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#### Abstract

Let $d \geq 2$ be a natural number. We show that $$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$ for any sufficiently large finite subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ that is not contained in a translate of a hyperplane. By a construction of Stanchescu, this is best possible and thus resolves an old question first raised by Uhrin.


## 1 Introduction

Given two subsets $A, B$ of an abelian group, the sumset $A+B$ is defined by

$$
A+B=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}
$$

and the difference set $A-B$ is defined similarly. One of the fundamental results in additive combinatorics is Freiman's structure theorem, the statement that any finite set of integers $A$ with small doubling, that is, with $|A+A| \leq K|A|$ for some fixed constant $K$, is contained in a generalised arithmetic progression of small size and dimension. The first step in Freiman's original proof [2] of this theorem is a simple lemma showing that if $A$ is a finite $d$-dimensional subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then

$$
|A+A| \geq(d+1)|A|-d(d+1) / 2,
$$

where we say that a subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is $k$-dimensional and write $\operatorname{dim}(A)=k$ if the dimension of the affine subspace spanned by $A$ is $k$. Freiman's result is tight, as may be seen by considering the union of $d$ parallel arithmetic progressions with the same common difference.

Surprisingly, the analogous problem of estimating $|A-A|$ for $d$-dimensional subsets $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ has remained open, despite first being raised by Uhrin [13] in 1980 because of connections to the geometry of numbers and then reiterated many times (see, for example, $[1,3,8,9,10]$ ). However, the first few cases are well understood. Indeed, for $d=1$, it is an elementary observation that $|A-A| \geq 2|A|-1$, which is tight for arithmetic progressions, while, for $d=2$, the bound $|A-A| \geq$ $3|A|-3$, tight for the union of two parallel arithmetic progressions with the same length and

[^0]common difference, was proven by Freiman, Heppes and Uhrin [3]. More generally, they showed that if $A$ is a finite $d$-dimensional subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then
$$
|A-A| \geq(d+1)|A|-d(d+1) / 2
$$
in analogy with Freiman's result on $|A+A|$. This estimate was later generalised by Ruzsa [8], who showed that if $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ are finite sets such that $|A| \geq|B|$ and $\operatorname{dim}(A+B)=d$, then
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A+B| \geq|A|+d|B|-d(d+1) / 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Finally, for $d=3$, Stanchescu [9], making use of this inequality of Ruzsa, proved that $|A-A| \geq$ $4.5|A|-9$ for any finite 3 -dimensional subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. This is again tight, with the example now being a parallelogram of four parallel arithmetic progressions with the same length and common difference.

For higher dimensions, the best known construction is due to Stanchescu [10] and comes from a collection of $2 d-2$ carefully placed parallel arithmetic progressions with the same length and common difference. More precisely, set $T=\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d-2}\right\}$, where $e_{0}$ is the origin and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and, for any natural number $k$, let $A_{k}=\left(T \cup\left(a_{k}-T\right)\right)+P_{k}$, where $a_{k}=e_{d}-k e_{d-1}$ and $P_{k}=\left\{e_{0}, e_{d-1}, 2 e_{d-1}, \ldots,(k-1) e_{d-1}\right\}$. Worked out carefully, this construction satisfies

$$
\left|A_{k}-A_{k}\right|=\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)\left|A_{k}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

Supplanting an earlier conjecture of Ruzsa [8], Stanchescu proposed that this is best possible.
Conjecture 1.1 (Stanchescu [10]). Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a finite set such that $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$. Then

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

Until very recently, little was known about this conjecture for $d \geq 4$ besides the result of Freiman, Heppes and Uhrin [3]. However, the situation was considerably improved by Mudgal [6], who showed that

$$
|A-A| \geq(2 d-2)|A|-o(|A|)
$$

for any finite $d$-dimensional subset $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Our main result, which builds on both Mudgal's work and earlier work of Stanchescu [9, 12], is a proof of Conjecture 1.1 in full provided only that $|A|$ is sufficiently large in terms of $d$, essentially resolving the problem of minimising the value of $|A-A|$ over all $d$-dimensional sets $A$ of a given size.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a finite set such that $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$. Then, provided $|A|$ is sufficiently large in terms of $d$,

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

We begin our proof of Theorem 1.2 in the next section with a result that we believe to be of independent interest, an extension of a result of Stanchescu [12] about the structure of $d$-dimensional subsets $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with doubling constant smaller than $d+4 / 3$ to asymmetric sums $A+B$.

## 2 An asymmetric version of a theorem of Stanchescu

Our starting point is with the following theorem of Stanchescu [12] (see also [11] for the $d=3$ case).
Theorem 2.1 (Stanchescu [12]). Suppose $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a finite set with $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$. If $|A|>3 \cdot 4^{d}$ and $|A+A|<(d+4 / 3)|A|-\frac{1}{6}\left(3 d^{2}+5 d+8\right)$, then $A$ can be covered by $d$ parallel lines.

By considering the set $A=A_{0} \cup\left\{e_{3}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ with $A_{0}=\left\{i e_{1}+j e_{2}: 0 \leq i<n, 0 \leq j \leq 2\right\}$ for some natural number $n$, which satisfies $|A+A|=(d+4 / 3)|A|-\frac{1}{6}\left(3 d^{2}+5 d+8\right)$ and yet cannot be covered by $d$ parallel lines, we see that Theorem 2.1 is tight. The main result of this section is an extension of Theorem 2.1 to asymmetric sums $A+B$. We begin with the two-dimensional case, whose proof relies in a critical way on the following result of Grynkiewicz and Serra [4, Theorem 1.3].

