

# HYPERGRAPHS ACCUMULATE INFINITELY OFTEN

DAVID CONLON AND BJARNE SCHÜLKE

ABSTRACT. We show that the set  $\Pi^{(k)}$  of Turán densities of  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs has infinitely many accumulation points in  $[0, 1)$  for every  $k \geq 3$ . This extends an earlier result of ours showing that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  has at least one such accumulation point.

## §1. INTRODUCTION

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph (or  $k$ -graph)  $H = (V, E)$  consists of a vertex set  $V$  and an edge set  $E \subseteq V^{(k)} = \{e \subseteq V : |e| = k\}$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a family of  $k$ -graphs  $\mathcal{F}$ , the *extremal number*  $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$  is the maximum number of edges in a  $k$ -graph  $H$  with  $n$  vertices that does not contain a copy of any graph in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The *Turán density* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is then given by

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{\binom{n}{k}},$$

where the limit is known, by a simple monotonicity argument [5], to be well-defined. If  $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$  for some  $k$ -graph  $F$ , we omit the parentheses, writing  $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(F)$ . The problem of determining these Turán densities is one of the oldest and most fundamental questions in extremal combinatorics.

When  $k = 2$ , that is, when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of graphs,  $\pi(\mathcal{F})$  is essentially completely understood, with the final result, the culmination of work by Turán [10], Erdős and Stone [3], and Erdős and Simonovits [2], saying that  $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\chi(\mathcal{F})-2}{\chi(\mathcal{F})-1}$ , where  $\chi(\mathcal{F})$  is the minimum chromatic number of an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If we set

$$\Pi^{(k)} = \{\pi(F) : F \text{ is a } k\text{-graph}\},$$

$$\Pi_{\text{fin}}^{(k)} = \{\pi(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{F} \text{ is a finite family of } k\text{-graphs}\},$$

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{(k)} = \{\pi(\mathcal{F}) : \mathcal{F} \text{ is a family of } k\text{-graphs}\},$$

then the Erdős–Stone–Simonovits theorem implies that

$$\Pi^{(2)} = \Pi_{\text{fin}}^{(2)} = \Pi_{\infty}^{(2)} = \{0, 1/2, 2/3, 3/4, \dots\}.$$

In particular, each of these sets is well-ordered.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C65, 05C35, 05D05, 05D99.

*Key words and phrases.* Turán problem, hypergraphs, jumps.

For  $k \geq 3$ , there is no clear analogue of the Erdős–Stone–Simonovits theorem for any of the sets  $\Pi^{(k)}$ ,  $\Pi_{\text{fin}}^{(k)}$ , or  $\Pi_{\infty}^{(k)}$ . For  $\Pi_{\infty}^{(k)}$ , this was shown by Frankl and Rödl [4], who proved that  $\Pi_{\infty}^{(k)}$  is not well-ordered. That is, the set has downward accumulation points. In particular, this disproved the jumping conjecture of Erdős, which suggested that, like for  $\Pi_{\infty}^{(2)}$ , there should be a non-trivial gap or jump between any element of  $[0, 1)$  and the next element of  $\Pi_{\infty}^{(k)}$ . By applying the important result of Pikhurko [9] that  $\Pi_{\infty}^{(k)}$  is the closure of  $\Pi_{\text{fin}}^{(k)}$ , it is easily seen that  $\Pi_{\text{fin}}^{(k)}$  is also not well-ordered.

While it remains an intriguing open problem to show that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  is again not well-ordered, a first step showing that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  is indeed more complex than  $\Pi^{(2)}$  was taken in the recent paper [1], where we proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , the set  $\Pi^{(k)}$  has an accumulation point in  $[0, 1)$ .*

Here we extend this result, showing that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  has infinitely many accumulation points. This goes another step further in showing how much more complex  $\Pi^{(k)}$  gets for  $k \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , the set  $\Pi^{(k)}$  has infinitely many accumulation points in  $[0, 1)$ .*

This is a consequence of the following result which states that, in addition, for each of these accumulation points  $\alpha$  there is a family of  $k$ -graphs whose Turán density is  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *For every integer  $k \geq 3$ , there are infinitely many  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that there are two sequences of  $k$ -graphs,  $\{F_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with the following properties:*

- I.  $\pi(F_i) \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\pi(F_i) < \alpha$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- II. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha \leq \pi(G_i) \leq \alpha + \varepsilon$ .

