# Sums of transcendental dilates

David Conlon<sup>\*</sup> Jeck Lim<sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

We show that there is an absolute constant c > 0 such that  $|A + \lambda \cdot A| \ge e^{c\sqrt{\log |A|}}|A|$  for any finite subset A of  $\mathbb{R}$  and any transcendental number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . By a construction of Konyagin and Laba, this is best possible up to the constant c.

### 1 Introduction

For any subset A of  $\mathbb{R}$  and any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$A + \lambda \cdot A = \{a + \lambda a' : a, a' \in A\}.$$

Our interest here will be in estimating the minimum size of such sums of dilates given |A|.

When  $\lambda$  is rational, say  $\lambda = p/q$  with p and q coprime, a result of Bukh [3] implies that

$$|A + \lambda \cdot A| \ge (|p| + |q|)|A| - o(|A|),$$

which is best possible up to the lower-order term (though see [1] for an improvement of the lowerorder term to a constant depending only on  $\lambda$ ). The more general case where  $\lambda$  is algebraic has also been studied in some depth. In particular, a result of the authors [4] says that if  $\lambda = (p/q)^{1/d}$ for some  $p, q, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , each taken as small as possible for such a representation, then

$$|A + \lambda \cdot A| \ge (p^{1/d} + q^{1/d})^d |A| - o(|A|),$$

which is again best possible up to the lower-order term. Moreover, as noted by Krachun and Petrov [7], for any fixed algebraic number  $\lambda$ , the minimum size of  $|A + \lambda \cdot A|$  is always at most linear in |A|.

For  $\lambda$  transcendental, the picture is very different. Indeed, Konyagin and Laba [6] showed that in this case there exists an absolute constant c > 0 such that

$$|A + \lambda \cdot A| \ge c \frac{\log|A|}{\log\log|A|} |A|.$$

That is,  $|A + \lambda \cdot A|$  can no longer be linear in |A|. This result was subsequently improved by Sanders [10], by Schoen [12] and again by Sanders [11] using successive quantitative refinements

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. Email: dconlon@caltech.edu. Research supported by NSF Award DMS-2054452.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. Email: jlim@caltech.edu. Research partially supported by an NUS Overseas Graduate Scholarship.

of Freiman's theorem [5] on sets of small doubling, with Sanders' second bound saying that there exists an absolute constant c > 0 such that, for |A| sufficiently large,

$$|A + \lambda \cdot A| \ge e^{\log^c |A|} |A|.$$

This already comes quite close to matching the best known upper bound, due to Konyagin and Laba [6], which says that there exists c' > 0 and, for any fixed transcendental number  $\lambda$ , arbitrarily large finite subsets A of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|A + \lambda \cdot A| \le e^{c'\sqrt{\log|A|}}|A|.$$

Our main result says that this upper bound is in fact best possible up to the constant c'.

**Theorem 1.1.** There is an absolute constant c > 0 such that

$$|A + \lambda \cdot A| \ge e^{c\sqrt{\log|A|}}|A|$$

for any finite subset A of  $\mathbb{R}$  and any transcendental number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Before proceeding to the proof of this theorem, let us briefly look at the upper bound, which comes from considering sets of the form

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \lambda^i : (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in [n]^m \right\}.$$

This set has size  $n^m$  and

$$A + \lambda \cdot A \subset \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} b_i \lambda^i : (b_1, \dots, b_{m+1}) \in [2n]^{m+1} \right\},\$$

which has size  $(2n)^{m+1}$ . If we take  $n = 2^m$ , we have  $|A| = n^m = 2^{m^2}$ , so that

$$|A + \lambda \cdot A| \le (2n)^{m+1} = 2^{(m+1)^2} \le e^{c'\sqrt{\log|A|}}|A|$$

for some c' > 0, as required. This bound is reminiscent, both in its form and its proof, of Behrend's lower bound [2] for the largest subset of [n] containing no three-term arithmetic progressions. Our Theorem 1.1 is arguably the first example where such a bound is known to be tight to this level of accuracy.