Lemma 2.2 (Grynkiewicz-Serra [4]). Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be finite sets, let l be a line, let $r_{1}$ be the number of lines parallel to $l$ which intersect $A$ and let $r_{2}$ be the number of lines parallel to $l$ that intersect B. Then

$$
|A+B| \geq\left(\frac{|A|}{r_{1}}+\frac{|B|}{r_{2}}-1\right)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}-1\right)
$$

In particular, we note that, since $|B| \geq r_{2}$ and $r_{1} \geq 1$,

$$
|A+B| \geq \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}|A|
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be finite sets and $l$ be a fixed line. Let $r_{1}$ be the number of lines parallel to $l$ which intersect $A$. If $|A| \geq|B|$ and $|A+B|<|A|+7|B| / 3-5 \sqrt{|A|}$, then either $r_{1} \leq 2$ or $r_{1}>|A| / 4$.

Proof. Notice that if $A$ is at most 1 dimensional, then either $r_{1}=1$ or $r_{1}=|A|$, so we may assume that $\operatorname{dim}(A)=2$. Let $r_{2}$ be the number of lines parallel to $l$ which intersect $B$. We consider 2 cases, depending on whether $r_{1}$ is at most $\sqrt{|A|}$ or not.

Case 1: $r_{1} \leq \sqrt{|A|}$
We have $10|A| / 3 \geq|A+B| \geq|A| r_{2} / r_{1}$, so $r_{2} \leq 10 r_{1} / 3 \leq 4 \sqrt{|A|}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $|A| \geq|B|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A+B| & \geq\left(\frac{|A|}{r_{1}}+\frac{|B|}{r_{2}}-1\right)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}-1\right) \\
& =|A|+\frac{r_{2}-1}{r_{1}}|A|+\left(1+\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}}\right)|B|-r_{1}-r_{2}+1 \\
& \geq|A|+\left(1+\frac{r_{2}-1}{r_{1}}+\frac{r_{1}-1}{r_{2}}\right)|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r_{2}=1$ and $r_{1} \geq 3$, then this last expression is $|A|+r_{1}|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|} \geq|A|+3|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|}$. If $r_{2}=2$ and $r_{1} \geq 3$, then it is

$$
|A|+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{r_{1}}{2}\right)|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|} \geq|A|+\frac{7}{3}|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|}
$$

If $r_{2} \geq 3$ and $r_{1} \geq 3$, then it is at least

$$
|A|+\left(3-\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|} \geq|A|+\frac{7}{3}|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|} .
$$

In each case, we contradict our assumption that $|A+B|<|A|+7|B| / 3-5 \sqrt{|A|}$, so we must have $r_{1} \leq 2$.

Case 2: $r_{1} \geq \sqrt{|A|}$
Let $r_{1}^{\prime}=|A| / r_{1}$ and $r_{2}^{\prime}=|B| / r_{2}$, so that $r_{1}^{\prime} \leq \sqrt{|A|}$ and

$$
|A+B| \geq\left(\frac{|A|}{r_{1}^{\prime}}+\frac{|B|}{r_{2}^{\prime}}-1\right)\left(r_{1}^{\prime}+r_{2}^{\prime}-1\right)
$$

which is the same expression as in the previous case, but now $r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}$ may not be integers. Nevertheless, we still have $1 \leq r_{1}^{\prime} \leq|A|$ and $1 \leq r_{2}^{\prime} \leq|B|$, so that $|A+B| \geq \frac{r_{2}^{\prime}}{r_{1}^{\prime}}|A|$ and, therefore, $r_{2}^{\prime} \leq 4 \sqrt{|A|}$ holds similarly. Expanding the equation above and using $|A| \geq|B|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A+B| & \geq|A|+\left(1+\frac{r_{2}^{\prime}}{r_{1}^{\prime}}+\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}-1}{r_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{r_{1}^{\prime}}\right)|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|} \\
& \geq|A|+\left(1+2 \sqrt{\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}-1}{r_{1}^{\prime}}}-\frac{1}{r_{1}^{\prime}}\right)|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $c=\sqrt{\frac{r_{1}^{\prime}-1}{r_{1}^{\prime}}}$, we see that if $r_{1} \leq|A| / 4$ or, equivalently, $r_{1}^{\prime} \geq 4$, then $c \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ and the expression above is $|A|+\left(2 c+c^{2}\right)|B|-5 \sqrt{|A|} \geq|A|+7|B| / 3-5 \sqrt{|A|}$. But this again contradicts our assumption, so we must have $r_{1}>|A| / 4$.

For higher dimensions, we will use an induction scheme based on taking a series of compressions. Let us first say what a compression is in this context.

Definition 2.4. Let $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ a vector not parallel to $H$. For a finite set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the compression of $A$ onto $H$ with respect to $v$, denoted by $P(A)=P_{H, v}(A)$, is formed by replacing the points on any line $l$ parallel to $v$ which intersects $A$ at $s \geq 1$ points with the points $u+j v, j=0,1, \ldots, s-1$, where $u$ is the intersection of $l$ with $H$.

By preserving the ordering of the points on each line, we may view the compression $P$ as a pointwise map $A \rightarrow P(A)$, so we may talk about points of $A$ being fixed by $P$. Note that it is clearly the case that $|P(A)|=|A|$. Moreover, sumsets cannot increase in size after applying this compression operation. That this is the case is our next result.