The proof of this result will occupy the remainder of this short paper.

## §2. PRELIMINARIES

Given an integer  $t$  and a  $k$ -graph  $F$ , let  $B(F, t)$  be the  $t$ -blow-up of  $F$ , the  $k$ -graph obtained from  $F$  by replacing every vertex by  $t$  copies of itself. The following phenomenon, which we make extensive use of, is well-known (see, for instance, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in [6], as well as the subsequent discussion).

**Theorem 2.1** (Supersaturation). *(1) For every  $k$ -graph  $F$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that every  $k$ -graph on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices with at least  $(\pi(F) + \delta) \binom{n}{k}$  edges contains at least  $\varepsilon n^{|V(F)|}$  copies of  $F$ .*  
*(2) For every integer  $t$  and  $k$ -graph  $F$ ,  $\pi(B(F, t)) = \pi(F)$ .*

- (3) Let  $F$  be a  $k$ -graph and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the (finite) family of  $k$ -graphs  $F'$  whose vertex set is a subset of  $V(F)$  and for which there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi : F \rightarrow F'$ . Then  $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(F)$ .
- (4) For every  $k$ -graph  $F$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that, for all  $v \in V(F)$ , every  $k$ -graph on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices with at least  $(\pi(F) + \delta) \binom{n}{k}$  edges contains the  $k$ -graph obtained from  $F$  by replacing  $v$  by  $\varepsilon n$  copies of  $v$ .

We will also make use of expansions of hypergraphs. Setting  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ , the  $k$ -uniform expansion of  $K_s^{(2)}$ , the complete 2-graph on  $s$  vertices, is the  $k$ -graph  $G_s^{(k)}$  with vertex set

$$X \cup \{v_i^e : i \in [k-2], e \in X^{(2)}\}$$

and edge set

$$\{e \cup \{v_1^e, \dots, v_{k-2}^e\} : e \in X^{(2)}\}.$$

In other words, the  $k$ -uniform expansion of  $K_s^{(2)}$  is obtained from  $K_s^{(2)}$  by adding  $k-2$  new vertices to each edge. We will need the following result of Mubayi [7] determining the Turán density of these expansions.

**Theorem 2.2.** For all integers  $s > k \geq 2$ ,  $\pi(G_s^{(k)}) = \frac{(s-1) \cdot (s-2) \cdots (s-k)}{(s-1)^k}$ .

### §3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The proof makes use of some  $k$ -graphs that are obtained by gluing ladders and cycles, both of which we now define, in appropriate ways.

For  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the  $k$ -uniform ladder of length  $\ell$  to be the  $k$ -graph  $L_\ell^{(k)}$  with vertex set

$$V(L_\ell^{(k)}) = \{v_{ij} : i \in [\ell], j \in [k-1]\} \cup \{t\}$$

and edge set

$$E(L_\ell^{(k)}) = \{v_{i1} \dots v_{ik-1} v_{i+1j} : i \in [\ell-1], j \in [k-1]\} \cup \{v_{\ell 1} \dots v_{\ell k-1} t\}.$$

For  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\ell \geq 2$ , we define the  $k$ -uniform cycle of length  $\ell$  to be the  $k$ -graph  $Z_\ell^{(k)}$  with vertex set

$$V(Z_\ell^{(k)}) = \{v_{ij} : i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}, j \in [k-1]\}$$

and edge set

$$E(Z_\ell^{(k)}) = \{v_{i1} \dots v_{ik-1} v_{i+1j} : i \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}, j \in [k-1]\}.$$

Next we define a  $k$ -graph that, roughly speaking, is obtained by gluing a cycle of length  $\ell$  to the last  $(k-1)$ -set of a ladder of length  $m$ . More formally, for  $k, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\ell \geq 2$ , the  $k$ -graph  $LZ^{(k)}(m, \ell)$  is the  $k$ -graph with vertex set

$$\begin{aligned} V(LZ^{(k)}(m, \ell)) &= \{v_{ij} : i \in [m], j \in [k-1]\} \\ &\cup \{w_{ij} : i \in [\ell] \setminus \{1\}, j \in [k-1]\} \end{aligned}$$

and edge set

$$\begin{aligned} E(LZ^{(k)}(m, \ell)) &= \{v_{i1} \dots v_{ik-1} v_{i+1j} : i \in [m-1], j \in [k-1]\} \\ &\cup \{v_{m1} \dots v_{mk-1} w_{2j} : j \in [k-1]\} \\ &\cup \{w_{i1} \dots w_{ik-1} w_{i+1j} : i \in [\ell-1] \setminus \{1\}, j \in [k-1]\} \\ &\cup \{w_{\ell 1} \dots w_{\ell k-1} v_{mj} : j \in [k-1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each of  $L_\ell^{(k)}$ ,  $Z_\ell^{(k)}$ , and  $LZ^{(k)}(m, \ell)$ , we sometimes refer to the set  $\{v_{11}, \dots, v_{1k-1}\}$  as the *starting set*.

