# On the existence of rainbow 4-term arithmetic progressions

David Conlon\* Veselin Jungić<sup>†</sup> Radoš Radoičić <sup>‡</sup>

#### Abstract

For infinitely many natural numbers n, we construct 4-colorings of  $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , with equinumerous color classes, that contain no 4-term arithmetic progression whose elements are colored in distinct colors. This result solves an open problem of Jungić et al. [JL+03], Axenovich and Fon-der-Flaass [AF04].

## 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, we will use AP(k) to denote a k-term arithmetic progression. Moreover, a coloring of [n] will be called equinumerous if all the color classes have the same cardinality. A famous result of van der Waerden [vW27] states that for every pair of positive integers k and r, there exists a positive integer W := W(k, r), such that every r-coloring of integers in  $[W] = \{1, 2, \ldots, W\}$  contains a monochromatic AP(k). This theorem was generalized in numerous ways [GRS90, LR03], one being the following "density"-type theorem of Szemerédi [Sz75]: for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a real number  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a positive integer N, such that every  $S \subseteq [N]$ , with  $|S| \ge \delta N$ , contains an AP(k).

In [JL+03], Jungić et al. initiated the search for a rainbow counterpart of van der Waerden's theorem. Namely, given positive integers k and r, what conditions on the r-coloring of [n] guarantee a rainbow AP(k), that is, an arithmetic progression of length k all of whose elements are colored in distinct colors? If every integer in [n] is colored by the largest power of three that divides it, then one immediately obtains an r-coloring of [n] with  $r \leq \lfloor \log_3 n + 1 \rfloor$  and without rainbow AP(3). So, while Szemerédi's theorem states that a large cardinality in only one color class ensures the existence of a monochromatic AP(k), one needs all color classes to be "large" to force a rainbow AP(k).

Jungić et al. [JL+03] proved that every 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{N}$  with the upper density of each color class greater than 1/6 yields a rainbow AP(3). Using some tools from additive number theory, they obtained similar (and stronger) results for 3-colorings of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , some of which were recently extended by Conlon [C05]. In [JR03] Jungić and Radoičić studied the more difficult interval case and showed that every equinumerous 3-coloring of [n] contains a rainbow AP(3). Finally, Axenovich and Fon-Der-Flaass cleverly combined the previous methods with some additional ideas to obtain the following theorem, conjectured in [JL+03].

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, England. E-mail: D.Conlon@dpmms.cam.ac.uk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Canada. E-mail: vjungic@sfu.ca.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA. E-mail: rados@math.rutgers.edu. Research supported by DMS-0503184

**Theorem 1** [AF04] For every  $n \geq 3$ , every partition of [n] into three color classes  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\min(|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|, |\mathcal{C}|) > r(n)$ , where

$$r(n) := \begin{cases} \lfloor (n+2)/6 \rfloor & \text{if } n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{6} \\ (n+4)/6 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

contains a rainbow AP(3).

For  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , the following coloring

$$c(i) := \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ B & \text{if } i \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \\ C & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

shows that Theorem 1 is the best possible. When  $n=6m+2, m\in\mathbb{N}$ , the coloring  $\bar{c}$  shows the tightness of Theorem 1:

$$\bar{c}(i) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A & \text{if } i \leq 2m+1 \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ B & \text{if } i \geq 4m+2 \text{ and } i \text{ is even} \\ C & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Axenovich and Fon-Der-Flaass also demonstrated that for  $k \geq 5$ , no matter how large the smallest color class is, there is a k-coloring of [n] with no rainbow AP(k). Therefore, no statement similar to Theorem 1 holds for five or more colors. Their construction goes as follows: Let n = 2mk,  $k \geq 5$ . Subdivide [n] into k consecutive intervals of length 2m each, say  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ , and let  $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ . Then, it is easy to see that the coloring

$$c(i) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } i \in S_j \text{ and } j \neq t, j \neq t+2 \\ t & \text{if } i \in S_t \cup S_{t+2} \text{ and } i \text{ is even,} \\ t+2 & \text{if } i \in S_t \cup S_{t+2} \text{ and } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

is equinumerous (the size of each color class is n/k) and does not contain a rainbow AP(k). Notice that this coloring has large blocks of consecutive integers with the same color.

