb{F}_{1^m} -points: $\#\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{1^m}) = m + 2$, given by $\{0, \infty\}$ and m -th roots of unity in \mathbb{G}_m

This suggests a more *geometric* notion of torification, as a decomposition of the variety, not only of the Grothendieck class.

- **Geometric torification** (López-Peña–Lorscheid)

Morphism of schemes $e_X : T \rightarrow X$ from (finite) disjoint union of tori $T = \coprod_{j \in I} T_j$, $T_j = \mathbb{G}_m^{d_j}$, with restriction of e_X to each torus an immersion inducing bijection of k -points, $e_X(k) : T(k) \rightarrow X(k)$, for every field k

- **Affine torification**

\exists affine covering $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X compatible with e_X : $\forall U_\alpha$, \exists subfamily $\{T_j \mid j \in I_\alpha\}$ of torification such that restriction $e_X|_{\cup_{j \in I_\alpha} T_j}$ torification of U_α

- **Regular torification**

Closure of tori T_j is union of other tori of the torification

Examples: $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{A}^n \cup \dots \cup \mathbb{A}^1 \cup \mathbb{A}^0$ affinely torified; Grassmanians $\text{Gr}(n, j)$ torified (cell decomposition), but not affine; smooth toric varieties regular torification (torus orbits)

Morphisms in \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry

- **torified morphism:**

$\Phi : (X, e_X : T_X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (Y, e_Y : T_Y \rightarrow Y)$ a triple $\Phi = (\phi, \psi, \{\phi_i\})$ with $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ morphism of \mathbb{Z} -varieties, $\psi : I_X \rightarrow I_Y$ map of indexing sets and $\phi_j : T_{X,j} \rightarrow T_{Y,\psi(j)}$ morphism of algebraic groups, $\phi \circ e_X|_{T_{X,j}} = e_Y|_{T_{Y,\psi(j)}} \circ \phi_j$

- **affinely torified morphism:**

for every j the image of U_j under Φ is an affine subscheme of Y

- **Remark:** a torified morphism of affinely torified varieties is an affinely torified morphism (Lorscheid)

Problem: When two torifications determine *the same* \mathbb{F}_1 -structure?
Need a notion of equivalence (isomorphism) of torifications

Torifications giving *same* \mathbb{F}_1 -structure on a \mathbb{Z} -variety X

- *Strong equivalence*: identity morphism is torified.
- *Ordinary Equivalence*: \exists isomorphism of X that is torified.
- *Weak equivalence*: X has a decompositions into disjoint unions $X = \cup_j X_j$ and $X = \cup_j X'_j$, compatible with torifications, and \exists isomorphisms $\phi_i : X_i \rightarrow X'_i$ that are torified.

Which equivalence relation determines what “changes of coordinates” are allowed within \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry

This also determines what morphisms of \mathbb{F}_1 -varieties

Morphisms of torified \mathbb{Z} -varieties

- *Strong \mathbb{F}_1 -morphisms* (strongly torified): torified morphisms
- *Ordinary \mathbb{F}_1 -morphisms* (ordinarily torified): arbitrary compositions of torified morphisms and isomorphisms
- *Weak \mathbb{F}_1 -morphisms* (weakly torified): arbitrary compositions of torified morphisms and weak equivalences

Obtain in this way three different categories $\mathcal{GT}^s \subset \mathcal{GT}^o \subset \mathcal{GT}^w$

- Objects are pairs $(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{T})$ variety over \mathbb{Z} and torification
- Morphisms are strong, ordinary, or weak morphisms

Moduli Space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$

- moduli space of stable genus zero curves with n marked points
- Open strata: $M_{0,n}$ ($n \geq 4$) complement of diagonals in product $n - 3$ copies of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$
- Compactification by boundary strata $\prod_k M_{0,n_k+1}$ with $\sum_k n_k = n$

Generalization $T_{d,n}$ (Chen–Gibney–Krashen)

- moduli space of n -pointed stable rooted trees of d -dimensional projective spaces ($T_{1,n} = \overline{M}_{0,n+1}$)
- oriented rooted trees τ , to each vertex $v \in V_\tau$ a $X_v \simeq \mathbb{P}^d$, to unique outgoing tail at v a choice of hyperplane $H_v \subset X_v$, to each incoming tail f at v a point $p_{v,f}$ in X_v with $p_{v,f} \neq p_{v,f'}$ for $f \neq f'$ and with $p_{v,f} \notin H_v$
- Open stratum: $TH_{d,n}$ of $T_{d,n}$ is configuration space of n distinct points in \mathbb{A}^d up to translation and homothety, complement of diagonals

$$TH_{d,n} \simeq (\mathbb{A}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\})^{n-2} \setminus \Delta$$