If, in addition,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $s > k$ , we consider the  $k$ -graph obtained from an  $s$ -set  $X$  by adding, for each pair  $e \in X^{(2)}$ , a copy of  $L_{\ell_e}^{(k)}$  such that these copies only intersect in vertices of  $X$ , where the length  $\ell_e$  may depend on the pair  $e$ . More formally, given a set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ , let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{\binom{s}{2}}\} = X^{(2)}$  be an enumeration of the pairs of elements in  $X$ . Furthermore, let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\ell_i \geq \ell_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in [\binom{s}{2} - 1]$ . For  $x_i x_j = e \in X^{(2)}$  with  $i < j$ , we write  $v_{1k-2}^e = x_i$  and  $v_{1k-1}^e = x_j$ . For all  $e \in X^{(2)}$  and  $j \in [k-3]$ , let  $v_{1j}^e$  be pairwise distinct vertices which are also distinct from any vertex in  $X$ . Finally, for all  $e_r \in X^{(2)}$ ,  $i \in [\ell_r] \setminus \{1\}$ , and  $j \in [k-1]$ , let  $v_{ij}^{e_r}$  be distinct vertices which are also distinct from any previously chosen vertices. Then we define  $GL^{(k)}(s; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}})$  to be the  $k$ -graph with vertex set

$$\begin{aligned} V(GL^{(k)}(s; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}})) &= \{v_{ij}^{e_r} : e_r \in X^{(2)}, i \in [\ell_r], j \in [k-1]\} \\ &\cup \{t^e : e \in X^{(2)}\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and edge set

$$\begin{aligned} E(GL^{(k)}(s; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}})) &= \{v_{i1}^{e_r} \dots v_{ik-1}^{e_r} v_{i+1j}^{e_r} : e_r \in X^{(2)}, i \in [\ell_r - 1], j \in [k-1]\} \\ &\cup \{v_{\ell_r 1}^{e_r} \dots v_{\ell_r k-1}^{e_r} t^{e_r} : e_r \in X^{(2)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\ell_i = \ell$  for all  $i \in [\binom{s}{2}]$ , we simply write  $GL^{(k)}(s, \ell)$  for  $GL^{(k)}(s; \ell, \dots, \ell)$ . We also note that  $GL^{(k)}(s, 1) = G_s^{(k)}$ , as defined in Section 2.

Lastly, we need one more type of  $k$ -graph. Roughly speaking, it is obtained from  $GL^{(k)}(s, \ell)$  by closing the ends of the ladders that come out of the set  $X$  into cycles. More

formally, for  $k, s, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $s > k$  and  $\ell \geq 2$ , we define the  $k$ -graph  $GLZ^{(k)}(s, m, \ell)$  to be the  $k$ -graph with vertex set

$$\begin{aligned} V(GLZ^{(k)}(s, m, \ell)) = & \{v_{ij}^e : e \in X^{(2)}, i \in [m], j \in [k-1]\} \\ & \cup \{w_{ij}^e : e \in X^{(2)}, i \in [\ell] \setminus \{1\}, j \in [k-1]\} \end{aligned}$$

and edge set

$$\begin{aligned} E(GLZ^{(k)}(s, m, \ell)) = & \{v_{i1}^e \dots v_{ik-1}^e v_{i+1j}^e : e \in X^{(2)}, i \in [m-1], j \in [k-1]\} \\ & \cup \{v_{m1}^e \dots v_{mk-1}^e w_{2j}^e : e \in X^{(2)}, j \in [k-1]\} \\ & \cup \{w_{i1}^e \dots w_{ik-1}^e w_{i+1j}^e : e \in X^{(2)}, i \in [\ell-1] \setminus \{1\}, j \in [k-1]\} \\ & \cup \{w_{\ell 1}^e \dots w_{\ell k-1}^e v_{mj}^e : e \in X^{(2)}, j \in [k-1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