However, the question about the existence of equinumerous 4-colorings of [n] without rainbow AP(4)s remained unresolved. In [AF04] a 4-coloring of [n], n = 10m + 1, with the smallest color class of size 2m = (n-1)/5 and no rainbow AP(4) was constructed.

In this note, we settle the question.

**Theorem 2** For every positive integer n,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ , there exists an equinumerous 4-coloring of [n] with no rainbow AP(4).

In the next section, we present our construction. It is important to note that in this coloring there is a color which appears on consecutive integers. An important step in establishing the existence of a rainbow AP(3) in every equinumerous 3-coloring of [n] is proving that at least one of the colors is recessive, i.e., it does not appear on consecutive integers. Therefore, a natural way to possibly force the existence of a rainbow AP(4) is to assume that every color is recessive. This is our motivation for the second construction, presented in Section 3, where (to our surprise) for

every  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ , we construct an equinumerous 4-coloring of [n] with no rainbow AP(4) and no two consecutive integers having the same color.

In fact, our example provides an equinumerous colouring, in four colours, of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  which does not contain an AP(4). This is easily seen to extend to  $\mathbb{Z}_{24k}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus also curtails any hope of a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  analogue of Theorem 1 for AP(4)s.

# 2 Proof of Theorem 2

Let n = 8m,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the coloring  $\lambda$  as follows: for every  $i \in [n]$ , let

$$\lambda(i) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i < 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m \\ B & \text{if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i < 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m \\ C & \text{if } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i < 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i \leq 4m \\ D & \text{if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m; \text{ or if } i \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } i > 4m \end{array} \right.$$

It is immediately clear that every color class has exactly 2m elements, so  $\lambda$  is equinumerous. The proof that  $\lambda$  does not contain a rainbow AP(4) will be a straightforward case analysis. Suppose that  $\{x,y,z,w\}$  form a rainbow AP(4); more precisely,  $\lambda(x)=A$ ,  $\lambda(y)=B$ ,  $\lambda(z)=C$ , and  $\lambda(w)=D$ . Then,  $z\leq 4m < w$ . We will assume that  $x\leq 4m$ , the other case is symmetric. Therefore, we have the following three possibilites:

Case 1. x < y < 4m. Since  $\lambda(x) = A$  and  $\lambda(y) = B$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $y \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . There are three subcases according to the order of x, y, and z.

**Subcase 1a.**  $x < y < z \le 4m < w$ .

Since  $x + z \equiv 2y \pmod{4}$ , we have  $z \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then,  $x + w \equiv y + z \pmod{4}$  implies  $w \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , which is a contradiction with  $\lambda(w) = D$ .

**Subcase 1b.** x < z < y < 4m < w.

Then,  $x + y \equiv 2z \pmod{4}$  yields  $2z \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , which is impossible.

**Subcase 1c.** z < x < y < 4m < w.

Then,  $x + w \equiv 2y \pmod{4}$  yields  $w \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , which is a contradiction with  $\lambda(w) = D$ .

Case 2. y < x < 4m. Again,  $\lambda(x) = A$  and  $\lambda(y) = B$  imply  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $y \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . There are three subcases according to the order of x, y, and z.

Subcase 2a.  $y < x < z \le 4m < w$ .

Since  $y + z \equiv 2x \pmod{4}$ , we have  $z \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then,  $y + w \equiv x + z \pmod{4}$  implies  $w \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , which is a contradiction with  $\lambda(w) = D$ .

**Subcase 2b.** y < z < x < 4m < w.

Then,  $y + x \equiv 2z \pmod{4}$  yields  $2z \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , which is impossible.

**Subcase 2c.** z < y < x < 4m < w.

Then,  $y + w \equiv 2x \pmod{4}$  yields  $w \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , which is a contradiction with  $\lambda(w) = D$ .

Case 3. x < 4m < y. Since  $\lambda(x) = A$  and  $\lambda(y) = B$ , then  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Now, there are four subcases according to the order of x and z, and of y and w.

**Subcase 3a.**  $x < z \le 4m < y < w$ .

Then,  $x + y \equiv 2z \pmod{4}$  yields  $2z \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , which is impossible.