Lemma 2.5. For finite sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a compression $P$,

$$
|P(A)+P(B)| \leq|A+B|
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $H$ passes through the origin. Let $p: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow H$ be the projection onto $H$ along $v$. For $u \in p(A)$, let $l_{u}$ be the line through $u$ parallel to $v$ and define $X_{u}=X \cap l_{u}$ for any set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Note that $p(P(A))=p(A)$ and so $p(P(A)+P(B))=p(A+B)$. It
therefore suffices to show that $\left|(P(A)+P(B))_{u}\right| \leq\left|(A+B)_{u}\right|$ for each $u \in p(A+B)=p(A)+p(B)$. Since $P(A)_{x}$ is a set of the form $\{x+j v \mid j=0, \ldots, s-1\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|(P(A)+P(B))_{u}\right| & =\max \left\{\left|P(A)_{x}+P(B)_{y}\right| \mid x \in p(A), y \in p(B), x+y=u\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left|P(A)_{x}\right|+\left|P(B)_{y}\right|-1 \mid x \in p(A), y \in p(B), x+y=u\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left|A_{x}\right|+\left|B_{y}\right|-1 \mid x \in p(A), y \in p(B), x+y=u\right\} \\
& \leq\left|(A+B)_{u}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our main compression lemma, which draws on ideas in the work of Stanchescu [11, 12], is now as follows.

Lemma 2.6. Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be finite sets such that $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d \geq 3$ and $l$ be a fixed line. Suppose that there are exactly $s<|A|$ lines parallel to $l$ which intersect $A$. Then there are sets $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfying the following properties:

1. $\left|A^{\prime}\right|=|A|,\left|B^{\prime}\right|=|B|$;
2. $\left|A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right| \leq|A+B|$;
3. there are exactly s lines $l_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, l_{s}^{\prime}$ parallel to $l$ intersecting $A^{\prime}$;
4. $\operatorname{dim}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=d$;
5. $l_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, l_{s-1}^{\prime}$ lie on a hyperplane;
6. $l_{s}^{\prime}$ intersects $A^{\prime}$ at a single point.

Proof. The sets $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ will be obtained by taking a series of compressions, so 1 and 2 will automatically be satisfied by Lemma 2.5. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. By applying an affine transformation if necessary, we may assume that $l$ is the line $\mathbb{R} e_{d}$ and that $A$ contains the set $S=\left\{0, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\}$ (this is possible since at least one line parallel to $l$ intersects $A$ in at least 2 points). For each $i$, let $H_{i}$ be the hyperplane through 0 perpendicular to $e_{i}$. Let $P_{i}=P_{H_{i}, e_{i}}$ be the compression onto $H_{i}$ with respect to $e_{i}$. Let $A_{1}=P_{d}(A)$, noting that this set satisfies 3 and $s=\left|A_{1} \cap H_{d}\right|$. Furthermore, for any compression $P_{i}, i<d,\left|P_{i}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap H_{d}\right|=s$, so $P_{i}\left(A_{1}\right)$ also satisfies 3. Now set $A_{2}=P_{1}\left(P_{2}\left(\cdots P_{d-1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots\right)\right)$. Then $A_{2} \subset \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ again satisfies 3 and, since $S \subseteq A_{2}$, $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{2}\right)=d$ and it also satisfies 4. Moreover, $A_{2}$ has the property that if $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in A_{2}$, then, for any $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $y_{i} \leq x_{i}$ for all $i,\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right) \in A_{2}$.

We now show that a finite number of further compressions will give us a set additionally satisfying 5 and 6 . Suppose $A_{2}$ can be covered by $n$ hyperplanes parallel to $H_{d-1}$, i.e., the $(d-1)$ th coordinate of all the points of $A_{2}$ is the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d-2}, 0,0\right) \in A_{2}$ be such that $w_{1}+\cdots+w_{d-2}$ is maximal. Then, whenever $t w+u \in A_{2} \cap H_{d-1} \cap H_{d}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ and $t \geq 1$, we must have $u=0$ and $t=1$. Let $P$ be the compression onto $H_{d-1}$ with respect to $f=e_{d-1}-w$. Set $A_{3}=P\left(A_{2}\right)$. Since $f$ is parallel to $H_{d},\left|A_{3} \cap H_{d}\right|=\left|A_{2} \cap H_{d}\right|=s$. The number of lines through $A_{3}$ parallel to $l$ is $\left|A_{3} \cap H_{d}\right|=s$, so 3 is still satisfied. Moreover, since $w \in A_{2}$, $e_{d-1}$ is fixed by $P$, so $S \subseteq A_{3}$ and 4 is still satisfied. We now consider two cases:

Case 1: $n=2$
We claim that $A_{3}$ is covered by $H_{d-1}$ and the single line $e_{d-1}+\mathbb{R} e_{d}$, so that 5 is satisfied with $l_{s}^{\prime}=e_{d-1}+\mathbb{R} e_{d}$. Indeed, by the maximality of $\|w\|_{1}$, the points of $A_{2}$ on any vertical line $u+\mathbb{R} e_{d}$
with $u \in H_{d} \backslash\left\{e_{d-1}\right\}$ are mapped by $P$ into a vertical line contained in $H_{d-1}$. To see this, suppose $e_{d-1}+r e_{d}+v \in A_{2}$ with $v \in H_{d-1} \cap H_{d}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then $e_{d-1}+r e_{d}+v$ is fixed by $P$ iff $v+r e_{d}+w \in A_{2}$. If $v \neq 0$, then $v+w \notin A_{2}$ by the maximality of $w$, so $v+r e_{d}+w \notin A_{2}$ and $e_{d-1}+r e_{d}+v$ is not fixed by the compression, being moved instead to $v+r e_{d}+w$.