From now on, we suppress the uniformity in the notation if it is clear from context, for instance, writing  $GL(s, \ell)$  instead of  $GL^{(k)}(s, \ell)$ . In outline, the proof of Theorem 1.3 will proceed as follows. First, we show that for every integer  $s > k \geq 3$  there is some  $\alpha_s \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \pi(GL(s, \ell)) = \alpha_s$ , but  $\pi(GL(s, \ell)) < \alpha_s$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Because  $GL(s, \ell) \subseteq GL(s+1, \ell)$  for all  $s > k$  and  $\ell$ , we have  $\alpha_s \leq \alpha_{s+1}$ . We will argue that, more strongly, for every  $s > k$  there is some  $s'$  such that  $\alpha_s < \alpha_{s'}$ . Together, these imply Part I of the theorem. We will then show that for every  $s > k$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha_s \leq \pi(GLZ(s, m, \ell)) \leq \alpha_s + \varepsilon$ , which will complete the proof.

**3.1. Part I.** Let  $s > k \geq 3$  be an integer. To show that there is some  $\alpha_s \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \pi(GL(s, \ell)) = \alpha_s$ , but  $\pi(GL(s, \ell)) < \alpha_s$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\pi(GL(s, \ell)) < \pi(GL(s, \ell+1))$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . We do this by induction on  $\ell$ .

First, let  $\ell = 1$  and, for a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $H$  be the  $k$ -graph that is obtained from a balanced complete  $(s-1)$ -partite  $k$ -graph with partition  $V(H) = [n] = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{s-1}$  by adding a balanced complete  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph inside each partition class (with partition  $V_i = W_i^1 \cup \dots \cup W_i^k$  for each  $i \in [s-1]$ ). If there were a copy of  $GL(s, 2)$  in  $H$ , there would have to be at least two vertices  $x, x' \in X$  that lie in the same partition class  $V_i$ . By the constructions of  $GL(s, 2)$  and  $H$ , the vertices  $v_{21}^{xx'}$  and  $v_{22}^{xx'}$ , say, must lie in the same  $W_i^j$ . But then in  $H$  there is no edge containing both  $v_{21}^{xx'}$  and  $v_{22}^{xx'}$  (which exists in  $GL(s, 2)$ ), meaning that, in fact,  $H$  has to be  $GL(s, 2)$ -free. This implies that  $\pi(GL(s, 2)) > k! \binom{s-1}{k} \frac{1}{(s-1)^k} = \pi(GL(s, 1))$ , where the last inequality comes from Theorem 2.2.

Now assume that  $\ell > 1$  and that  $\pi(GL(s, i)) < \pi(GL(s, i+1))$  holds for all  $i \in [\ell-1]$ . We will show that  $\pi(GL(s, \ell)) < \pi(GL(s, \ell+1))$ .

By induction, we know that  $\pi(GL(s, \ell)) > \pi(GL(s, \ell - 1))$ . Thus, there is some maximum  $r \in \left[\binom{s}{2}\right]$  such that, setting  $\ell_i = \ell$  for  $i \in [r - 1]$  and  $\ell_i = \ell - 1$  for  $i \in [r, \binom{s}{2}]$ , we have  $\pi(GL(s; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}})) < \pi(GL(s, \ell))$ . Let  $\ell'_i = \ell$  for  $i \in [r]$  and  $\ell'_i = \ell - 1$  for  $i \in [r + 1, \binom{s}{2}]$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{GL}$  the (finite) family of  $k$ -graphs  $F$  whose vertex set is a subset of  $V(GL(s; \ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_{\binom{s}{2}}))$  and for which there exists a homomorphism  $\varphi : GL(s; \ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_{\binom{s}{2}}) \rightarrow F$ . By supersaturation (Theorem 2.1 (3)) (and the choice of  $r$ ), we know that  $\pi(\mathcal{GL}) = \pi(GL(s, \ell))$  and thus it suffices to show that  $\pi(\mathcal{GL}) < \pi(GL(s, \ell + 1))$ .