- Compactification: boundary strata $\prod_i TH_{d,n_i}$ with $\sum_i n_i = n$
- Description as iterated blowup (related to Fulton–MacPherson compactification of configuration spaces)

Moduli Space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$: torification of Grothendieck class

$$[M_{0,n}] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} s(n-2, k) \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \mathbb{T}^j$$

with $s(m, k) =$ Stirling numbers of the first kind

$$(-1)^{m-k} s(m, k) = \#\{\sigma \in S_m : \sigma = k\text{-cycles}\}$$

This follows from class of open strata

$$[M_{0,n}] = (\mathbb{T} - 1)(\mathbb{T} - 2) \cdots (\mathbb{T} - n + 2) = \binom{\mathbb{T} - 1}{n - 3} (n - 3)!$$

$= (-1)^n (1 - \mathbb{T})_{n-2}$, with $(x)_m = \Gamma(x + m) / \Gamma(x) =$ Pochhammer symbol with $(x)_m = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} s(m, k) x^k$

Note: some coeffs of $[M_{0,n}]$ negative, so not torified, but when adding strata together torified

Generating series in $K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})_{\mathbb{Q}}[[t]]$

$$\varphi(t) = t + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\overline{M}_{0,n}] \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

unique solution in $t + t^2 K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})_{\mathbb{Q}}[[t]]$ of

$$(1 + \mathbb{L} t - \mathbb{L} \varphi(t)) \varphi'(t) = 1 + \varphi(t)$$

Note: analogous to Manin's result on Poincaré polynomial, since Hodge-Tate ($h^{p,q}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$ for $p \neq q$) hence if $[X] = \sum_k b_k \mathbb{L}^k$ Poincaré polynomial is $\mathcal{P}_X(q) = \sum_k b_k q^{2k}$

\mathbb{F}_1 -points: $\rho_{n,m} = \overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{F}_{1^m})$

$$\varphi_m(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho_{n,m} \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

solution of

$$(1 + (m+1)t - (m+1)\varphi_m(t)) \varphi_m'(t) = 1 + \varphi_m(t)$$

Moduli Spaces $T_{d,n}$: torification of Grothendieck class

- Generating function

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} [T_{d,n}] \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

unique solution in $t + t^2 K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})_{\mathbb{Q}}[[t]]$ of

$$(1 + \mathbb{L}^d t - \mathbb{L} [\mathbb{P}^{d-1}]) \psi'(t) = 1 + \psi(t)$$

with $[\mathbb{P}^{d-1}] = \frac{\mathbb{L}^d - 1}{\mathbb{L} - 1}$

- Classes $[T_{d,n}] \in K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ have decomposition into \mathbb{T}^k with $a_k \geq 0$: use repeated blowup description and blowup formula

$$[\mathrm{Bl}_Y(X)] = [X] + [Y]([\mathbb{P}^{\mathrm{codim}_X(Y)-1}] - 1)$$

Get recursive relation

$$[T_{d,n+1}] = ([\mathbb{P}^d] + n\mathbb{L} [\mathbb{P}^{d-2}]) [T_{d,n}] + \mathbb{L} [\mathbb{P}^d] \sum_{i+j=n+1, 2 \leq i \leq n-1} \binom{n}{i} [T_{d,i}] [T_{d,j}]$$

\mathbb{F}_{1^m} -points of $T_{d,n}$

$\rho_{n,m} = N_{T_{d,n}}(m+1) = T_{d,n}(\mathbb{F}_{1^m})$ formally replacing \mathbb{L} with $m+1$ in Grothendieck class: generating function

$$\eta_m(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\rho_{n,m}}{n!} t^n.$$

solution of differential equation

$$(1 + (m+1)^d t - (m+1)\kappa_d(m+1)\eta_m)\eta'_m = 1 + \eta_m,$$

with $\kappa_d(q^2) = \frac{q^{2d}-1}{q^2-1}$

Question then: from Grothendieck classes to more geometric notion of torification?