Case 2: $n>2$
Suppose $(n-1) e_{d-1}+v \in A_{2}$ with $v \in H_{d-1}$. Then, since $(n-1) w+v \notin A_{2}$ as in Case 1, $(n-1) e_{d-1}+v$ is not fixed by the compression. Thus, $A_{3}$ is contained in fewer than $n$ hyperplanes parallel to $H_{d-1}$. By repeatedly applying compressions of this type, we will eventually reach the previous case. Abusing notation very slightly, we shall still call the set obtained after these repeated compressions $A_{3}$.

Thus, $A_{3}$ is covered by $H_{d-1}$ and the line $e_{d-1}+\mathbb{R} e_{d}$. Suppose now that $r>0$ is the largest integer such that $r e_{d} \in A_{3}$. Let $P^{\prime}$ be the compression with respect to $g=e_{d-1}-r e_{d}$ and set $A_{4}=P^{\prime}\left(A_{3}\right)$. Then all points of $A_{3}$ in $H_{d-1}$ and $e_{d-1}$ are fixed by $P^{\prime}$, but $e_{d-1}+t e_{d}$ is mapped to $(r+t) e_{d}$ for each $t>0$. Thus, $A_{4} \cap\left(e_{d-1}+H_{d-1}\right)=\left\{e_{d-1}\right\}$, so that $A_{4}$ satisfies $3-6$. We may therefore set $A^{\prime}=A_{4}$. Finally, to obtain $B^{\prime}$, we simply apply the same series of compressions to $B$ that we applied to $A$.

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section, the promised asymmetric version of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.7. Let $d \geq 2, A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be finite sets and $l$ be a line. Let $r$ be the number of lines parallel to $l$ which intersect $A$. Suppose that $A$ is d-dimensional, $|A| \geq|B|$ and $|A+B|<$ $|A|+(d+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|}-E_{d}$, where $E_{d}=(d+2)^{2^{d}-2}$. Then $r=d$ or $r>|A| / 4$.

Proof. Notice that since $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$, we must have $r \geq d$. We shall induct on $d$. The case $d=2$ was dealt with in Lemma 2.3. We may therefore assume that $d \geq 3 . E_{d}$ is chosen to satisfy the following inequalities:

1. $E_{d} \geq 2\left(E_{d-1}+1\right)$,
2. $E_{d} \geq(d+2)\left(2^{d}+E_{d-1}+1\right)^{2}$.

If $|A| \leq\left(2^{d}+E_{d-1}+1\right)^{2}$, then $|A|+(d+1 / 3)|B| \leq(d+2)|A| \leq E_{d}$, so it is not possible that $|A+B|<|A|+(d+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|}-E_{d}$. We may therefore assume that $|A|>\left(2^{d}+E_{d-1}+1\right)^{2}$ and, thus, that $|A|-2^{d} \sqrt{|A|}-E_{d-1}-1 \geq 0$.

Suppose that $d<r \leq|A| / 4$. By Lemma 2.6, replacing $A$ with $A^{\prime}$, we can assume that $A=$ $A_{1} \cup\left\{e_{d}\right\}$, where $A_{1}$ lies on the hyperplane $H$ defined by $x_{d}=0$. Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{s}$ be the hyperplanes parallel to $H$ that intersect $B$ and let $B_{i}=B \cap H_{i}$.

If $s=1$, then $|A+B|=\left|A_{1}+B\right|+|B|$. Moreover, $A_{1}$ is $(d-1)$-dimensional and is covered by $r-1 \leq\left|A_{1}\right| / 4$ lines parallel to $l$. Thus, if $|B| \leq\left|A_{1}\right|$, our induction hypothesis implies that $\left|A_{1}+B\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right|+(d-1+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d} \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-E_{d-1}$. If instead $|B|>\left|A_{1}\right|$, then $|B|=\left|A_{1}\right|+1$, so, letting $B^{\prime}$ be $B$ with an element removed, our induction hypothesis implies that $\left|A_{1}+B\right| \geq$ $\left|A_{1}+B^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right|+(d-1+1 / 3)(|B|-1)-2^{d} \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-E_{d-1}$. In either case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A+B| & \geq\left|A_{1}\right|+(d+1 / 3)(|B|-1)-2^{d} \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-E_{d-1} \\
& \geq|A|+(d+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|}-E_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $s \geq 2$, then $|A+B| \geq\left|A_{1}+B\right|=\left|A_{1}+B_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|A_{1}+B_{s}\right|$. By our induction hypothesis, $\left|A_{1}+B_{i}\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right|+(d-1+1 / 3)\left|B_{i}\right|-2^{d} \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-E_{d-1}$ for each $i$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A+B| & \geq s\left|A_{1}\right|+(d-1+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d} s \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-s E_{d-1} \\
& \geq 2|A|+(s-2)|A|-s+(d-1+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|}-2^{d}(s-2) \sqrt{|A|}-s E_{d-1} \\
& \geq|A|+(d+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|}-2\left(E_{d-1}+1\right)+(s-2)\left(|A|-2^{d} \sqrt{|A|}-E_{d-1}-1\right) \\
& \geq|A|+(d+1 / 3)|B|-2^{d+1} \sqrt{|A|}-E_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Special cases of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we show that the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds if we make some additional assumptions about the structure of $A$. We begin with a simple example of such a result.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a finite set with $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$ that can be covered by $d$ parallel lines. Then