Set  $\pi_0 = \pi(GL(s; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}}))$  and note that  $\pi(\mathcal{GL}) > \pi_0$ . Therefore, setting  $\eta = \pi(\mathcal{GL}) - \pi_0$ , we have  $\eta > 0$ . Furthermore, by supersaturation (Theorem 2.1 (4)), there is some  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that, for  $n$  sufficiently large, every  $k$ -graph  $H$  on  $n$  vertices with at least  $(\pi_0 + \eta/2)\binom{n}{k}$  edges contains a copy of the  $k$ -graph  $G$  that is obtained from  $GL(s; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}})$  by blowing up the vertex  $t^{e_r}$  to a set  $T$  of size  $\varepsilon_1 n$ . Finally, let  $\varepsilon_2 \ll \varepsilon_1, \eta$  with  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be sufficiently large that<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{ex}(n, GL(s; \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\binom{s}{2}}))}{\binom{n}{k}} - \pi_0 &< \varepsilon_2, \\ \frac{\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{GL})}{\binom{n}{k}} - \pi(\mathcal{GL}) &< \varepsilon_2, \text{ and} \\ \frac{\text{ex}(n, GL(s, \ell + 1))}{\binom{n}{k}} - \pi(GL(s, \ell + 1)) &< \varepsilon_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now consider an extremal example  $H$  for  $\mathcal{GL}$  on  $n$  vertices. By our choice of constants, we know that  $H$  contains a copy of  $G$ . If any  $(k - 1)$ -subset of  $T$  is contained in an edge of  $H$ , then  $H$  would contain a (possibly) degenerate copy of  $GL(s; \ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_{\binom{s}{2}})$ , i.e., a copy of an element of  $\mathcal{GL}$ . Thus, no  $(k - 1)$ -subset of  $T$  is contained in an edge of  $H$ .

Next we add to  $H$  a complete balanced  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph on  $T = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_k$  and call the resulting  $k$ -graph  $H'$ . We claim that  $H'$  is  $GL(s, \ell + 1)$ -free. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $H'$  contains a copy of  $GL(s, \ell + 1)$  with vertex set as in (3.1).<sup>2</sup> Since this copy of  $GL(s, \ell + 1)$  is not contained in  $H$ , one of its edges must be an edge  $z_1 \dots z_k \in E(H') \setminus E(H)$ , so we also have  $z_1, \dots, z_k \in T$ . In fact, since  $H$  is (in particular)  $GL(s, \ell)$ -free, there must be  $e \in X^{(2)}$ ,  $i \in [\ell]$ , and  $j \in [k - 1]$  such that  $z_1 \dots z_k$  is one of the edges  $v_{i1}^e \dots v_{ik-1}^e v_{i+1j}^e$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $z_1 = v_{i1}^e, \dots, z_{k-1} = v_{ik-1}^e$  for some  $e \in X^{(2)}$ ,  $i \in [\ell]$ , and  $j \in [k - 1]$  with  $v_{i1}^e \in T_1, \dots, v_{ik-1}^e \in T_{k-1}$ . Recall that, by the construction of  $H'$  and the discussion in the previous paragraph, any edge of  $H'$  containing

<sup>1</sup>By the monotonicity argument mentioned in the introduction, all of the terms on the left-hand side are non-negative.

<sup>2</sup>To avoid making the notation messier, we will not give the vertices new names. We do not mean the vertices of this copy of  $GL(s, \ell + 1)$  to be necessarily the same as some of the vertices of the copy of  $G$ .

a  $(k-1)$ -subset of  $T$  must be in  $E(H') \setminus E(H)$  and must therefore contain exactly one vertex from each of  $T_1, \dots, T_k$ . Thus,  $v_{(i+1)1}^e, \dots, v_{(i+1)k-1}^e \in T_k$  and so these  $k-1$  vertices cannot lie together in any edge of  $H'$ , contradicting that there is a copy of  $GL(s, \ell+1)$  in  $H'$ . Hence,  $H'$  is indeed a  $GL(s, \ell+1)$ -free  $k$ -graph on  $n$  vertices.

By monotonicity, we know that  $H$  has at least  $\pi(\mathcal{GL})\binom{n}{k}$  edges. Therefore,  $H'$  has more than

$$\pi(\mathcal{GL})\binom{n}{k} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1 n}{k+1}\right)^k > (\pi(\mathcal{GL}) + \varepsilon_2)\binom{n}{k}$$

edges. By (3.2), this means that  $\pi(GL(s, \ell+1)) > \pi(\mathcal{GL}) = \pi(GL(s, \ell))$ . We have therefore proved that  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \pi(GL(s, \ell)) = \alpha_s$  for some  $\alpha_s \in [0, 1]$  with  $\pi(GL(s, \ell)) < \alpha_s$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Next we argue that for every integer  $s > k$ , there is some integer  $s' \gg s$  such that  $\alpha_{s'} > \alpha_s$ . Note that since  $GL(s', \ell) \supseteq G_{s'}$  for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , Theorem 2.2 implies that