Complemented subvarieties in \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry

- Example of $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{G}_m \cup \{0, \infty\}$: points $\{0, \infty\}$ have complement that is still torified, but not if removing additional points
- X over \mathbb{Z} with geometric torification \mathcal{T} . A subvariety $Y \subset X$ is (strongly, ordinarily, weakly) *complemented* if both Y and $X \setminus Y$ have geometric torifications and inclusions $Y \hookrightarrow X$ and $X \setminus Y \hookrightarrow X$ are (strongly, ordinarily, weakly) morphisms
- Usual in algebraic geometry: complement of a subvariety in a variety not always a variety, but a *constructible set*
- A notion of *constructible torifications*: more general complements of torifications inside other torifications *with positivity of Grothendieck classes*

Constructible sets over \mathbb{F}_1

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$ = class of constructible sets over \mathbb{Z} that can be obtained, starting from \mathbb{G}_m , through of products, disjoint unions, and complements.
- X = constructible set over \mathbb{Z} . *Constructible torification* of X is morphism of constructible sets $e_X : C \rightarrow X$, for some $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$, with restriction of e_X to each component of C an immersion inducing a bijections of k -points, for every field k .
- \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible set = constructible set over \mathbb{Z} with a constructible torification, *with Grothendieck class* $[X] = \sum_k a_k \mathbb{T}^k$ with $a_k \geq 0$

Note: Grothendieck class condition is needed in definition (does not follow, unlike for geometric torifications)

Categories of \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible sets $\mathcal{CT}^s \subset \mathcal{CT}^o \subset \mathcal{CT}^w$

- Objects: pairs $(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{C})$, with $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ a constructible set over \mathbb{Z} and $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i\}$ is a constructible torification of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$
- morphisms strong, ordinary, or weak morphisms of constructibly torified spaces

Blowups: $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ variety with a constructible torification, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety with geometric torification and $X \setminus Y$ with constructible torification (inclusions are strong, ordinary, weak morphisms). Then blowup $\text{Bl}_Y(X)$ has constructible torification so that $\pi : \text{Bl}_Y(X) \rightarrow X$ (strong, ordinary, weak) morphism of constructibly torified spaces.

If $Y \subset X$ has complemented geometric torification then $\text{Bl}_Y(X)$ has geometric torification.

Constructible torification of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$

- Choice of a constructible torification of \mathbb{P}^1 minus three points
 - $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\} = \mathbb{G}_m$ has geometric torification,
 $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} = \mathbb{G}_m \setminus \{1\}$ has constructible torification
 - $M_{0,n}$ ($n \geq 4$) complement of diagonals in product $n - 3$ copies of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$: removing diagonals taking complements of sets in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$ inside others: still in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$, constructible torification
 - *not* an \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible set structure on $M_{0,n}$ (no positivity of Grothendieck class)
 - *but* when combining strata $[\overline{M}_{0,n}]$ has positivity
- \Rightarrow moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ are \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible sets

Constructible torification of $T_{d,n}$

- Start with choice of constructible torification of \mathbb{A}^d minus two points
- Open stratum $TH_{d,n}$ of $T_{d,n}$ is complement of diagonals

$$TH_{d,n} \simeq (\mathbb{A}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\})^{n-2} \setminus \Delta$$

with $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$

- constructible torification on $\mathbb{A}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ determines constructible torifications on products, diagonals, and complements
- positivity of Grothendieck class holds after assembling together strata to get full $T_{d,n}$

\Rightarrow moduli spaces $T_{d,n}$ are \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible sets

Operad structure of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$

- Operad $\mathcal{M}(n) = \overline{M}_{0,n+1}$ with compositions

$$\mathcal{M}(n) \times \mathcal{M}(m_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}(m_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(m_1 + \cdots + m_n)$$

- Composition maps are *strong* morphisms of constructibly torified spaces
- Symmetric group S_n acts on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ permuting marked points
- Action of S_n ordinary morphisms of \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible sets

Operad structure of $T_{d,n}$

- composition (inclusion of boundary strata)

$$T_{d,k} \times T_{d,n_1} \times \cdots \times T_{d,n_k} \rightarrow T_{d,n_1+\cdots+n_k}$$

- compositions are *strong* morphisms of constructible torifications
- Isomorphisms $T_{d,S} \xrightarrow{\cong} T_{d,S'}$ for $S' \xrightarrow{\cong} S$ with S set of marked points, $\#S = n$. These are *ordinary* morphisms of constructible torifications
- Forgetful morphisms: $T_{d,S} \rightarrow T_{d,S'}$ for $S' \subset S$ with $\#S' \geq 2$. These are *strong* morphisms of \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible sets

Blueprint approach to \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry (Lorscheid)