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To begin, we use a simple observation of Krachun and Petrov to recast the problem.

**Lemma 2.1** (Krachun–Petrov [7]). Suppose that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and A is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there exists  $B \subset \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$  such that |B| = |A| and  $|B + \lambda \cdot B| \leq |A + \lambda \cdot A|$ .

Suppose now that V is the Q-vector space  $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$  with basis  $\{1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \ldots\}$ . For any positive integer d, let  $V_d \subset V$  be the d-dimensional subspace spanned by  $\{1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \ldots, \lambda^{d-1}\}$ , noting that  $V = \bigcup_d V_d$ . For any finite  $A \subset V$ , we must have  $A \subset V_d$  for some d. Multiplication by  $\lambda$  therefore corresponds to taking the linear map  $\Phi: V \to V$  given by the union of the maps  $V_d \to V_{d+1}$  with

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_d)\mapsto (0,x_1,\ldots,x_d)$$

Thus, the problem of estimating  $|A + \lambda \cdot A|$  for finite  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda$  transcendental is equivalent to estimating  $|A + \Phi(A)|$  for finite  $A \subset V$ . In particular, we may reformulate Theorem 1.1 in the following terms.

**Theorem 2.2.** There is an absolute constant c > 0 such that if  $A \subset V$  with |A| = n, then

$$|A + \Phi(A)| \ge e^{c\sqrt{\log n}} n.$$

We will focus on proving this latter result, which bears some relation to our recent work [4] on sums of linear transformations, from here on.

Before getting to the proof proper, we first note a few additional results that we will need. The first is a discrete variant of the Brunn–Minkowski theorem taken from [4]. In what follows, for each  $I \subseteq [d]$ , we write  $p_I : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  for the projection onto the coordinates indexed by I, setting all other coordinates to 0. Note that we may naturally extend the definition of  $p_I$  to  $V_d$ , and hence to V, by identifying  $V_d$  with  $\mathbb{Q}^d$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Conlon-Lim [4, Lemma 2.1]). For any finite subsets A, B of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [d]} |p_I(A+B)| \ge (|A|^{1/d} + |B|^{1/d})^d.$$

For our next result, we need the following estimate of Ruzsa [8] for the size of sumsets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that a subset C of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is k-dimensional and write  $\dim(C) = k$  if the dimension of the affine subspace spanned by C is k.

**Lemma 2.4** (Ruzsa [8]). If  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $|A| \ge |B|$  and dim(A + B) = d, then

$$|A+B| \ge |A|+d|B| - \frac{d(d+1)}{2}.$$

For  $a \in V$ , write  $p_k(a)$  for the vector obtained by removing the k-th coordinate from a. For  $A \subset V$  and  $x \in p_k(A)$ , let  $A_x = p_k^{-1}(x)$ . We define the compression  $C_k(A)$  of A along the k-th coordinate to be the set A' such that  $p_k(A') = p_k(A)$  and, for each  $x \in p_k(A)$ , the k-th coordinates of  $A'_x$  are  $0, 1, \ldots, |A_x| - 1$ . It is known (see, for example, [4, Lemma 2.1]) that  $|C_k(A) + C_k(B)| \leq |A + B|$  for any finite  $A, B \subset V$ . We say that A is compressed if  $C_k(A) = A$  for all k. A compressed set  $A \subset V_d$  has the property that if  $(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in A$  and  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq b_i \leq a_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , then  $(b_1, \ldots, b_d) \in A$ . The next lemma will allow us to assume that A is both compressed and of low dimension when proving our main result.

**Lemma 2.5.** Suppose that  $A \subset V$  is finite with  $|A + \Phi(A)| = K|A|$ . Then there is some  $d \leq 2K$  and  $A' \subset V_d$  with |A'| = |A| such that A' is compressed and  $|A' + \Phi(A')| \leq |A + \Phi(A)|$ .

