## Lecture 11

We now begin the proof proper of the Bondy-Simonovits theorem.

**Theorem 1** For any natural number  $k \geq 2$ , there exists a constant c such that

$$ex(n,H) \le cn^{1+1/k}.$$

**Proof** Suppose that G is a  $C_{2k}$ -free graph on n vertices with at least  $cn^{1+1/k}$  edges. Then the average degree of G is at least  $2cn^{1/k}$ , so there exists some subgraph H for which the minimum degree is at least  $cn^{1/k}$ .

Fix an arbitrary vertex x of H. Let  $i \geq 0$ , let  $V_i$  be the set of vertices that are at distance i from x with respect to the graph H. In particular,  $V_0 = \{x\}$  and  $V_1 = N(x)$ . Let  $v_i = |V_i|$  and let  $H_i$  be the bipartite subgraph  $H[V_{i-1}, V_i]$  induced by the disjoint sets  $V_{i-1}$  and  $V_i$ .

Claim 1 For  $1 \le i \le k-1$ , none of the graphs  $H[V_i]$  or  $H_{i+1}$  contain a bipartite cycle of length at least 2k with a chord.

**Proof** Suppose, on the contrary, that there is a bipartite cycle with a chord F, of length at least 2k, in  $H[V_i]$ . Let  $Y \cup Z$  be the bipartition of V(F).

Let  $T \subset H$  be a breadth first-search tree beginning at x. That is, we begin at the root node x. The first layer will consist of the neighbours of x, labelling them as we uncover them. At the jth step, we look at layer j-1. For the first vertex in the ordering, we look at its neighbours that have not yet occurred and label them as they occur. Then we do the same in order for every vertex in the (j-1)st level. This will give us the jth level with all vertices labelled.

Let y be the vertex which is farthest from x in the tree T and which still dominates the set Y, that is, every vertex in Y is a descendant of y. Clearly, the paths leading from y to Y must branch at y. Pick one such branch (leading to a non-trivial subset of Y), defined by some child z of y and let A be the set of descendents of z which lie in Y. Let  $B = (Y \cup Z) \setminus A$ . Since  $Y \setminus A \neq \emptyset$ , B is not an independent set of F.

Let  $\ell$  be the distance between x and y. Then  $\ell < i$  and  $2k - 2i + 2\ell < 2k \le v(F)$ . By the main lemma from the last lecture, since F is not bipartite with respect to the partition into A and B, we can find a path  $P \subset F$  of length  $2k - 2i + 2\ell$  which starts in  $a \in A$  and ends in  $b \in B$ . Since the path has even length and the partition into Y and Z is bipartite, b must be in Y. Let  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  be the unique paths in T that connect y to a and b. They intersect only at y, since a is a descendent of z and b is not. Also, they each have length  $i - \ell$ . Therefore, the union of the paths  $P, P_a$  and  $P_b$  forms a  $C_{2k}$  in H, which contradicts our assumption.

The proof follows similarly for  $H_{i+1}$  if we take  $Y = V(F) \cap V_i$ .

We also know that if a bipartite graph has minimum degree  $d \geq 3$  then it contains a cycle of length at least 2d with an extra chord. We may therefore assume that, for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , the average degrees  $d(H[V_i])$  and  $d(H_{i+1})$  of  $H[V_i]$  and  $H_{i+1}$  satisfy

$$d(H[V_i]) \le 4k - 4$$
 and  $d(H_{i+1}) \le 2k - 2$ .

For example, if  $H[V_i]$  has average degree greater than 4k-4, it has a bipartite subgraph with average degree greater than 2k-2 and, therefore, a bipartite subgraph with minimum degree greater than k-1. This would then imply that the graph contained a bipartite cycle of length at least 2k with a chord, which would contradict the claim. The bound for  $d(H_{i+1})$  follows similarly.

We will now show inductively that, provided n is sufficiently large,

$$\frac{e(H_{i+1})}{v_{i+1}} \le 2k$$

for every  $0 \le i \le k-1$ . For i=0, this is true, since every edge in  $V_1$  is connected to x by only one edge. Suppose that we want to prove it for some i>0. Then, by induction and the bound on  $d(H[V_i])$ ,

$$e(H_{i+1}) = \sum_{y \in V_i} d_{V_{i+1}}(y) \ge \left(\delta(H) - \frac{4k-4}{2} - 2k\right) v_i \ge \left(cn^{1/k} - 4k + 2\right) v_i \ge \frac{c}{2}n^{1/k} v_i \ge 2kv_i.$$

In particular,  $V_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  and the average degree of vertices of  $V_i$  with respect to  $H_{i+1}$  is at least 2k. But since  $d(H_{i+1}) \leq 2k - 2$ , we must have that the average degree of  $V_{i+1}$  with respect to  $H_{i+1}$  is at most 2k - 2, that is,  $e(H_{i+1}) \leq (2k - 2)v_{i+1}$ , implying the required bound.

Note now that we have

$$\frac{c}{2}n^{1/k}v_i \le e(H_{i+1}) \le 2kv_{i+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{v_{i+1}}{v_i} \ge \frac{c}{4k} n^{1/k}.$$

This implies that

$$v_k \ge \left(\frac{c}{Ak}\right)^k n.$$

This is a contradiction if  $c \geq 4k$ , completing the proof.

We have shown that  $ex(n, C_{2k}) \leq (4k + o(1))n^{1+1/k}$ . A slightly more careful rendering of this proof, due to Pikhurko, allows one to show  $ex(n, C_{2k}) \leq (k - 1 + o(1))n^{1+1/k}$ .