Blueprint: $\mathcal{A} // \mathcal{R}$

- a commutative multiplicative monoid \mathcal{A}
- associated semiring $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{A}]$
- set of relations

$$\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{N}[\mathcal{A}] \times \mathbb{N}[\mathcal{A}]$$

written as $\sum a_i \equiv \sum b_j$, for $(\sum a_i, \sum b_j) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Example: Plücker embedding of Grassmannian $G(2, n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n}{2}-1}$ gives blueprint structure to $G(2, n)$ with \mathcal{R} generated by Plücker relations

$$x_{ij}x_{kl} + x_{il}x_{jk} = x_{ik}x_{jl}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n$$

idea: Use explicit equations for $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ obtained by an embedding into a toric variety to give blueprint structure to $\overline{M}_{0,n}$

Blueprint structure of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$

- Can embed $\overline{M}_{0,n} \hookrightarrow X_\Delta$ a toric variety, so that intersection with torus is $M_{0,n}$ (Gibney–Maclagan and Tevelev)
- Can obtain explicit equations for $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ in the Cox ring of the toric variety X_Δ (Gibney–Maclagan and Keel–Tevelev)
- monoid

$$\mathcal{A} = F_1[x_I : I \in \mathcal{I}] := \left\{ \prod_I x_I^{n_I} \right\}_{n_I \geq 0},$$

with $\mathcal{I} = \{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, 1 \in I, \#I \geq 2, \#I^c \geq 2\}$ where

$\mathbb{Q}[x_I, : I \in \mathcal{I}]$ Cox ring of X_Δ

- relations

$$\mathcal{R}' = \left\{ \prod_{ij \in I, kl \notin I} x_I + \prod_{il \in I, jk \notin I} x_I \equiv \prod_{ik \in I, jl \notin I} x_I : 1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n \right\},$$

- $\mathcal{S}_f^{-1}\mathcal{R}'$ localization with respect to submonoid generated by element $f = \prod_I x_I$
- Obtain **blueprint structure** on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\overline{M}_{0,n}) = \mathcal{A} // \mathcal{R}$$

with blueprint relations $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}_f^{-1}\mathcal{R}' \cap \mathcal{A}$

Note: the *blueprint* notion of \mathbb{F}_1 -structure is weaker than notions based on torification (no constraints on motive and zeta functions)

What about higher genus? Moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g,n}$

- $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ stacks rather than schemes
- Morphisms: inclusion of boundary strata

$$\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g_1+g_2, n_1+n_2}$$

forgetting markings

$$\overline{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n-1},$$

gluing two marked points together

$$\overline{M}_{g,n+2} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g+1,n}$$

- Stable curves of genus zero with marked points have constructible torifications, but not higher genus
- Can't have \mathbb{F}_1 -constructible set structure on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ (motive not Tate in general, so can't have decomposition of Grothendieck class into tori)

Genus zero locus $\overline{M}_{g,n}^0$

- Inside higher genus moduli space there is a “genus zero part”
- $\overline{M}_{g,n}^0$ is closure of locus of irreducible g -nodal curves in $\overline{M}_{g,n}$
- These curves have normalization given by a smooth rational curve with $2g + n$ marked points
- subgroup $G \subset S_{2g}$ of permutations of these $2g$ additional marked points that commute with the product $(12)(34) \cdots (2g-1 \ 2g)$ of g transpositions
- normalization of $\overline{M}_{g,n}^0$ is identified with quotient $\overline{M}_{0,2g+n}/G$.

Blueprint structure for $\overline{M}_{0,2g+n}/G$

- group G acts on a blueprint \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R} by automorphisms if automorphisms of monoid \mathcal{A} preserving blueprint relations \mathcal{R}
- action of G on $\overline{M}_{0,2g+n}$ automorphisms of blueprint $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\overline{M}_{0,2g+n})$
- Noncommutative geometry point of view: replace quotient with crossed product
- Monoid crossed product $\mathcal{A} \rtimes G$ with $(a, g)(a', g') = (ag(a'), gg')$
- Semiring crossed product $\mathbb{N}[\mathcal{A}] \rtimes G$ finite sums $\sum (a_i, g_i)$ with $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g_i \in G$ with $(a_i, g_i)(a_j, g_j) = (a_i g_i(a_j), g_i g_j)$
- \mathcal{R}_G elements $((\sum a_i, g), (\sum b_j, g))$
- crossed product blueprint $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}) \rtimes G$ pair $(\mathcal{A} \rtimes G, \mathcal{R}_G)$
- Blueprint structure of rational locus: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_1}(\overline{M}_{0,2g+n}) \rtimes G$