**Subcase 3b.**  $x < z \le 4m < w < y$ .

Since  $x+w \equiv 2z \pmod{4}$ , we have  $w \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and, hence,  $z \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then,  $x+y \equiv z+w \pmod{4}$  implies  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , which is a contradiction.

**Subcase 3c.** z < x < 4m < y < w.

This case is impossible, since  $y + z \equiv 2x \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  yield  $z \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , which contradicts  $\lambda(z) = C$ .

**Subcase 3d.** z < x < 4m < w < y.

Then,  $x + y \equiv 2w \pmod{4}$  yields  $2w \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , which is impossible.  $\square$ 

## 3 A construction with recessive colors

First, we introduce a new notation: Given a 4-coloring  $\nu = (\nu(0), \nu(1), \dots, \nu(k-1)) \in \{A, B, C, D\}^k$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ , let  $\overline{\nu}$  denote the 4-coloring of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\overline{\nu}(i) = \nu(i \pmod k)$ .

Now, let  $n=24m, m\in\mathbb{N}$ . Define the 4-coloring  $\mu$  of [n] as follows: for every  $i\in[n]$ , let

$$\mu(i) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A & \text{if } i \equiv 3,6,9,16,18,20 \pmod{24} \\ B & \text{if } i \equiv 1,8,10,12,19,22 \pmod{24} \\ C & \text{if } i \equiv 5,7,13,15,21,23 \pmod{24} \\ D & \text{if } i \equiv 0,2,4,11,14,17 \pmod{24} \end{array} \right.$$

In other words,  $\mu([n])$  is a prefix of  $\overline{\nu}(\mathbb{N})$  of length n, where  $\nu$  denotes the 4-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  given by:

$$\nu := (B, D, A, D, C, A, C, B, A, B, D, B, C, D, C, A, D, A, B, A, C, B, C, D).$$

It is immediately clear that every color class of  $\mu$  has exactly 6m elements, so  $\mu$  is equinumerous. Moreover, no two consecutive integers receive the same color. What remains to be checked is the non-existence of a rainbow AP(4). Since  $\mu([n])$  is a prefix of  $\overline{\nu}(\mathbb{N})$ , it suffices to show that there does not exist a rainbow AP(4) in  $\nu$ , that is, a 4-tuple (x, y, z, w),  $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ , and a common difference  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ , such that

$$y \equiv x + d \pmod{24}$$
,  $z \equiv x + 2d \pmod{24}$ , and  $w \equiv x + 3d \pmod{24}$ ,

with  $\nu(x)$ ,  $\nu(y)$ ,  $\nu(z)$ ,  $\nu(w)$ , being pairwise distinct. Notice that any such 4-tuple (with common difference d) yields (w, z, y, x), another 4-tuple with the same property, whose (common) difference is 24 - d. Hence, we can restrict our attention to 4-tuples with difference at most 12.

Next, it is easy to resolve the cases when the difference is an even number. Indeed, suppose there exists a rainbow AP(4) (x, y, z, w) in  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ , whose difference d is an even number. Observe that  $\nu(i) = C$  if and only if  $i \equiv 5 \pmod 8$ , or  $i \equiv 7 \pmod 8$ . So, if x is an even number, then every element of the 4-tuple (x, y, z, w) is even and, thus, is not colored with C. This contradicts (x, y, z, w) being rainbow. If x is an odd number, then  $\{x \pmod 8, y \pmod 8, z \pmod 8, w \pmod 8\}$  is one of the following:  $\{1, 1, 1, 1\}$ ,  $\{3, 3, 3, 3\}$ ,  $\{5, 5, 5, 5\}$ ,  $\{7, 7, 7, 7\}$  (when  $d \equiv 0 \pmod 8$ ),  $x \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7$  (mod 8));  $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  (when  $d \equiv 2, 6 \pmod 8$ ),  $x \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod 8$ );  $\{1, 5, 1, 5\}$  (when  $d \equiv 4 \pmod 8$ ),  $x \equiv 1, 5 \pmod 8$ ); or  $\{3, 7, 3, 7\}$  (when  $d \equiv 4 \pmod 8$ ),  $x \equiv 3, 7 \pmod 8$ ). Therefore, either two or none of the elements of the rainbow (x, y, z, w) receive color C in  $\nu$ , which is a contradiction.