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{2}{d}\right)|A|-\left(d^{2}-d+1\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose $A=A_{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{d}$ where each $A_{i}$ lies on a line parallel to some fixed line $l$. Let $a_{i}=\left|A_{i}\right|$ and assume, without loss of generality, that $a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots \geq a_{d}$. Since $A$ is $d$-dimensional, the $d$ lines covering $A$ are in general position, i.e., no $k$ of them lie on a $(k-1)$-dimensional affine subspace for each $1 \leq k \leq d$. Thus, for $i \neq j$, the sets $A_{i}-A_{j}$ are pairwise disjoint and also disjoint from $A_{1}-A_{1}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq\left|A_{1}-A_{1}\right|+\sum_{i \neq j}\left|A_{i}-A_{j}\right| \\
& \geq 2 a_{1}-1+\sum_{i \neq j}\left(a_{i}+a_{j}-1\right) \\
& \geq 2 a_{1}-1+2(d-1) \sum_{i} a_{i}-d(d-1) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{2}{d}\right)|A|-\left(d^{2}-d+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use a common framework for the next two lemmas, with the following definition playing a key role.

Definition 3.2. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a finite set with $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$ and $l$ be a fixed line. A hyperplane $H$ is said to be a supporting hyperplane of $A$ if all points of $A$ either lie on $H$ or on one side of $H$. A supporting hyperplane $H$ of $A$ is said to be a major hyperplane of $A$ (with respect to $l$ ) if $H$ is parallel to $l$ and $|H \cap A|$ is maximal.

Suppose now that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is $d$-dimensional and $l$ is a fixed line. Let $H$ be a major hyperplane with respect to $l$ and $H_{1}=H, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{r}$ be the hyperplanes parallel to $H$ that intersect $A$, arranged in the natural order. Let $A_{i}=A \cap H_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Since $\left|A_{1}\right|$ is maximal, $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq\left|A_{r}\right|$. Let $\pi$ be the projection along $l$ onto a hyperplane perpendicular to $l$. Then $\operatorname{dim}(\pi(A))=d-1$ and $\pi(H)$ is a maximal face of the convex hull of $\pi(A)$ (since $|H \cap A|$ is maximal), so $\operatorname{dim}\left(\pi\left(A_{1}\right)\right)=d-2$, which
implies that there are at least $d-1$ lines parallel to $l$ intersecting $A_{1}$. If any such line intersects $A_{1}$ in at least 2 points, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1}\right)=d-1$. Assuming this setup, the next lemma explores the situation where $A$ is covered by two parallel hyperplanes.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $r=2$, $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1}\right)=d-1$ and there are $s$ lines parallel to $l$ intersecting $A_{1}$.

1. If $s=d-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq(2 d-2)|A|+\frac{2}{d-1}\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2. If $d \leq s \leq\left|A_{1}\right| / 4$ and

$$
\left|A_{1}-A_{1}\right| \geq\left(2 d-4+\frac{1}{d-2}\right)\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-8 d+9\right)
$$

then, given $0<\epsilon<\min \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{d-2}\right)-\frac{1}{d-1}$, there is some $n_{0}$ such that for $|A| \geq n_{0}$,

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|
$$

Proof. For 1, note, by Lemma 3.1, that

$$
\left|A_{1}-A_{1}\right| \geq\left(2 d-4+\frac{2}{d-1}\right)\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(d^{2}-3 d+3\right)
$$

By Ruzsa's inequality (1), $\left|A_{1}-A_{2}\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right|+(d-1)\left|A_{2}\right|-d(d-1) / 2$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq\left|A_{1}-A_{1}\right|+2\left|A_{1}-A_{2}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{2}{d-1}\right)\left|A_{1}\right|+(2 d-2)\left|A_{2}\right|-d(d-1)-\left(d^{2}-3 d+3\right) \\
& \geq(2 d-2)|A|+\frac{2}{d-1}\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $2, A_{1}$ is $(d-1)$-dimensional and cannot be covered by $d-1$ lines, so this case only exists for $d \geq 3$. Since $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq\left|A_{2}\right|$, Theorem 2.7 implies that

$$
\left|A_{1}-A_{2}\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right|+(d-2 / 3)\left|A_{2}\right|-2^{d} \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-E_{d-1}
$$

But then, since $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq|A| / 2$ can be taken sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq\left|A_{1}-A_{1}\right|+2\left|A_{1}-A_{2}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-4+\frac{1}{d-2}\right)\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-8 d+9\right)+2\left|A_{1}\right|+2(d-2 / 3)\left|A_{2}\right|-2^{d+1} \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-2 E_{d-1} \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|+\left(\frac{1}{(d-1)(d-2)}-\epsilon\right)\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-8 d+9\right)-2^{d+1} \sqrt{\left|A_{1}\right|}-2 E_{d-1} \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
We now consider the situation where every line parallel to $l$ meets $A$ in a reasonable number of points.

Lemma 3.4. Let $0<\epsilon<1 /(4 d+1)(d-1)$. Suppose that every line parallel to $l$ intersecting $A$ intersects $A$ in at least $4 d$ points. Then there is a constant $C_{d}$ such that either
1.