*Proof.* Since A is finite,  $A \subset V_D$  for some D. Note that  $\Phi \circ C_i = C_{i+1} \circ \Phi$  for all *i*. Denote by  $C_{[i]}$  the composition  $C_1 \circ C_2 \circ \cdots \circ C_i$ . Then  $C_{[D+1]}(A) = C_{[D]}(A)$  and  $C_{[D+1]}(\Phi(A)) = \Phi(C_{[D]}(A))$ . Thus, setting  $A_1 = C_{[D]}(A)$ , we have  $|A_1| = |A|$  and

$$|A_1 + \Phi(A_1)| = |C_{[D]}(A) + \Phi(C_{[D]}(A))| = |C_{[D+1]}(A) + C_{[D+1]}(\Phi(A))| \le |A + \Phi(A)|.$$

Furthermore,  $A_1$  is compressed.

Let  $e_k = \lambda^{k-1}$  be the basis vectors for k = 1, ..., D. If  $e_k \notin A_1$ , then the k-th coordinate of every point of  $A_1$  is 0. Let  $A'_1$  be the set formed by replacing each point  $(x_1, ..., x_{k-1}, 0, x_k, ..., x_{D-1})$  of  $A_1$  with the point  $(x_1, ..., x_{k-1}, x_k, ..., x_{D-1})$ , so that  $A'_1 \subset V_{D-1}$ . We claim that  $|A'_1 + \Phi(A'_1)| \leq |A_1 + \Phi(A_1)|$ . Indeed, every point of  $A_1 + \Phi(A_1)$  is of the form

$$(x_1, x_2 + y_1, x_3 + y_2, \dots, x_{k-1} + y_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, x_k, x_{k+1} + y_k, \dots, x_{D-1} + y_{D-2}, y_{D-1})$$

for some  $(x_1, ..., x_{k-1}, 0, x_k, ..., x_{D-1}), (y_1, ..., y_{k-1}, 0, y_k, ..., y_{D-1}) \in A_1$ , whereas every point of  $A'_1 + \Phi(A'_1)$  is of the form

$$(x_1, x_2 + y_1, x_3 + y_2, \dots, x_{D-1} + y_{D-2}, y_{D-1}).$$

There is a clear surjection from  $A_1 + \Phi(A_1)$  to  $A'_1 + \Phi(A'_1)$  by summing and combining the k-th and (k+1)-th coordinates.

Repeating the above procedure whenever possible for each k, we obtain a set A' with |A'| = |A|,  $|A' + \Phi(A')| \leq |A + \Phi(A)|$  and  $A' \subset V_d$  for some d with  $e_k \in A'$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, d$ . By this last condition, A' is d-dimensional and, moreover,  $A' + \Phi(A')$  is (d + 1)-dimensional. Hence, by Lemma 2.4, we have  $|A' + \Phi(A')| \geq (d + 2)|A'| - \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$ . Using that  $|A' + \Phi(A')| \leq K|A'|$  and  $|A'| \geq d + 1$ , we get  $d \leq 2K$ , as required.

We also note the following result of Plünnecke–Ruzsa type.

**Lemma 2.6.** Suppose  $A \subset V$  is finite. If  $|A + \Phi(A)| \leq K|A|$  for some K > 0, then  $|(A + \Phi(A)) + \Phi(A + \Phi(A))| \leq K^{10}|A|$ .