Finally, assume that the common difference d is odd. Our coloring  $\nu$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  does not contain a rainbow AP(4) if and only if none of the sequences  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+jd)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}, i \in [24]$ , contains all the colors (A, B, C, and D) in four consecutive positions. We partition our analysis into three cases.

Case 1.  $d \in \{1, 5\}$ .

The case d=1 is trivial, as every sequence  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}, i\in[24]$ , is just a suffix of  $\overline{\nu}(\mathbb{N})$ , which does not contain all the colors in four consecutive positions. If d=5, then every sequence  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+5j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}, i\in[24]$ , is a suffix of  $\overline{\nu'}(\mathbb{N})$ , where  $\nu'$  is the same coloring as  $\nu$ , except that the colors A and D are interchanged.

Case 2.  $d \in \{7, 11\}$ .

If d=7, then every sequence  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+7j)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $i\in[24]$ , is a suffix of  $\overline{\gamma}(\mathbb{N})$ , where

$$\gamma := (B, B, C, B, C, B, B, D, A, A, C, A, C, A, A, B, D, D, C, D, C, D, D, A).$$

Clearly, no four consecutive positions receive pairwise distinct colors.

The case d=11 is similar: every sequence  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+11j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $i\in[24]$ , is a suffix of  $\overline{\gamma'}(\mathbb{N})$ , where  $\gamma'$  is the same coloring as  $\gamma$ , except that the colors A and D are interchanged.

Case 3.  $d \in \{3, 9\}$ . Unlike in the previous cases, each sequence  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+dj)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  is periodic modulo 8, rather than 24, since the greatest common divisor of d and 24 is 3.

If d=3, then every sequence  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+3j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $i\in[24]$ , is a suffix of one of the following three sequences:  $\overline{\beta^{(1)}}(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\overline{\beta^{(2)}}(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $\overline{\beta^{(3)}}(\mathbb{N})$ , where

$$\beta^{(1)} := (B, D, C, B, C, A, B, B),$$
  

$$\beta^{(2)} := (D, C, B, D, D, D, A, C),$$
  

$$\beta^{(3)} := (A, A, A, B, C, A, C, D).$$

Clearly, no four consecutive positions receive pairwise distinct colors.

The case d=9 is similar; the reader can easily check that each sequence  $\{\overline{\nu}(i+9j)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $i\in[24]$ , is a suffix of one of the above three sequences.  $\square$ 

# 4 Concluding remarks

We are still puzzled by the contrast discovered in [JL+03, AF04] and further sharpened in this note; namely, every equinumerous k-coloring of [kn] contains a rainbow AP(k) if and only if k=3. We are not anywhere close to understanding this phenomenon.

## References

[AF04] M. Axenovich, D. Fon-Der-Flaass: On rainbow arithmetic progressions, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 11 (2004), R1.

[LR03] B. Landman, A. Robertson: Ramsey Theory on the Integers, American Mathematical Society, Student Mathematical Library 24 2003.

- [C05] D. Conlon: Rainbow solutions of linear equations over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , manuscript, 2005.
- [GRS90] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, J. H. Spencer: Ramsey Theory, John Wiley and Sons 1990.
- [JL+03] V. Jungić, J. Licht (Fox), M. Mahdian, J. Nešetřil, R. Radoičić: Rainbow arithmetic progressions and anti-Ramsey results, *Combinatorics, Probability, and Computing* **12** (2003), 599–620.
- [JR03] V. Jungić, R. Radoičić: Rainbow 3-term arithmetic progressions, *Integers, the Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory* **3** (2003), A18.
- [JRN05] V. Jungić, R. Radoičić, J. Nešetřil: Rainbow Ramsey theory, Integers, the Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory, in B. Landman (ed.) Proceedings of the Integers conference in honor of Tom Brown (2005), Special Issue 5(2), to appear, 2005.
- [Sch90] J. Schönheim: On partitions of the positive integers with no x, y, z belonging to distinct classes satisfying x + y = z, in R. A. Mollin (ed.) Number theory (Proceedings of the First Conference of the Canadian Number Theory Association, Banff 1988), de Gruyter (1990), 515–528.
- [Sz75] E. Szemerédi: On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression, *Acta Arithmetica* **27** (1975), 199–245.
- [vW27] B. L. van der Waerden: Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde 15 (1927), 212–216.