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|-C_{d}
$$

or
2. $r=2$ and

$$
|A-A| \geq(2 d-2)|A|+\frac{2}{d-1}|H \cap A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

In particular,

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

for $|A|$ sufficiently large.
Proof. We shall induct on $d$ and $|A|$. Let $n_{0}$ be chosen sufficiently large that the following conditions hold:

1. Lemma 3.3 holds with this $n_{0}$.
2. Whenever $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ has $\operatorname{dim}(B)=d-1>1$, each line parallel to $l$ intersecting $B$ intersects it in at least $4(d-1)$ points and $|B| \geq n_{0} / 2$, then

$$
|B-B| \geq\left(2 d-4+\frac{1}{d-2}\right)|B|-\left(2 d^{2}-8 d+9\right)
$$

This is possible by induction since $C_{d-1}$ is already determined.
3. $\epsilon n_{0} \geq d(d-1)$.

Then $C_{d} \geq 2 d^{2}-4 d+3$ is chosen sufficiently large that the first option in the lemma trivially holds for $|A| \leq n_{0}$.

The base case $d=2$ and the inductive step will be handled together. If $|A| \leq n_{0}$, the lemma holds, so we may assume that $|A|>n_{0}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1}\right)=d-1$, there are at least $d-1$ lines parallel to $l$ intersecting $A_{1}$. Each such line intersects $A_{1}$ in at least $4 d$ points, so we have $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 4 d(d-1)$.

First suppose $r=2$. If $A_{1}$ is covered by $s$ lines parallel to $l$, then, as above, $s \geq d-1$. If $s=d-1$, then, by Lemma 3.3,

$$
|A-A| \geq(2 d-2)|A|+\frac{2}{d-1}\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

If $s>d-1$, then we must have $d>2$, since, for $d=2, \operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1}\right)=1$ and $A_{1}$ is covered by a single line. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1}\right)=d-1>1$ and $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq|A| / 2 \geq n_{0} / 2$, condition 2 implies that

$$
\left|A_{1}-A_{1}\right| \geq\left(2 d-4+\frac{1}{d-2}\right)\left|A_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-8 d+9\right)
$$

Each line parallel to $l$ passes through at least 4 points of $A_{1}$, so $s \leq\left|A_{1}\right| / 4$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3 and condition 1,

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|
$$

Now suppose $r>2$. Let $B=A \backslash H_{r}$ and note that $\operatorname{dim}(B)=d$ and $|B| \geq|A| / 2$. By our induction hypothesis,

$$
|B-B| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|B|-C_{d}
$$

Let $H^{\prime}$ be a major hyperplane of $B$ with respect to $l$ (which is not necessarily a major hyperplane of $A$ !), so that $\left|B \cap H^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|A_{1}\right|$. If $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 2 \epsilon|A|$, then, using Ruzsa's inequality (1) and condition 3 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq|B-B|+2\left|A_{1}-A_{r}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|B|-C_{d}+2\left|A_{1}\right|+(2 d-2)\left|A_{r}\right|-d(d-1) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|+\left(2-\frac{1}{d-1}\right)\left|A_{1}\right|-C_{d}-d(d-1) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+2 \epsilon\right)|A|-C_{d}-d(d-1) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|-C_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

We may therefore assume that $\left|A_{1}\right|<2 \epsilon|A|$.
If $B$ cannot be covered by two translates of $H^{\prime}$, then, by our induction hypothesis,

$$
|B-B| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|B|-C_{d}
$$

Thus, again using Ruzsa's inequality (1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq|B-B|+2\left|A_{1}-A_{r}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|B|+2\left|A_{1}\right|+(2 d-2)\left|A_{r}\right|-d(d-1)-C_{d} \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|+\left(2-\frac{1}{d-1}-\epsilon\right)\left|A_{1}\right|-d(d-1)-C_{d} \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|-C_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 4 d(d-1)$.
We may therefore assume that $B$ is covered by two translates of $H^{\prime}$, say $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$. If $A_{r} \subseteq$ $H^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime \prime}$, then $A \subseteq H^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime \prime}$, so one of $\left|A \cap H^{\prime}\right|,\left|A \cap H^{\prime \prime}\right|$ is at least $|A| / 2$, say $\left|A \cap H^{\prime}\right| \geq|A| / 2$. But $H$ is a major hyperplane of $A$, so $\left|A_{1}\right|=|A \cap H| \geq\left|A \cap H^{\prime}\right| \geq|A| / 2$, contradicting our assumption that $\left|A_{1}\right|<2 \epsilon|A|$. Hence, $A_{r} \nsubseteq H^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime \prime}$.

If

$$
|B-B| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|B|-C_{d}
$$

then the above argument holds similarly. Thus, by our induction hypothesis, we must have that

$$
|B-B| \geq(2 d-2)|B|+\frac{2}{d-1}\left|H^{\prime} \cap B\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

Let $B_{1}=B \cap H^{\prime}, B_{2}=B \cap H^{\prime \prime}$, noting that $\left|B_{1}\right| \geq\left|B_{2}\right|$. Fix also a point $x \in A_{r}$ that does not lie on $H^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime \prime}$. If $x$ lies between $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$, then $x-B_{1}, B_{1}-x, B-B$ are pairwise disjoint. If $H^{\prime}$ lies between $x$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$, then $x-B_{2}, B_{2}-x, B-B$ are pairwise disjoint. If $H^{\prime \prime}$ lies between $x$ and $H^{\prime}$, then $x-B_{1}, B_{1}-x, B-B$ are pairwise disjoint. In any case, there is some $i \in\{1,2\}$ such that $x-B_{i}, B_{i}-x, B-B$ are pairwise disjoint. Since $\left|B_{1}\right| \geq\left|B_{2}\right|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq|B-B|+2\left|B_{2}\right| \\
& \geq(2 d-2)|B|+\frac{2}{d-1}\left|B_{1}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)+2\left|B_{2}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{2}{d-1}\right)|B|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right) \\
& =\left(2 d-2+\frac{2}{d-1}\right)\left(|A|-\left|A_{r}\right|\right)-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|-C_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $\left|A_{r}\right| \leq\left|A_{1}\right| \leq 2 \epsilon|A|$ and $\epsilon<1 /(4 d+1)(d-1)$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The final ingredient in our proof is the following structure theorem due to Mudgal [5, Lemma 3.2], saying that sets with small doubling in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ can be almost completely covered by a reasonably small collection of parallel lines.