$$\alpha_{s'} \geq \frac{(s'-1) \cdot (s'-2) \cdots (s'-k)}{(s'-1)^k}.$$

On the other hand, observe that  $GL(s, \ell)$  is contained in a blow-up of  $K_{s+\binom{s}{2}, [(k-3)+(k-1)]}^{(k)}$  for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 (2),  $\alpha_s \leq \pi(K_{s+\binom{s}{2}, (2k-4)}^{(k)})$ . Since

$$\frac{(s'-1) \cdot (s'-2) \cdots (s'-k)}{(s'-1)^k} \rightarrow 1$$

as  $s' \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\pi(K_{s+\binom{s}{2}, (2k-4)}^{(k)}) < 1$ , we indeed have  $\alpha_{s'} > \alpha_s$  for  $s' \gg s$ .

**3.2. Part II.** Let  $s > k$  be an integer and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\varepsilon, s^{-1} \gg t^{-1} \gg n^{-1}$$

and, for simplicity, assume that  $t \mid n$ . Now let  $H$  be a  $k$ -graph with vertex set  $[t]$  and  $e(H) \geq (\alpha_s + \varepsilon)\binom{t}{k}$ . We will show that there is a homomorphism from  $GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)$  into  $H$ . Let  $H_* = B(H, n/t)$  be the  $k$ -graph obtained from  $H$  by replacing every vertex  $i$  of  $H$  by  $n/t$  copies of itself, the set of which we call  $V_i$ . For  $v \in V(H_*)$ , let  $f(v)$  denote the index of the partition class of  $H_*$  that contains  $v$ , i.e., if  $v$  is one of the copies of the vertex  $i \in V(H)$ , then  $f(v) = i$ . Then  $H_*$  is a  $k$ -graph on  $n$  vertices with  $e(H_*) \geq (\alpha_s + \varepsilon)\binom{t}{k}\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^k \geq (\alpha_s + \varepsilon/2)\binom{n}{k}$ .

Since  $\pi(GL(s, \ell)) < \alpha_s$  for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $H_*$  contains a copy of  $GL(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1)$  with vertex set as in (3.1). Fix  $e \in X^{(2)}$ . Note that for each  $i \in [\binom{t}{k-1} + 1]$ , the indices  $f(v_{ij}^e)$  with  $j \in [k-1]$  are pairwise distinct, since  $v_{i1}^e, \dots, v_{ik-1}^e$  are contained in an

edge together. As  $H_*$  only has  $t$  distinct partition classes, we deduce from the pigeonhole principle that, for some  $i, i' \in [\binom{t}{k-1} + 1]$  with  $i' < i$ , we have

$$\{f(v_{i1}^e), \dots, f(v_{ik-1}^e)\} = \{f(v_{i'1}^e), \dots, f(v_{i'k-1}^e)\}.$$

Since  $H_*$  is a blow-up of  $H$ , this implies that there is a homomorphism of a cycle of length at most  $\binom{t}{k-1}$  into  $H$  that maps the starting set to  $\{f(v_{i1}^e), \dots, f(v_{ik-1}^e)\}$ . As described in [8], “cycling” through any such cycle the right number of times yields a homomorphism from  $Z_{\binom{t}{k-1}!}$  to  $H$  that maps the starting set to  $\{f(v_{i1}^e), \dots, f(v_{ik-1}^e)\}$ . Note that this means that there is a homomorphism from  $LZ(i, \binom{t}{k-1}!)$  into  $H$  that maps the starting set to  $\{f(v_{i1}^e), \dots, f(v_{ik-1}^e)\}$ . Furthermore, observe that for any  $j, j' \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $j \leq j'$  there is a homomorphism from  $LZ(j', \binom{t}{k-1}!)$  to  $LZ(j, \binom{t}{k-1}!)$  that preserves the starting set. Therefore, there is a homomorphism from  $LZ(\binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)$  to  $H$  that maps the starting set to  $\{f(v_{i1}^e), \dots, f(v_{ik-1}^e)\}$ . Since the above holds for all  $e \in X^{(2)}$ , we obtain a homomorphism from  $GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)$  to  $H$ . Thus,  $\text{ex}_{\text{hom}}(t, GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)) \leq (\alpha_s + \varepsilon) \binom{t}{k}$ . Since the sequence