*Proof.* The sum version of Ruzsa's triangle inequality [9] states that for any finite subsets X, Y, Z of an abelian group,

$$|X||Y + Z| \le |X + Y||X + Z|.$$

Setting  $X = \Phi(A)$ , Y = Z = A and noting that  $|\Phi(A)| = |A|$ , we have

$$|\Phi(A)||A + A| \le |A + \Phi(A)||A + \Phi(A)|,$$

so that  $|A + A| \leq K^2 |A|$ . Hence, by the Plünnecke–Ruzsa inequality,  $|A + A + A + A| \leq K^8 |A|$ . Thus, another application of Ruzsa's triangle inequality (with  $X = \Phi(A)$ , Y = A,  $Z = \Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)$ ) yields

$$|\Phi(A)||A + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)| \le |A + \Phi(A)||\Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)|,$$

so that  $|A + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)| \le K^9 |A|$ . Applying Ruzsa's triangle inequality once more (with  $X = \Phi(A), Y = A + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A), Z = \Phi^2(A)$ ), we see that

$$|\Phi(A)||A + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi^{2}(A)| \le |A + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)||\Phi(A) + \Phi^{2}(A)|,$$

so that  $|A + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A) + \Phi^2(A)| \le K^{10}|A|$ , as required.

We now come to the main novel ingredient in our proof, which is a strong upper bound for the size of the projections of any compressed  $A \subset V_d$  in terms of  $|A + \Phi(A)|$ . Given a set  $I \subseteq [d]$ , we will write  $\alpha(I)$  for the length of the longest set of consecutive integers in I.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $A \subset V_d$  be finite and compressed with  $|A + \Phi(A)| = N$ . Then, for any subset  $I \subseteq [d]$ ,

$$|p_I(A)| \le N^{\frac{\kappa}{k+1}}$$

where  $k = \alpha(I)$ .

*Proof.* For any set of integers J, define  $\phi(J) = \{j + 1 \mid j \in J\}$ . We claim that, for any  $J_1, J_2 \subset [d]$ ,

$$\frac{|p_{J_1}(A)||p_{J_2}(A)|}{|p_{J_1\cap\phi(J_2)}(A)|} \le N.$$

To show this, we will exhibit an injection  $p_{J_1}(A) \times p_{J_2}(A) \to p_{J_1 \cap \phi(J_2)}(A) \times (A + \Phi(A))$ . Let  $(x, y) \in p_{J_1}(A) \times p_{J_2}(A)$  and consider the map

$$(x,y)\mapsto (p_{J_1\cap\phi(J_2)}(x),x+\Phi(y)).$$

Since A is compressed,  $p_J(A) \subseteq A$  for every J, which easily implies that  $(p_{J_1 \cap \phi(J_2)}(x), x + \Phi(y))$  is indeed in  $p_{J_1 \cap \phi(J_2)}(A) \times (A + \Phi(A))$ . To see that the map is injective, it is enough to observe that

$$x = p_{J_1 \cap \phi(J_2)}(x) + p_{J_1 \setminus \phi(J_2)}(x) = p_{J_1 \cap \phi(J_2)}(x) + p_{J_1 \setminus \phi(J_2)}(x + \Phi(y))$$

and

$$\Phi(y) = p_{\phi(J_2)}(\Phi(y)) = p_{\phi(J_2)}(x + \Phi(y)) - p_{\phi(J_2)}(x) = p_{\phi(J_2)}(x + \Phi(y)) - p_{J_1 \cap \phi(J_2)}(x).$$

For i = 0, 1, ..., k, let

$$I_i = \{j \in I \mid \{j, j - 1, \dots, j - i\} \subseteq I\}.$$

Then  $I = I_0 \supset I_1 \supset \cdots \supset I_k = \emptyset$  and, for each  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1$ ,  $I \cap \phi(I_i) = I_{i+1}$ . Thus, by the claim above,

$$\frac{p_I(A)||p_{I_i}(A)|}{|p_{I_{i+1}}(A)|} \le N.$$

Taking the product of this inequality over all i = 0, 1, ..., k - 1, we get

$$|p_I(A)|^{k+1} \le N^k$$

and the lemma follows.

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Suppose instead that  $|A + \Phi(A)| = Kn$ , where  $K < e^{c\sqrt{\log n}}$  for some c > 0 that will be fixed later. By Lemma 2.5, we may assume that A is compressed and  $A \subset V_d$  with  $d \leq 2K$ .