Lemma 4.1 (Mudgal [5]). For any $c>0$, there exist constants $0<\sigma \leq 1 / 2$ and $C>0$ such that if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a finite set with $|A|=n$ and $|A+A| \leq c n$, then there exist parallel lines $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{r}$ with

$$
\left|A \cap l_{1}\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|A \cap l_{r}\right| \geq\left|A \cap l_{1}\right|^{1 / 2} \geq C^{-1} n^{\sigma}
$$

and

$$
\left|A \backslash\left(l_{1} \cup l_{2} \cup \cdots \cup l_{r}\right)\right|<C c n^{1-\sigma} .
$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2 , which, we recall, states that if $d \geq 2$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a finite set such that $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$, then, provided $|A|$ is sufficiently large,

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We shall proceed by induction on $d$, starting from the known case $d=2$ [3]. We will suppose throughout that $n_{0}$ is large enough for our arguments to hold. Our aim is to show that, for all $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$,

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\max \left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3, D-|A| / 3\right)
$$

where $D \geq 2 d^{2}-4 d+3$ is chosen so that the above inequality trivially holds for $|A| \leq n_{0}$. The result then clearly follows for $|A|$ sufficiently large. We will proceed by induction on $|A|$, where the base case $|A| \leq n_{0}$ trivially holds.

We may clearly assume that $|A-A| \leq(2 d-1)|A|$, since otherwise we already have the required conclusion. By the Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality, we then have $|A+A| \leq(2 d-1)^{2}|A|$. Applying Lemma 4.1 with $c=(2 d-1)^{2}$, we get parallel lines $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}$ and constants $0<\sigma \leq 1 / 2$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|A \cap l_{1}\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|A \cap l_{r}\right| \geq\left|A \cap l_{1}\right|^{1 / 2} \geq C^{-1} n^{\sigma}
$$

and

$$
\left|A \backslash\left(l_{1} \cup l_{2} \cup \cdots \cup l_{r}\right)\right|<C c n^{1-\sigma}
$$

where $n=|A|$. Since $\left|A \cap l_{i}\right| \geq C^{-1} n^{\sigma}$ for each $i$, we have $n=|A| \geq r C^{-1} n^{\sigma}$ or $r \leq C n^{1-\sigma}$. Let $A^{\prime}=A \cap\left(l_{1} \cup \cdots \cup l_{r}\right)$ and $S=A \backslash A^{\prime}$, so that $|S|<C c n^{1-\sigma}$. If $\operatorname{dim}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=d_{1}<d$, then, by our induction hypothesis, for $|A|$ sufficiently large,

$$
\left|A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(2 d_{1}-2+\frac{1}{d_{1}-1}\right)\left|A^{\prime}\right|-\left(2 d_{1}^{2}-4 d_{1}+3\right)
$$

There are $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d-d_{1}} \in S$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(A^{\prime} \cup\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d-d_{1}}\right\}\right)=d$. This implies that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d-d_{1}}$ lie outside the affine span of $A^{\prime}$, so the sets

$$
A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}, A^{\prime}-a_{1}, \ldots, A^{\prime}-a_{d-d_{1}}, a_{1}-A^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{d-d_{1}}-A^{\prime}
$$

are pairwise disjoint. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq\left|A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{d-d_{1}}\left(\left|A^{\prime}-a_{i}\right|+\left|a_{i}-A^{\prime}\right|\right) \\
& \geq\left(2 d_{1}-2+\frac{1}{d_{1}-1}\right)\left|A^{\prime}\right|-\left(2 d_{1}^{2}-4 d_{1}+3\right)+2\left(d-d_{1}\right)\left|A^{\prime}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d_{1}-1}\right)(|A|-|S|)-\left(2 d_{1}^{2}-4 d_{1}+3\right) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $|A| \geq n_{0}$ sufficiently large. Thus, we may assume that $\operatorname{dim}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=d$.
For $n_{0}$ sufficiently large, we may assume that each line $l_{i}$ intersects $A^{\prime}$ in at least $4 d$ points. Let $H$ be a major hyperplane of $A^{\prime}$ with respect to $l_{1}$ and let $H_{1}=H, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{r}$ be the translates of $H$ covering $A^{\prime}$ in the natural order. Fix $0<\epsilon<1 /(4 d+1)(d-1)$. If we are in the case of Lemma 3.4 where

$$
\left|A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}\right| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)\left|A^{\prime}\right|-C_{d}
$$

then, since $|S|=O\left(|A|^{1-\sigma}\right)$ is sublinear, for $|A|$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq\left|A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)\left|A^{\prime}\right|-C_{d} \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we may assume that $r=2$ and

$$
\left|A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}\right| \geq(2 d-2)\left|A^{\prime}\right|+\frac{2}{d-1}\left|A_{1}^{\prime}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