$$\frac{\text{ex}_{\text{hom}}\left(m, GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)\right)}{\binom{m}{k}}$$

is non-increasing in  $m$ , this implies that  $\pi_{\text{hom}}(GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)) \leq \alpha_s + \varepsilon$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s &\leq \pi\left(GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)\right) \\ &= \pi_{\text{hom}}\left(GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)\right) \leq \alpha_s + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds since if  $H$  contains  $GLZ(s, \binom{t}{k-1} + 1, \binom{t}{k-1}!)$ , then there exists a homomorphism from  $GL(s, \ell)$  into  $H$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, for all integers  $m \geq 2$  and  $i \geq 1$ , there is a homomorphism from  $GL(s, \ell)$  into  $GLZ(s, i, m)$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\alpha_s \leq \pi(\{GLZ(s, i, m) : i, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2\})$ . Since in the above argument  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary,  $\pi(\{GLZ(s, i, m) : i, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2\}) = \alpha_s$ .

#### §4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our earlier paper [1] showed that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  contains a subset of order type  $\omega^2$  when  $k \geq 3$ . This is already enough to distinguish it from  $\Pi^{(2)}$ , which has order type  $\omega$ . In this paper, we went further, showing that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  contains a subset of order type  $\omega^2$  when  $k \geq 3$ . This is still likely far from the truth and we conjecture that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  contains subsets of any countable order type when  $k \geq 3$ . However, it would already be interesting to push our techniques to handle, say,  $\omega^3$ ,  $\omega^\omega$ , or  $\varepsilon_0$ . It may also be that  $-\Pi^{(k)}$  contains subsets of any countable



order type when  $k \geq 3$ . This might be difficult, as finding a subset of order type  $\omega$  would already show that  $\Pi^{(k)}$  is not well-ordered, itself an interesting open problem. Finally, we note that a result of Pikhurko [9] saying that  $\Pi_\infty^{(k)}$  has the cardinality of the continuum for  $k \geq 3$  implies that  $\Pi_\infty^{(k)}$  and, therefore,  $\Pi_{\text{fin}}^{(k)}$  has uncountably many accumulation points. The same may well be true of  $\Pi^{(k)}$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Forte Shinko and Mathias Schacht for interesting discussions. The first author was supported by NSF Awards DMS-2054452 and DMS-2348859 and by a Simons Visiting Professorship, while the second author was partially supported by the Young Scientist Fellowship IBS-R029-Y7. This research was also supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930 while the authors were in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute in Berkeley, California during the Spring 2025 semester on Extremal Combinatorics.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Conlon and B. Schülke, *Hypergraphs accumulate*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2** (2025), Paper No. rnae289, 5 pp. [↑1](#), [4](#)
- [2] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, *A limit theorem in graph theory*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **1** (1966), 51–57. [↑1](#)
- [3] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone, *On the structure of linear graphs*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 1087–1091. [↑1](#)
- [4] P. Frankl and V. Rödl, *Hypergraphs do not jump*, Combinatorica **4** (1984), 149–159. [↑1](#)
- [5] G. Katona, T. Nemetz, and M. Simonovits, *On a problem of Turán in the theory of graphs*, Mat. Lapok **15** (1964), 228–238 (Hungarian, with English and Russian summaries). [↑1](#)
- [6] P. Keevash, *Hypergraph Turán problems*, Surveys in combinatorics 2011, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 392, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011, pp. 83–139. [↑2](#)
- [7] D. Mubayi, *A hypergraph extension of Turán’s theorem*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **96** (2006), 122–134. [↑2](#)
- [8] S. Piga and B. Schülke, *Hypergraphs with arbitrarily small codegree Turán density* (2023), available at [arXiv:2307.02876](#). [↑3.2](#)
- [9] O. Pikhurko, *On possible Turán densities*, Israel J. Math. **201** (2014), 415–454. [↑1](#), [4](#)
- [10] P. Turán, *Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok **48** (1941), 436–452 (Hungarian, with German summary). [↑1](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, USA

*Email address:* `dconlon@caltech.edu`

EXTREMAL COMBINATORICS AND PROBABILITY GROUP, INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE, DAEJEON,  
SOUTH KOREA

*Email address:* `schuelke@ibs.re.kr`