By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$|A + \Phi(A) + \Phi(A + \Phi(A))| \le K^{10}n.$$

Since A is compressed, so are  $\Phi(A)$  and, therefore,  $A + \Phi(A)$ . Hence, Lemma 2.7 implies that

$$|p_I(A + \Phi(A))| \le (K^{10}n)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}$$

for any  $I \subseteq [d+1]$ , where  $k = \alpha(I)$ . But the number of  $I \subseteq [d+1]$  with  $\alpha(I) = k$  is at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d+2-k} |\{I \subseteq [d+1] \mid i, i+1, \dots, i+k-1 \in I\}| \le (d+2)2^{d+1-k}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$2^{d+1}n \le \sum_{I \subseteq [d+1]} |p_I(A + \Phi(A))| \le \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} |\{I \subseteq [d+1] \mid \alpha(I) = k\}| (K^{10}n)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}$$
$$\le \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} (d+2)2^{d+1-k} (K^{10}n)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} (d+2) 2^{-k} K^{\frac{10k}{k+1}} n^{-\frac{1}{k+1}} \leq 2(d+2) \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} 2^{-k-1} K^{10} n^{-\frac{1}{k+1}}$$
$$\leq 2(d+2) \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} e^{-(k+1)\log 2 + 10c\sqrt{\log n} - \frac{\log n}{k+1}}$$
$$\leq 2(d+2) \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} e^{-2\sqrt{(\log 2)\log n} + 10c\sqrt{\log n}} \quad \left( \text{using } (k+1)\log 2 + \frac{\log n}{k+1} \geq 2\sqrt{(\log 2)\log n} \right)$$
$$= 2(d+2)^2 e^{(10c-2\sqrt{\log 2})\sqrt{\log n}} \leq e^{(13c-2\sqrt{\log 2})\sqrt{\log n}},$$

which is a contradiction for c = 0.1 and n sufficiently large. For smaller n, we may use the trivial estimate  $|A + \Phi(A)| \ge 2|A| - 1$  to choose an appropriate c that works for all n.

As a final remark, we note that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 also holds for any finite subset A of  $\mathbb{C}$  and any transcendental  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, Lemma 2.1 again reduces the problem to estimating  $|A + \lambda \cdot A|$  for finite  $A \subset \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$  and then to estimating  $|A + \Phi(A)|$  for finite  $A \subset V$ , so the rest of the proof goes through without change.

## References

- [1] A. Balog and G. Shakan, On the sum of dilations of a set, Acta Arith. 164 (2014), 153–162.
- [2] F. A. Behrend, On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 32 (1946), 331–332.
- [3] B. Bukh, Sums of dilates, Combin. Probab. Comput. 17 (2008), 627–639.

- [4] D. Conlon and J. Lim, Sums of linear transformations, preprint available at arXiv:2203.09827 [math.CO].
- [5] G. A. Freiman, Foundations of a structural theory of set addition, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [6] S. Konyagin and I. Łaba, Distance sets of well-distributed planar sets for polygonal norms, *Israel J. Math.* 152 (2006), 157–179.
- [7] D. Krachun and F. Petrov, On the size of  $A + \lambda A$  for algebraic  $\lambda$ , preprint available at arXiv:2010.00119 [math.CO].
- [8] I. Z. Ruzsa, Sum of sets in several dimensions, Combinatorica 14 (1994), 485–490.
- [9] I. Z. Ruzsa, Sums of finite sets, in Number theory (New York, 1991–1995), 281–293, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [10] T. Sanders, Appendix to "Roth's theorem on progressions revisited" by J. Bourgain, J. Anal. Math. 104 (2008), 193–206.
- [11] T. Sanders, On the Bogolyubov–Ruzsa lemma, Anal. PDE 5 (2012), 627–655.
- [12] T. Schoen, Near optimal bounds in Freiman's theorem, Duke Math. J. 158 (2011), 1–12.