Let $A_{1}^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \cap H_{1}$ and $A_{2}^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \cap H_{2}$. If $S \nsubseteq H_{1} \cup H_{2}$, then there is a point $x \in S$ not lying on the hyperplanes $H_{1}, H_{2}$. But then $x-A_{i}^{\prime}, A_{i}^{\prime}-x, A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}$ are pairwise disjoint for some $i \in\{1,2\}$ and so, since $\left|A_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|A_{2}^{\prime}\right|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq\left|A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}\right|+2\left|A_{2}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \geq(2 d-2)\left|A^{\prime}\right|+\frac{2}{d-1}\left|A_{1}^{\prime}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)+2\left|A_{2}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{2}{d-1}\right)\left|A^{\prime}\right|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|
\end{aligned}
$$

We may therefore assume that $S \subseteq H_{1} \cup H_{2}$.
Let $A_{1}=A \cap H_{1}$ and $A_{2}=A \cap H_{2}$. Let $H^{\prime}$ be a major hyperplane of $A$ with respect to $l_{1}$ (possibly equal to $H$ ) and $H_{1}^{\prime}=H^{\prime}, H_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, H_{s}^{\prime}$ be the translates of $H^{\prime}$ covering $A$, ordered
naturally. Let $B_{i}=A \cap H_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$. Since $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are both supporting hyperplanes of $A$, we must have $\left|B_{1}\right| \geq \max \left(\left|A_{1}\right|,\left|A_{2}\right|\right) \geq|A| / 2>|S|$, so $B_{1}$ must contain at least one point of $A^{\prime}$. Hence, $B_{1}$ contains one of the lines $l_{i} \cap A$, each of which has at least 2 points, and so $\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{1}\right)=d-1$.

Suppose $s=2$. The number of lines parallel to $l_{1}$ intersecting $B_{1}$ is at most $r+|S|=O\left(|A|^{1-\sigma}\right)$, which is smaller than $\left|B_{1}\right| / 4$. Thus, for $n_{0}$ sufficiently large, by both cases of Lemma 3.3,

$$
|A-A| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-\left(2 d^{2}-4 d+3\right)
$$

We may therefore assume that $s>2$. Let $B=A \backslash B_{s}$, noting that $|B| \geq|A| / 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}(B)=d$. By our induction hypothesis,

$$
|B-B| \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|B|-D
$$

Thus, again using Ruzsa's inequality (1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A-A| & \geq|B-B|+2\left|B_{1}-B_{s}\right| \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|B|-D+2\left|B_{1}\right|+(2 d-2)\left|B_{s}\right|-d(d-1) \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|+\left(2-\frac{1}{d-1}\right)\left|B_{1}\right|-d(d-1)-D \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|+\left(1-\frac{1}{2(d-1)}\right)|A|-d(d-1)-D \\
& \geq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|-D+|A| / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds if $|A| / 6 \geq n_{0} / 6 \geq d(d-1)$.

## 5 Concluding remarks

By carefully analysing our proof of Theorem 1.2, it is possible to deduce some structural properties of large sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$ and

$$
|A-A| \leq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)|A|+o(|A|)
$$

In particular, such sets can be covered by two parallel hyperplanes $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, where, writing $A_{1}=A \cap H_{1}$ and $A_{2}=A \cap H_{2}$, we can assume that $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ have roughly the same size, differing by $o(|A|)$. We can also assume that $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{1}\right)=d-1$ and that $A_{1}$ can be covered by $d-1$ parallel lines $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{d-1}$, where the sets $A_{1} \cap l_{i}$ all have approximately equal size, again up to $o(|A|)$.

In practice, $H_{1}$ will be a major hyperplane of $A$ with respect to $l_{1}$, which, we recall, means that it is parallel to $l_{1}$, it is supporting, in the sense that all points of $A$ lie either on or on one side of it, and $\left|H_{1} \cap A\right|$ is as large as possible. Knowing this allows us to also deduce that $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{2}\right)=d-1$. Indeed, it must be the case that the affine span of $A_{2}$ is parallel to $l_{1}$, since otherwise $\left|A_{1}-A_{2}\right|$ would be too large. But then, if $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{2}\right)<d-1$, there is a supporting hyperplane through $A_{2}$ and
one of the $A_{1} \cap l_{i}$ which contains more points than $H_{1}$, contradicting the fact that $H_{1}$ is a major hyperplane. Since $\left|A_{1}\right|$ and $\left|A_{2}\right|$ differ by $o(|A|)$, this then allows us to argue that $A_{2}$ is also covered by $d-1$ lines parallel to $l_{1}$ of approximately equal size.

In fact, we can deduce the very same structural properties for large sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\operatorname{dim}(A)=d$ and

$$
|A-A| \leq\left(2 d-2+\frac{1}{d-1}+\epsilon\right)|A|+o(|A|)
$$

for some $\epsilon>0$, giving a difference version of Stanchescu's result about the structure of $d$-dimensional subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with doubling constant smaller than $d+4 / 3$, which we stated as Theorem 2.1. It would be interesting to determine the maximum value of $\epsilon$ for which this continues to hold.

Unfortunately, our methods tell us very little about how $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are related, though we suspect that $A_{2}$ should be close to a translate of $-A_{1}$. Proving this, which will likely require a better understanding of when Ruzsa's inequality (1) is tight, may then lead to a determination of the exact structure of $d$-dimensional subsets $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $|A-A|$ as small as possible in terms of $|A|$, a problem that was already solved for $d=2$ and 3 by Stanchescu [9].

Note added. Shortly after completing this paper, we learned from Akshat Mudgal that he had independently proved an asymptotic version of Conjecture 1.1. We refer the reader to his paper [7] for further details.
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